

**REEJIS STEPHEN, MD, SM**

Bridgeport Hospital, Yale New Haven Health System, Department of Internal Medicine, Bridgeport, CT

STACEY E. JOLLY, MD, MAS

Department of General Internal Medicine, Medicine Institute, Cleveland Clinic

JOSEPH V. NALLY, JR, MD

Department of Nephrology and Hypertension, Glickman Urological and Kidney Institute, Cleveland Clinic; Clinical Professor of Medicine, Cleveland Clinic Lerner College of Medicine of Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, OH

SANKAR D. NAVANEETHAN, MD, MPH

Department of Nephrology and Hypertension, Vice-Chair, Novick Center for Clinical and Translational Research, Glickman Urological and Kidney Institute, Cleveland Clinic; Assistant Professor of Medicine, Cleveland Clinic Lerner College of Medicine of Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, OH

Albuminuria: When urine predicts kidney and cardiovascular disease

ABSTRACT

Albuminuria is common. Traditionally considered a precursor to diabetic nephropathy, it has now been directly linked to adverse cardiovascular outcomes and death, independent of other risk factors. In this review, we compare the measures of albuminuria, examine the evidence linking it to renal failure, cardiovascular disease, and death, and provide recommendations for its testing and management.

KEY POINTS

Albuminuria is best measured by the albumin-to-creatinine ratio.

In several studies, albuminuria has been independently associated with a higher risk of death, cardiovascular events, heart failure, stroke, and progression of chronic kidney disease.

Despite strong evidence linking albuminuria to adverse outcomes, evidence is limited in favor of routinely screening for it in the general population.

Evaluating and managing albuminuria require understanding the limits of its clinical measures, controlling other risk factors for progression of renal disease, managing it medically, and referring to a specialist in certain situations.

*“One can obtain considerable information concerning the general health by examining the urine”
– Hippocrates (460?–355? BCE)*

CHRONIC KIDNEY DISEASE is a notable public health concern because it is an important risk factor for end-stage renal disease, cardiovascular disease, and death. Its prevalence¹ exceeds 10% and is considerably higher in high-risk groups, such as those with diabetes or hypertension, which are growing in the United States.

While high levels of total protein in the urine have always been recognized as pathologic, a growing body of evidence links excretion of the protein albumin to adverse cardiovascular outcomes, and most international guidelines now recommend measuring albumin specifically. Albuminuria is a predictor of declining renal function and is independently associated with adverse cardiovascular outcomes. Thus, clinicians need to detect it early, manage it effectively, and reduce concurrent risk factors for cardiovascular disease.

Therefore, this review will focus on albuminuria. However, because the traditional standard for urinary protein measurement was total protein, and because a few guidelines still recommend measuring total protein rather than albumin, we will also briefly discuss total urinary protein.

MOST URINARY PROTEIN IS ALBUMIN

Most of the protein in the urine is albumin filtered from the plasma. Less than half of the rest is derived from the distal renal tubules (uromodulin or Tamm-Horsfall mucoprotein),² and urine also contains a small and

varying proportion of immunoglobulins, low-molecular-weight proteins, and light chains.

Normal healthy people lose less than 30 mg of albumin in the urine per day. In greater amounts, albumin is the major urinary protein in most kidney diseases. Other proteins in urine can be specific markers of less-common illnesses such as plasma cell dyscrasia, glomerulopathy, and renal tubular disease.

■ MEASURING PROTEINURIA AND ALBUMINURIA

Albumin is not a homogeneous molecule in urine. It undergoes changes to its molecular configuration in the presence of certain ions, peptides, hormones, and drugs, and as a result of proteolytic fragmentation both in the plasma and in renal tubules.³ Consequently, measuring urinary albumin involves a trade-off between convenience and accuracy.

A **24-hour timed urine sample** has long been the gold standard for measuring albuminuria, but the collection is cumbersome and time-consuming, and the test is prone to laboratory error.

Dipstick measurements are more convenient and are better at detecting albumin than other proteins in urine, but they have low sensitivity and high interobserver variation.³⁻⁵

The albumin-to-creatinine ratio (ACR). As the quantity of protein in the urine changes with time of day, exertion, stress level, and posture, spot-checking of urine samples is not as good as timed collection. However, a simultaneous measurement of creatinine in a spot urine sample adjusts for protein concentration, which can vary with a person's hydration status. The ACR so obtained is consistent with the 24-hour timed collection (the gold standard) and is the recommended method of assessing albuminuria.³ An early morning urine sample is favored, as it avoids orthostatic variations and varies less in the same individual.

In a study in the general population comparing the ACR in a random sample and in an early morning sample, only 44% of those who had an ACR of 30 mg/g or higher in the random sample had one this high in the early morning sample.⁶ However, getting an early morning sample is not always feasible in clinical practice.

If you are going to measure albuminuria, the Kidney Disease Outcomes and Quality Initiative⁷ suggests checking the ACR in a random sample and then, if the test is positive, following up and confirming it within 3 months with an early morning sample.

