

## Q: Which adults with acute diarrhea should be evaluated? What is the best diagnostic approach?

### THOMAS HELTON, DO

Department of General Internal Medicine, Division of Medicine, The Cleveland Clinic Foundation

### DAVID D.K. ROLSTON, MD

Clinical Director, A91, Department of General Internal Medicine, The Cleveland Clinic Foundation

**A:** Evidence to answer this question is scarce. The general consensus is, however, that a patient with any of the following should be evaluated:

- Fever (temperature  $\geq 38.5^{\circ}\text{C}$ ;  $101.3^{\circ}\text{F}$ )
- Dysentery (passage of blood and mucus in the stool)
- Symptoms of dehydration, particularly postural lightheadedness, decreased urine output, and excessive thirst
- Worsening diarrhea after 48 hours
- Six or more stools in 24 hours
- Advanced age ( $\geq 70$  years)
- Compromised immune system
- Age greater than 50 with severe abdominal pain.<sup>1-3</sup>

If none of these is present, no further evaluation other than a brief history is generally necessary.<sup>4</sup> Oral hydration and over-the-counter loperamide usually suffice.

The rest of this article focuses on a cost-effective approach to the evaluation of acute diarrhea.

### ■ DEFINITION AND CLASSIFICATION

Diarrhea is defined as stool weight greater than 200 g/day, which is best determined by a 72-hour stool collection.<sup>5</sup>

Given the difficulty and impracticality of obtaining such information, a more useful definition is an increase in the frequency of stools with a decrease in consistency compared with

the patient's baseline pattern. Another frequently used and practical definition is three or more stools in 24 hours.

Diarrhea that has lasted less than 14 days is referred to as acute, while chronic diarrhea has a duration greater than 1 month. Diarrhea that lasts 14 days and resolves within 1 month is generally referred to as persistent acute diarrhea.<sup>1,2</sup>

### ■ SOCIOECONOMIC IMPACT

Diarrhea is common: the estimated incidence of acute diarrhea in adults in the United States is approximately 99 million cases per year.

It is also costly: approximately 50% of patients restrict their daily activities by at least 1 full day, resulting in an estimated \$20 billion in lost productivity for those who do not seek physician care. Approximately 250,000 patients are hospitalized, at an estimated medical cost of \$560 million. Combining patients who are hospitalized and those who seek medical care but are not hospitalized, the total cost to society is greater than \$23 billion annually.<sup>2,6</sup>

### ■ EVALUATION


Primary care physicians and gastroenterologists often encounter this problem in the office and indeed over the telephone and need to decide whether the patient needs further evaluation. In the initial encounter, the physician should ask about several important symptoms to determine if further evaluation is necessary (FIGURE 1).

If these symptoms are absent, hospitalization is probably not needed. Patients without these symptoms can usually be treated with

In some cases, only a brief evaluation is necessary



## Workup for diarrhea



\*Diarrhea lasting 15 to 29 days is referred to as persistent acute diarrhea, a poorly defined entity for which there are no guidelines as to how it should be investigated

DEvised FROM RECOMMENDATIONS FROM THE AMERICAN COLLEGE OF GASTROENTEROLOGY,<sup>2</sup> THE INFECTIOUS DISEASES SOCIETY OF AMERICA,<sup>13</sup> AND A REVIEW BY ALEXANDRA ILNYCKI, MD.<sup>6</sup>

**FIGURE 1**

oral hydration and over-the-counter drugs such as loperamide, kaolin, or bismuth subsalicylate for symptomatic relief. Loperamide is generally recommended for most cases of acute diarrhea because it is safe and effective.<sup>2,7,8</sup>

Thus far, there are few data to support withholding anti-diarrheals in the absence of fever greater than  $101.3^{\circ}\text{F}$  or bloody stools.<sup>9,10</sup>

### History and physical examination

After deciding to proceed with a medical evaluation, the physician faces the dilemma of deciding what is an appropriate evaluation.