Also, since creatinine excretion differs with race, diet, and muscle mass, if the 24-hour creatinine excretion is not close to 1 g, the ACR will give an erroneous estimate of the 24-hour excretion rate.³

TABLE 1 compares the various methods of measuring protein in the urine.^{3,5,8,9} Of note, methods of measuring albumin and total protein vary considerably in their precision and accuracy, making it impossible to reliably translate values from one to the other.⁵

National and international guidelines (**TABLE 2**)^{7,10-13} agree that albuminuria should be tested in diabetic patients, as it is a surrogate marker for early diabetic nephropathy.^{3,13} Most guidelines also recommend measuring albuminuria by a urine ACR test as the preferred measure, even in people without diabetes.

Also, no single cutoff is universally accepted for distinguishing pathologic albuminuria from physiologic albuminuria, nor is there a universally accepted unit of measure.¹⁴ Because approximately 1 g of creatinine is lost in the urine per day, the ACR has the convenient property of numerically matching the albumin excretory rate expressed in milligrams per 24 hours. The other commonly used unit is milligrams of albumin per millimole of creatinine; 30 mg/g is roughly equal to 3 mg/mmol.

The term *microalbuminuria* was traditionally used to refer to albumin excretion of 30 to 299 mg per 24 hours, and *macroalbuminuria* to 300 mg or more per 24 hours. However, as there is no pathophysiologic basis to these thresholds (see outcomes data below), the current Kidney Disease Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) guidelines do not recommend using these terms.^{13,15}

■ RENAL COMPLICATIONS OF ALBUMINURIA

A failure of the glomerular filtration barrier or of proximal tubular reabsorption accounts for most cases of pathologic albuminuria.¹⁶

Normal healthy people lose less than 30 mg of albumin in the urine per day

TABLE 1

Comparison of common clinical measures of proteinuria

Measure and categorical values	Advantages	Disadvantages
24-hour urine albumin excretion (albumin excretion rate) Moderately increased: ≥ 30 mg/24 h Severely increased: ≥ 300 mg/24 h	The gold standard More reliable than ACR across age or weight extremes, serum creatinine concentration ranges, and ACE inhibitor or ARB use	Cumbersome, time-consuming, and prone to errors in collection and during storage Freezing, required for storage, decreases sensitivity to albumin
24-hour urine protein excretion (protein excretion rate) Normal or slightly increased: < 150 mg/24 h Moderately increased: ≥ 150 mg/24 h Severely increased: ≥ 500 mg/24 h	The historical gold standard Similar to 24-hour albumin excretion More accurate when degree of proteinuria is severe and for people at extremes of age or weight	Similar to 24-hour urine albumin excretion
Urine albumin-creatinine ratio Normal or slightly increased: < 30 mg/g of creatinine Moderately increased: ≥ 30 mg/g Severely increased: ≥ 300 mg/g of creatinine	Predicts 24-hour urine albumin well At 30 mg/24 h, has 97% sensitivity and 94% specificity Preferred test in diabetic nephropathy and in most diseases in which albumin is the predominant urinary protein At low concentrations, is superior to protein assays	Protein excretion varies with time of day, stress level, exertion, and other factors Creatinine excretion rate is affected by race, diet, and muscle mass; ACR will be erroneous if the rate is not close to 1 g/day First morning sample preferred to limit variability
Urine protein-creatinine ratio Normal or slightly increased: < 150 mg/g of creatinine Moderately increased: ≥ 150 mg/g of creatinine Severely increased: ≥ 500 mg/g	Predicts 24-hour urine protein well Preferred in tubulointerstitial diseases in which proteins such as retinol-binding protein, peptide hormones, beta-2 microglobulin and light chains are significant components	Protein excretion varies with time of day, stress level, exertion, and other factors Creatinine excretion rate is affected by race, diet, and muscle mass; ratio will be erroneous if this is not close to 1 g/day First morning sample preferred to limit variability Not easily standardized in terms of a laboratory reference standard protein, leading to variability in calibration across laboratories
Dipstick (reagent strips) Normal or slightly increased: negative to trace Moderately increased: trace to 1+ Severely increased: 1+ or greater	Convenient in routine clinical practice, not requiring a laboratory High specificity in detecting albumin (93%–98%) Some discriminatory value: positive and negative likelihood ratios to rule in or rule out proteinuria when presented with a sample having 300 mg/24 h were 0.6 (0.45–0.80) and 3.48 (1.66–7.27)	Does not detect proteinuria below 15 mg/24 h and unreliably detects < 50 mg/24 h as “trace” More sensitive to albumin, although sensitivity is poor, and less sensitive to globulins, hemoglobin, and Bence Jones protein Variable performance and thresholds for degrees of proteinuria across manufacturers False-positives if urine is alkalinized Prone to erroneous interpretation of color codes, especially when manual, or when urine is colored by compounds or drugs

ACE = angiotensin-converting enzyme; ACR = albumin-to-creatinine ratio; ARB = angiotensin receptor blocker

BASED ON INFORMATION IN REFERENCES 3, 5, 7, AND 8.

TABLE 2

Proteinuria screening guidelines compared

Guideline	High-risk groups to screen	How to screen
NICE¹⁰	People at risk—eg, those with diabetes, hypertension, cardiovascular disease, structural renal tract disease, renal calculi, prostatic hypertrophy, multisystem diseases such as SLE, a family history of stage 5 CKD or hereditary kidney disease, or opportunistic detection of hematuria	ACR in preference to PCR for all patients for detection of proteinuria PCR can be used for monitoring and quantification in nondiabetic patients Dipsticks not recommended First morning void preferred Screen at least annually
ADA¹¹	Patients with type 1 diabetes with a duration ≥ 5 years and in all patients with type 2 diabetes at the time of diagnosis	ACR preferred, no recommendations on sample Screen annually; confirm positive findings with two of three positive repeats over 3–6 months for detection and to confirm progression
JNC-7¹²	People with diabetes or those with kidney disease	ACR preferred, no recommendations on sample Screen annually
K/DOQI⁷	People at risk—eg, ethnic minorities, people with older age, family history, diabetes, high blood pressure, autoimmune disease, systemic infection, urinary tract infection, urinary stones, lower urinary tract obstruction, small kidneys, neoplasia, drug toxicity, smoking	Screening for albuminuria preferred, except in nondiabetic children Positive dipstick results should be confirmed by quantitative measurement (ACR or PCR) within 3 months First morning void preferred, but random sample acceptable Frequency not specified
KDIGO¹³	People with diabetes, hypertension, cardiovascular disease, family history of CKD, obesity, hyperlipidemia, metabolic syndrome, smoking, treatment with potentially nephrotoxic drugs, some chronic infectious diseases and cancers, age > 60	Screening for albuminuria preferred Favor laboratory measurement (ACR and PCR) over dipsticks Early morning urine sample is preferred Monitoring frequency varies from 1 to 4 or more times per year based on estimated GFR and degree of albuminuria

ACR = albumin-to-creatinine ratio; ADA = American Diabetes Association; CKD = chronic kidney disease; GFR = glomerular filtration rate; JNC-7 = Seventh Report of the Joint National Committee on Prevention, Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Pressure; KDIGO = Kidney Disease Improving Global Outcomes; K/DOQI = Kidney Disease Outcome Quality Initiative; NICE = National Institute of Health and Clinical Excellence (UK); PCR = protein-to-creatinine ratio; SLE = systemic lupus erythematosus

Processes affecting the glomerular filtration of albumin include endothelial cell dysfunction and abnormalities with the glomerular basement membrane, podocytes, or the slit diaphragms among the podocytic processes.¹⁷

Ultrafiltered albumin has been directly implicated in tubulointerstitial damage and glomerulosclerosis through diverse pathways. In the proximal tubule, albumin up-regulates interleukin 8 (a chemoattractant for lymphocytes and neutrophils), induces synthesis of endothelin 1 (which stimulates renal cell proliferation, extracellular matrix produc-

tion, and monocyte attraction), and causes apoptosis of tubular cells.¹⁸ In response to albumin, proximal tubular cells also stimulate interstitial fibroblasts via paracrine release of transforming growth factor beta, either directly or by activating complement or macrophages.^{18,19}

Studies linking albuminuria to kidney disease

Albuminuria has traditionally been associated with diabetes mellitus as a predictor of overt diabetic nephropathy,^{20,21} although in type 1

diabetes, established albuminuria can spontaneously regress.²²

Albuminuria is also a strong predictor of progression in chronic kidney disease.²³ In fact, in the last decade, albuminuria has become an independent criterion in the definition of chronic kidney disease; excretion of more than 30 mg of albumin per day, sustained for at least 3 months, qualifies as chronic kidney disease, with independent prognostic implications (TABLE 3).¹³

Astor et al,²⁴ in a meta-analysis of 13 studies with more than 21,000 patients with chronic kidney disease, found that the risk of end-stage renal disease was three times higher in those with albuminuria.

Gansevoort et al,²³ in a meta-analysis of nine studies with nearly 850,000 participants from the general population, found that the risk of end-stage renal disease increased continuously as albumin excretion increased. They also found that as albuminuria increased, so did the risk of progression of chronic kidney disease and the incidence of acute kidney injury.

Hemmelgarn et al,²⁵ in a large pooled cohort study with more than 1.5 million participants from the general population, showed that increasing albuminuria was associated with a decline in the estimated glomerular filtration rate (GFR) and with progression to end-stage renal disease across all strata of baseline renal function. For example, in persons with an estimated GFR of 60 mL/min/1.73 m² or higher at baseline, the rates of doubling of serum creatinine were:

- 1 per 1,000 person-years for those with no proteinuria
- 2.8 per 1,000 person-years for those with mild proteinuria (trace or 1+ by dipstick or ACR 30–300 mg/g)
- 13.4 per 1,000 person-years for those with heavy proteinuria (2+ or ACR > 300 mg/g).

Rates of progression to end-stage renal disease were:

- 0.03 per 1,000 person-years with no proteinuria
- 0.05 per 1,000 person-years with mild proteinuria
- 1 per 1,000 person-years with heavy proteinuria.²⁵

■ CARDIOVASCULAR CONSEQUENCES OF ALBUMINURIA

The exact pathophysiologic link between albuminuria and cardiovascular disease is unknown, but several mechanisms have been proposed.