The history can give clues to the specific pathogen responsible for the illness (TABLE 1).<sup>3,6</sup> Ask about:

- Travel
- Sexual practices
- Antibiotic use within 2 months
- Other medications (eg, laxatives, antacids, digoxin, immunosuppressive drugs)
- Ill contacts
- Group gatherings after which other attendees also developed similar illness
- Recent surgeries or procedures
- Recent meals
- Water source



TABLE 1

## Clues to specific pathogens in acute diarrhea

### Appearance

#### Bloody diarrhea

Enterohemorrhagic *Escherichia coli*  
*Campylobacter*  
*Salmonella*  
*Shigella*  
*Entamoeba histolytica*

#### Febrile dysentery with pseudoappendicitis symptoms, thyroiditis, pericarditis, glomerulonephritis

*Yersinia enterocolitica*

#### Profuse watery diarrhea after eating inadequately cooked seafood

*Vibrio cholerae*  
 Noncholera *Vibrio*

### Foods

#### Eggs, poultry

*Staphylococcus aureus*  
*Salmonella*  
*Campylobacter*

#### Fried rice

*Bacillus cereus*

#### Home-canned foods

*Clostridium perfringens*

#### Milk products, deli meats, poultry

*Listeria*  
*Campylobacter*

#### Seafood

*Vibrio cholerae*  
 Noncholera *Vibrio*

#### Undercooked beef, contaminated water (and fruits and vegetables washed in contaminated water)

*E coli* O157:H7

#### Pets (turtles)

*Salmonella*

### Host

#### Homosexual men

Herpes simplex virus  
*Treponema pallidum*  
*Chlamydia*  
*Giardia*  
*Cryptosporidium*  
*Neisseria gonorrhoea*  
*Shigella*

#### Immunocompromised

Parasites: *Cryptosporidium parvum*, *Isospora belli*, *Cyclospora*,\* *Microsporidia*  
 Bacteria: *Salmonella enteritidis*, *Campylobacter*, *Shigella*, *Mycobacterium avium intracellulare*  
 Viruses: Cytomegalovirus, herpes simplex virus, adenovirus, human immunodeficiency virus

### Onset

#### < 6 hours (preformed toxin)

*Staphylococcus aureus*  
*Bacillus cereus*

#### 8–14 hours

*Clostridium perfringens*

#### > 14 hours

Bacteria: *Salmonella*, *Shigella*, *Campylobacter*  
 Viruses: adenovirus, Norwalk virus, rotavirus  
 Parasites†: *Entamoeba*, *Giardia*, *Cryptosporidium*

### Setting where acquired

#### Day care centers

Rotavirus  
*Giardia*  
*Salmonella*  
*Shigella*  
*Cryptosporidium*  
*Campylobacter*

#### Hospital or nursing home, antibiotic use in the past 2 months

*Clostridium difficile*

#### Travel to Middle East, South and Southeast Asia, Africa, Mexico, mountainous areas of North America

Enterotoxigenic *E coli*  
*Aeromonas*  
*Giardia*  
*Entamoeba histolytica*

\**Cyclospora* and *Cryptosporidium* have also been documented in immunocompetent patients

†Parasitic infections may have a delayed and variable onset

DEvised FROM RECOMMENDATIONS FROM THE AMERICAN COLLEGE OF GASTROENTEROLOGY<sup>2</sup> AND REVIEWS BY ALEXANDRA ILNYCKYI, MD,<sup>6</sup> AND DAVID ROLSTON, MD<sup>4</sup>

- Pets (particularly turtles)
- The onset and duration of the illness.
- The physical examination is helpful in determining the severity of dehydration, but rarely in determining the etiology of diarrhea. It should include:
  - General appearance and mental status
  - Vital signs, including orthostatic changes in blood pressure and heart rate, as well as temperature
  - Abdominal examination
  - Stool for occult blood

- Skin turgor and mucus membrane moisture (considered to be of value in children, but less reliable in adults, particularly the elderly).<sup>1,3,6</sup>

**Characteristic skin lesions** can in rare cases point to a specific cause of diarrhea due to underlying systemic diseases,<sup>10,11</sup> eg:

- Migratory necrolytic erythema—glucagonomas
- “Pinch” purpura—amyloidosis
- Generalized hyperpigmentation—Addison disease
- Dermatitis herpetiformis—celiac sprue
- Urticaria pigmentosa, telangiectasia macularis eruptiva persistens, and diffuse cutaneous mastocytosis—mastocytosis
- Carcinoid flush and venous telangiectasia—carcinoid syndrome
- Rose spots—typhoid fever.