One is that generalized endothelial dysfunction causes both albuminuria and cardiovascular disease.²⁶ Endothelium-derived nitric oxide has vasodilator, antiplatelet, antiproliferative, antiadhesive, permeability-decreasing, and anti-inflammatory properties. Impaired endothelial synthesis of nitric oxide has been independently associated with both microalbuminuria and diabetes.²⁷

Low levels of heparan sulfate (which has antithrombogenic effects and decreases vessel permeability) in the glycocalyx lining vessel walls may also account for albuminuria and for the other cardiovascular effects.^{28–30} These changes may be the consequence of chronic low-grade inflammation, which precedes the occurrence and progression of both albuminuria and atherothrombotic disease. The resulting abnormalities in the endothelial glycocalyx could lead to increased glomerular permeability to albumin and may also be implicated in the pathogenesis of atherosclerosis.²⁶

In an atherosclerotic aorta and coronary arteries, the endothelial dysfunction may cause increased leakage of cholesterol and glycated end-products into the myocardium, resulting in increasing wall stiffness and left ventricular mass. A similar atherosclerotic process may account for coronary artery microthrombi, resulting in subendocardial ischemia that could lead to systolic and diastolic heart dysfunction.³¹

Studies linking albuminuria to heart disease

There is convincing evidence that albuminuria is associated with cardiovascular disease. An ACR between 30 and 300 mg/g was independently associated with myocardial infarction and ischemia.³² People with albuminuria have more than twice the risk of severe coronary artery disease, and albuminuria is also associated with increased intimal thickening in the carotid arteries.^{33,34} An ACR in the same range has been associated with increased inci-

Measuring urinary albumin involves a trade-off between convenience and accuracy

TABLE 3

Prognosis of chronic kidney disease by GFR and albuminuria

Glomerular filtration rate	Albuminuria normal to mildly increased (ACR < 30 mg/g or < 3 mg/mmol)	Albuminuria moderately increased (ACR 30–299 mg/g or 3–29 mg/mmol)	Albuminuria severely increased (ACR ≥ 300 mg/g or ≥ 30 mg/mmol)
Normal or high (> 90 mL/min/1.73m ²)	Low risk ^a	Moderately increased risk	High risk
Mildly decreased (60–89 mL/min/1.73m ²)	Low risk ^a	Moderately increased risk	High risk
Mildly to moderately decreased (45–59 mL/min/1.73m ²)	Moderately increased risk	High risk	Very high risk
Moderately to severely decreased (30–44 mL/min/1.73m ²)	High risk	Very high risk	Very high risk
Severely decreased (15–29 mL/min/1.73 m ²)	Very high risk	Very high risk	Very high risk
Kidney failure (< 15 mL/min/1.73 m ²)	Very high risk	Very high risk	Very high risk

^aIf no other markers of kidney disease are present and the patient does not have chronic kidney disease
ACR = albumin-creatinine ratio, GFR = glomerular filtration rate

REPRODUCED WITH PERMISSION FROM KIDNEY DISEASE: IMPROVING GLOBAL OUTCOMES (KDIGO) CKD WORK GROUP. KDIGO 2012 CLINICAL PRACTICE GUIDELINE FOR EVALUATION AND MANAGEMENT OF CHRONIC KIDNEY DISEASE. KIDNEY INT SUPPL 2013; 3:1–150.

An early morning sample is favored but is not always feasible

dence and progression of coronary artery calcification.³⁵ Higher brachial-ankle pulse-wave velocity has also been demonstrated with albuminuria in a dose-dependent fashion.^{36,37}

An ACR of 30 to 300 mg/g has been linked to left ventricular hypertrophy independently of other risk factors,³⁸ and functionally with diastolic dysfunction and abnormal midwall shortening.³⁹ In a study of a subgroup of patients with diabetes from a population-based cohort of Native American patients (the Strong Heart Study),³⁹ the prevalence of diastolic dysfunction was:

- 16% in those with no albuminuria
- 26% in those with an ACR of 30 to 300 mg/g
- 31% in those with an ACR greater than 300 mg/g.

The association persisted even after controlling for age, sex, hypertension, and other covariates.

Those pathologic associations have been directly linked to clinical outcomes. For pa-

tients with heart failure (New York Heart Association class II–IV), a study found that albuminuria (an ACR > 30 mg/g) conferred a 41% higher risk of admission for heart failure, and an ACR greater than 300 mg/g was associated with an 88% higher risk.⁴⁰

In an analysis of a prospective cohort from the general population with albuminuria and a low prevalence of renal dysfunction (the Prevention of Renal and Vascular Endstage Disease study),⁴¹ albuminuria was associated with a modest increase in the incidence of the composite end point of myocardial infarction, stroke, ischemic heart disease, revascularization procedures, and all-cause mortality per doubling of the urine albumin excretion (hazard ratio 1.08, range 1.04–1.12).

The relationship to cardiovascular outcomes extends below traditional lower-limit thresholds of albuminuria (corresponding to an ACR > 30 mg/g). A subgroup of patients from the Framingham Offspring Study without prevalent cardiovascular disease, hy-

pertension, diabetes, or kidney disease, and thus with a low to intermediate probability of cardiovascular events, were found to have thresholds for albuminuria as low as 5.3 mg/g in men and 10.8 mg/g in women to discriminate between incident coronary artery disease, heart failure, cerebrovascular disease, other peripheral vascular disease, or death.⁴²

In a meta-analysis including more than 1 million patients in the general population, increasing albuminuria was associated with an increase in deaths from all causes in a continuous manner, with no threshold effect.⁴³ In patients with an ACR of 30 mg/g, the hazard ratio for death was 1.63, increasing to 2.22 for those with more than 300 mg/g compared with those with no albuminuria. A similar increase in the risk of myocardial infarction, heart failure, stroke, or sudden cardiac death was noted with higher ACR.⁴³

Important prospective cohort studies and meta-analyses related to albuminuria and kidney and cardiovascular disease and death are summarized in the eTABLE accompanying this article at www.ccjm.org.^{23,39–50}

■ THE CASE FOR TREATING ALBUMINURIA

Reduced progression of renal disease

Treating patients who have proteinuric chronic kidney disease with an angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitor or an angiotensin receptor blocker (ARB) can reduce the risk of progression of renal failure. However, it is unclear whether this benefit is the result of treating concomitant risk factors independent of the reduction in albuminuria, and there is no consistent treatment effect in improving renal outcomes across studies.

Fink et al,⁵¹ in a meta-analysis, found that chronic kidney disease patients with diabetes, hypertension, and macroalbuminuria had a 40% lower risk of progression to end-stage renal disease if they received an ACE inhibitor (relative risk [RR] 0.60, 95% confidence interval [CI] 0.43–0.83). In the same meta-analysis, ARBs also reduced the risk of progression to end-stage renal disease (RR 0.77, 95% CI 0.66–0.90).

Jafar et al,⁵² in an analysis of pooled patient-level data including only nondiabetic patients on ACE inhibitor therapy (n =

1,860), found that the risk of progression of renal failure, defined as a doubling of serum creatinine or end-stage renal disease, was reduced (RR 0.70, 95% CI 0.55–0.88). Patients with higher levels of albuminuria showed the most benefit, but the effect was not conclusive for albuminuria below 500 mg/day at baseline.

Maione et al,⁵³ in a meta-analysis that included patients with albuminuria who were treated with ACE inhibitors vs placebo (n = 8,231), found a similar reduction in risk of:

- Progression to end-stage renal disease (RR 0.67, 95% CI 0.54–0.84)
- Doubling of serum creatinine (RR 0.62, 95% CI 0.46–0.84)
- Progression of albuminuria (RR 0.49, 95% CI 0.36–0.65)
- Normalization of pathologic albuminuria (as defined by the trialists in the individual studies) (RR 2.99, 95% CI 1.82–4.91).

Similar results were obtained for patients with albuminuria who were treated with ARBs.⁵³

ONTARGET.⁵⁴ In contrast, in the Ongoing Telmisartan Alone and in Combination With Ramipril Global Endpoint Trial, the combination of an ACE inhibitor and an ARB showed no benefit in reducing the progression of renal failure, and in those patients with chronic kidney disease there was a higher risk of a doubling of serum creatinine or of the development of end-stage renal disease and hyperkalemia.

Also, in a pooled analysis of the ONTARGET and Telmisartan Randomized Assessment Study in ACE Intolerant Subjects With Cardiovascular Disease (TRANSCEND) trials, a 50% reduction in baseline albuminuria was associated with reduced progression of renal failure in those with a baseline ACR less than 10 mg/g.⁵⁵

Improved cardiovascular outcomes

There is also evidence of better cardiovascular outcomes with treatment of albuminuria. Again, it is uncertain whether this is a result of treating risk factors other than albuminuria with ACE inhibitors or ARBs, and there is no parallel benefit demonstrated across all studies.

LIFE.^{47,48} In the Losartan Intervention for Endpoint Reduction in Hypertension trial,

People with albuminuria have more than twice the risk of severe coronary artery disease

survival analyses suggested a decrease in risk of cardiovascular adverse events as the degree of proteinuria improved with ARB therapy.

Maione et al,⁵³ in a meta-analysis including 8,231 patients with albuminuria and at least one other risk factor, found a significant reduction in the rate of nonfatal cardiovascular outcomes (angina, myocardial infarction, revascularization, stroke, transient ischemic attack, or heart failure) with ACE inhibitors vs placebo (RR 0.88, CI 0.82–0.94) and also in 3,888 patients treated with ARBs vs placebo (RR 0.77, CI 0.61–0.98). However, the meta-analysis did not show that ACE inhibitor or ARB therapy reduced rate of cardiovascular or all-cause mortality.

Fink et al,⁵¹ in their meta-analysis of 18 trials of ACE inhibitors and four trials of ARBs, also found no evidence that ACE inhibitor or ARB therapy reduced cardiovascular mortality rates.³⁸

The ONTARGET trial evaluated the combination of an ACE inhibitor and ARB therapy in patients with diabetes or preexisting peripheral vascular disease. Reductions in the rate of cardiovascular disease or death were not observed, and in those with chronic kidney disease, there was a higher risk of doubling of serum creatinine or development of end-stage renal disease and adverse events of hyperkalemia.⁵⁶ And although an increase in baseline albuminuria was associated with worse cardiovascular outcomes, its reduction in the ONTARGET and TRANSCEND trials did not demonstrate better outcomes when the baseline ACR was greater than 10 mg/g.⁵⁵

■ WHO SHOULD BE TESTED?

The benefit of adding albuminuria to conventional cardiovascular risk stratification such as Framingham risk scoring is not conclusive. However, today's clinician may view albuminuria as a biomarker for renal and cardiovascular disease, as albuminuria might be a surrogate marker for endothelial dysfunction in the glomerular capillaries or other vital vascular beds.

High-risk populations and chronic kidney disease patients

Nearly all the current guidelines recommend annual screening for albuminuria in patients

with diabetes and hypertension (TABLE 2).^{7,10-13} Other high-risk populations include people with cardiovascular disease, a family history of end-stage renal disease, and metabolic syndrome. Additionally, chronic kidney disease patients whose estimated GFR defines them as being in stage 3 or higher (ie, GFR < 60 mL/min/1.73m²), regardless of other comorbidities, should be tested for albuminuria, as it is an important risk predictor.

Most experts prefer that albuminuria be measured by urine ACR in a first morning voided sample, though this is not the only option.

Screening the general population

Given that albuminuria has been shown to be such an important prognosticator for patients at high risk and those with chronic kidney disease, the question arises whether screening for albuminuria in the asymptomatic low-risk general population would foster earlier detection and therefore enable earlier intervention and result in improved outcomes. However, a systematic review done for the United States Preventive Services Task Force and for an American College of Physicians clinical practice guideline did not find robust evidence to support this.⁵¹

■ OUR RECOMMENDATIONS

Who should be tested?

- Patients with chronic kidney disease stage 3, 4, or 5 (GFR < 60 mL/min/1.73m²) who are not on dialysis
- Patients who are considered at higher risk of adverse outcomes, such as those with diabetes, hypertension, a family history of end-stage renal disease, or cardiovascular disease. Testing is useful for recognizing increased renal and cardiovascular risk and may lead clinicians to prescribe or titrate a renin-angiotensin system antagonist, a statin, or both, or to modify other cardiovascular risk factors.
- *Not recommended*: routine screening in the general population who are asymptomatic or are considered at low risk.

Which test should be used?

Based on current evidence and most guidelines, we recommend the urine ACR test as

the screening test for people with diabetes and others deemed to be at high risk.

What should be done about albuminuria?

- Controlling blood pressure is important, and though there is debate about the target blood pressure, an individualized plan should be developed with the patient based on age, comorbidities, and goals of care.
- An ACE inhibitor or ARB, if not contraindicated, is recommended for patients

with diabetes whose ACR is greater than 30 mg/g and for patients with chronic kidney disease and an ACR greater than 300 mg/g.

- Current evidence does not support the combined use of an ACE inhibitor and an ARB, as proof of benefit is lacking and the risk of adverse events is higher.
- Refer patients with high or unexplained albuminuria to a nephrologist or clinic specializing in chronic kidney disease. ■

REFERENCES

1. Coresh J, Selvin E, Stevens LA, et al. Prevalence of chronic kidney disease in the United States. *JAMA* 2007; 298:2038–2047.
2. Hoyer JR, Seiler MW. Pathophysiology of Tamm-Horsfall protein. *Kidney Int* 1979; 16:279–289.
3. Viswanathan G, Upadhyay A. Assessment of proteinuria. *Adv Chronic Kidney Dis* 2011; 18:243–248.
4. Guh JY. Proteinuria versus albuminuria in chronic kidney disease. *Nephrology (Carlton)* 2010; 15(suppl 2):53–56.
5. Lamb EJ, MacKenzie F, Stevens PE. How should proteinuria be detected and measured? *Ann Clin Biochem* 2009; 46:205–217.
6. Saydah SH, Pavkov ME, Zhang C, et al. Albuminuria prevalence in first morning void compared with previous random urine from adults in the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, 2009–2010. *Clin Chem* 2013; 59:675–683.
7. National Kidney Foundation. K/DOQI clinical practice guidelines for chronic kidney disease: evaluation, classification, and stratification. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2002; 39(suppl 1):S1–S266.
8. Younes N, Cleary PA, Steffes MW, et al; DCCT/EDIC Research Group. Comparison of urinary albumin-creatinine ratio and albumin excretion rate in the Diabetes Control and Complications Trial/Epidemiology of Diabetes Interventions and Complications study. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol* 2010; 5:1235–1242.
9. Brinkman JW, de Zeeuw D, Duker JJ, et al. Falsely low urinary albumin concentrations after prolonged frozen storage of urine samples. *Clin Chem* 2005; 51:2181–2183.
10. National Collaborating Centre for Chronic Conditions (UK). Chronic Kidney Disease: National Clinical Guideline for Early Identification and Management in Adults in Primary and Secondary Care. London: Royal College of Physicians (UK) 2008.
11. American Diabetes Association. Standards of medical care in diabetes—2013. *Diabetes Care* 2013; 36(suppl 1):S11–S66.
12. Chobanian AV, Bakris GL, Black HR, et al; Joint National Committee on Prevention, Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Pressure; National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute; National High Blood Pressure Education Program Coordinating Committee. Seventh report of the Joint National Committee on Prevention, Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Pressure. *Hypertension* 2003; 42:1206–1252.
13. Kidney Disease Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) CKD Work Group. KDIGO 2012 clinical practice guideline for the evaluation and management of chronic kidney disease. *Kidney Int Suppl* 2013; 3:1–150.
14. Johnson DW. Global proteinuria guidelines: are we nearly there yet? *Clin Biochem Rev* 2011; 32:89–95.
15. Ruggenti P, Remuzzi G. Time to abandon microalbuminuria? *Kidney Int* 2006; 70:1214–1222.
16. Glassock RJ. Is the presence of microalbuminuria a relevant marker of kidney disease? *Curr Hypertens Rep* 2010; 12:364–368.
17. Zhang A, Huang S. Progress in pathogenesis of proteinuria. *Int J Nephrol* 2012; 2012:314251.
18. Abbate M, Zoja C, Remuzzi G. How does proteinuria cause progressive renal damage? *J Am Soc Nephrol* 2006; 17:2974–2984.
19. Karalliedde J, Viberti G. Proteinuria in diabetes: bystander or pathway to cardiorenal disease? *J Am Soc Nephrol* 2010; 21:2020–2027.
20. Svendsen PA, Oxenbøll B, Christiansen JS. Microalbuminuria in diabetic patients—a longitudinal study. *Acta Endocrinol Suppl (Copenh)* 1981; 242:53–54.
21. Viberti GC, Hill RD, Jarrett RJ, Argyropoulos A, Mahmud U, Keen H. Microalbuminuria as a predictor of clinical nephropathy in insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. *Lancet* 1982; 1:1430–1432.
22. Perkins BA, Ficociello LH, Silva KH, Finkelstein DM, Warram JH, Krolewski AS. Regression of microalbuminuria in type 1 diabetes. *N Engl J Med* 2003; 348:2285–2293.
23. Gansevoort RT, Matsushita K, van der Velde M, et al; Chronic Kidney Disease Prognosis Consortium. Lower estimated GFR and higher albuminuria are associated with adverse kidney outcomes. A collaborative meta-analysis of general and high-risk population cohorts. *Kidney Int* 2011; 80:93–104.
24. Astor BC, Matsushita K, Gansevoort RT, et al. Lower estimated glomerular filtration rate and higher albuminuria are associated with mortality and end-stage renal disease. A collaborative meta-analysis of kidney disease population cohorts. *Kidney Int* 2011; 79:1331–1340.
25. Hemmelgarn BR, Manns BJ, Lloyd A, et al; Alberta Kidney Disease Network. Relation between kidney function, proteinuria, and adverse outcomes. *JAMA* 2010; 303:423–429.
26. Stehouwer CD, Smulders YM. Microalbuminuria and risk for cardiovascular disease: analysis of potential mechanisms. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 2006; 17:2106–2111.
27. Stehouwer CD, Henry RM, Dekker JM, Nijpels G, Heine RJ, Bouter LM. Microalbuminuria is associated with impaired brachial artery, flow-mediated vasodilation in elderly individuals without and with diabetes: further evidence for a link between microalbuminuria and endothelial dysfunction—the Hoorn Study. *Kidney Int Suppl* 2004; 92:S42–S44.
28. Wasty F, Alavi MZ, Moore S. Distribution of glycosaminoglycans in the intima of human aortas: changes in atherosclerosis and diabetes mellitus. *Diabetologia* 1993; 36:316–322.
29. Ylä-Herttua S, Sumuvuori H, Karkola K, Möttönen M, Nikkari T. Glycosaminoglycans in normal and atherosclerotic human coronary arteries. *Lab Invest* 1986; 54:402–407.
30. Deckert T, Feldt-Rasmussen B, Borch-Johnsen K, Jensen T, Kofoed-Enevoldsen A. Albuminuria reflects widespread vascular damage. The Steno hypothesis. *Diabetologia* 1989; 32:219–226.
31. van Hoven KH, Factor SM. A comparison of the pathological spectrum of hypertensive, diabetic, and hypertensive-diabetic heart disease. *Circulation* 1990; 82:848–855.
32. Diercks GF, van Boven AJ, Hillege HL, et al. Microalbuminuria is independently associated with ischaemic electrocardiographic abnormalities in a large non-diabetic population. The PREVENT (Prevention of RENal and Vascular ENdstage Disease) study. *Eur Heart J* 2000; 21:1922–1927.
33. Bigazzi R, Bianchi S, Nenci R, Baldari D, Baldari G, Campese VM. Increased thickness of the carotid artery in patients with essen-

- tial hypertension and microalbuminuria. *J Hum Hypertens* 1995; 9:827–833.
34. Tuttle KR, Puhlman ME, Cooney SK, Short R. Urinary albumin and insulin as predictors of coronary artery disease: an angiographic study. *Am J Kidney Dis* 1999; 34:918–925.
 35. DeFilippis AP, Kramer HJ, Katz R, et al. Association between coronary artery calcification progression and microalbuminuria: the MESA study. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging* 2010; 3:595–604.
 36. Liu CS, Pi-Sunyer FX, Li CI, et al. Albuminuria is strongly associated with arterial stiffness, especially in diabetic or hypertensive subjects—a population-based study (Taichung Community Health Study, TCHS). *Atherosclerosis* 2010; 211:315–321.
 37. Upadhyay A, Hwang SJ, Mitchell GF, et al. Arterial stiffness in mild-to-moderate CKD. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 2009; 20:2044–2053.
 38. Pontremoli R, Sofia A, Ravera M, et al. Prevalence and clinical correlates of microalbuminuria in essential hypertension: the MAGIC Study. *Microalbuminuria: a Genoa Investigation on Complications. Hypertension* 1997; 30:1135–1143.
 39. Liu JE, Robbins DC, Palmieri V, et al. Association of albuminuria with systolic and diastolic left ventricular dysfunction in type 2 diabetes: the Strong Heart Study. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2003; 41:2022–2028.
 40. Jackson CE, Solomon SD, Gerstein HC, et al; CHARM Investigators and Committees. Albuminuria in chronic heart failure: prevalence and prognostic importance. *Lancet* 2009; 374:543–550.
 41. Smink PA, Lambers Heerspink HJ, Gansevoort RT, et al. Albuminuria, estimated GFR, traditional risk factors, and incident cardiovascular disease: the PREVEND (Prevention of Renal and Vascular Endstage Disease) study. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2012; 60:804–811.
 42. Arnlöv J, Evans JC, Meigs JB, et al. Low-grade albuminuria and incidence of cardiovascular disease events in nonhypertensive and nondiabetic individuals: the Framingham Heart Study. *Circulation* 2005; 112:969–975.
 43. Chronic Kidney Disease Prognosis Consortium; Matsushita K, van der Velde M, Astor BC, et al. Association of estimated glomerular filtration rate and albuminuria with all-cause and cardiovascular mortality in general population cohorts: a collaborative meta-analysis. *Lancet* 2010; 375:2073–2081.
 44. van der Velde M, Matsushita K, Coresh J, et al. Lower estimated glomerular filtration rate and higher albuminuria are associated with all-cause and cardiovascular mortality. A collaborative meta-analysis of high-risk population cohorts. *Kidney Int* 2011; 79:1341–1352.
 45. Ruggenenti P, Porrini E, Motterlini N, et al; BENEDICT Study Investigators. Measurable urinary albumin predicts cardiovascular risk among normoalbuminuric patients with type 2 diabetes. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 2012; 23:1717–1724.
 46. Hallan S, Astor B, Romundstad S, Aasarød K, Kvenild K, Coresh J. Association of kidney function and albuminuria with cardiovascular mortality in older vs younger individuals: the HUNT II Study. *Arch Intern Med* 2007; 167:2490–2496.
 47. Ibsen H, Wachtell K, Olsen MH, et al. Albuminuria and cardiovascular risk in hypertensive patients with left ventricular hypertrophy: the LIFE Study. *Kidney Int Suppl* 2004; 92:S56–S58.
 48. Olsen MH, Wachtell K, Bella JN, et al. Albuminuria predicts cardiovascular events independently of left ventricular mass in hypertension: a LIFE substudy. *J Hum Hypertens* 2004; 18:453–459.
 49. Klausen K, Borch-Johnsen K, Feldt-Rasmussen B, et al. Very low levels of microalbuminuria are associated with increased risk of coronary heart disease and death independently of renal function, hypertension, and diabetes. *Circulation* 2004; 110:32–35.
 50. Gerstein HC, Mann JF, Yi Q, et al; HOPE Study Investigators. Albuminuria and risk of cardiovascular events, death, and heart failure in diabetic and nondiabetic individuals. *JAMA* 2001; 286:421–426.
 51. Fink HA, Ishani A, Taylor BC, et al. Screening for, monitoring, and treatment of chronic kidney disease stages 1 to 3: a systematic review for the US Preventive Services Task Force and for an American College of Physicians Clinical Practice Guideline. *Ann Intern Med* 2012; 156:570–581.
 52. Jafar TH, Schmid CH, Landa M, et al. Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors and progression of nondiabetic renal disease. A meta-analysis of patient-level data. *Ann Intern Med* 2001; 135:73–87.
 53. Maione A, Navaneethan SD, Graziano G, et al. Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors, angiotensin receptor blockers and combined therapy in patients with micro- and macroalbuminuria and other cardiovascular risk factors: a systematic review of randomized controlled trials. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2011; 26:2827–2847.
 54. Mann JF, Schmieder RE, McQueen M, et al; ONTARGET investigators. Renal outcomes with telmisartan, ramipril, or both, in people at high vascular risk (the ONTARGET study): a multicentre, randomised, double-blind, controlled trial. *Lancet* 2008; 372:547–553.
 55. Schmieder RE, Mann JF, Schumacher H, et al; ONTARGET Investigators. Changes in albuminuria predict mortality and morbidity in patients with vascular disease. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 2011; 22:1353–1364.
 56. Tobe SW, Clase CM, Gao P, et al; ONTARGET and TRANSCEND Investigators. Cardiovascular and renal outcomes with telmisartan, ramipril, or both in people at high renal risk: results from the ONTARGET and TRANSCEND studies. *Circulation* 2011; 123:1098–1107.

ADDRESS: Sankar D. Navaneethan, MD, MPH, Department of Nephrology and Hypertension, Glickman Urological and Kidney Institute, Q7, Cleveland Clinic, 9500 Euclid Avenue, Cleveland, OH 44195; e-mail: navanes@ccf.org