### Diagnostic studies

**Stool cultures.** Routine stool cultures grow a pathogenic organism in 1.5% to 5.6% of cases, at a cost of \$950 to \$1,200 per positive result.<sup>2,12</sup>

Stool cultures should probably be ordered only if the patient has fever and bloody diarrhea or is immunocompromised, or as part of a research project when investigating an outbreak of diarrhea.

Suspected traveler’s diarrhea would also be an indication for stool culture, but many experts believe empiric antibiotics are appropriate in this situation, since these drugs can decrease the duration of illness by 2 to 3 days. Furthermore, stool cultures may be of little value if the patient responds to empiric therapy.<sup>13</sup>

In hospitalized patients, the yield of stool cultures drops to zero by the third day of hospitalization.

If stool cultures are ordered, it is important to inform the laboratory which organism is suspected. “Routine cultures” will detect *Shigella*, *Salmonella*, and *Campylobacter* species. Special culture media and conditions are required for *Aeromonas*, *Yersinia*, and *Vibrio* species and *Escherichia coli* O157:H7.<sup>4</sup> The pretest probability is based almost entirely on clues from the history and the physician’s knowledge of the epidemiology of acute diarrhea.

**Testing the stool for ova and parasites** is not routinely indicated and should be done

only in the following situations:

- Patients with a history of recent travel to Africa, South and Southeast Asia, Russia, the Far East, and the mountainous areas of North America where *Giardia*, *Cryptosporidium*, and *Cyclospora* infections are endemic
- Homosexuals who are immunocompromised (who are at risk for *Giardia* and *Entamoeba histolytica* infections)
- Epidemiologic studies for community outbreaks of the aforementioned parasites
- Dysentery with few leukocytes.<sup>11,12</sup>

If the patient has recently been hospitalized or has received antibiotics, stool cultures or stool testing for ova and parasites is not warranted, although sending stools for *Clostridium difficile* toxin testing is justified.

In addition to the aforementioned reasons for the low yield of stool cultures, it is also important to realize that viruses are a common cause of diarrhea and are not detected by routine stool cultures.


**Fecal leukocyte testing** can further aid in the decision to order stool cultures. A positive fecal leukocyte test suggests an inflammatory cause of acute diarrhea (although the sensitivity of this test is relatively low) and in the appropriate clinical setting can support obtaining stool cultures.<sup>3,14</sup>


**Endoscopy** is generally not of value in the evaluation of acute diarrhea. However, it may help to determine the cause of the diarrhea and can potentially change the treatment plan if ischemic colitis or inflammatory bowel disease is in the differential diagnosis. Another situation in which endoscopy may be of value is if the patient is immunocompromised and possibly has cytomegalovirus colitis.<sup>6,10</sup>

**Other tests.** Depending on the clinical severity of the illness, other tests to be considered are:

- Plain film radiography of the abdomen—to evaluate the possibility of colitis, toxic megacolon, or ileus
- A basic metabolic panel—to evaluate renal function and electrolyte status if the patient appears moderately to severely dehydrated
- A complete blood cell count—although rarely helpful in determining the cause of diarrhea, it can suggest an invasive organism if there is leukocytosis with bandemia. It can

**When ordering stool cultures, tell the lab which organism you suspect**



also be useful to evaluate for neutropenia if the history and physical indicate the patient may be at risk.<sup>15</sup> 

## ■ REFERENCES

1. **Browning S.** Office management of common anorectal problems: constipation, diarrhea, and irritable bowel syndrome. *Primary Care* 1999; 26:125–126.
2. **DuPont HL.** Guidelines on acute infectious diarrhea in adults. The Practice Parameters Committee of the American College of Gastroenterology. *Am J Gastroenterol* 1997; 92:1962–1975.
3. **Park S, Giannella R.** Approach to the adult patient with acute diarrhea. *Gastroenterol Clin North Am* 1993; 22:483–497.
4. **Rolston DDK.** Acute diarrhea in adults. In: Edmundowicz ED, editor. *Twenty Common Problems in Gastroenterology*. New York, McGraw-Hill, 2002:159–176.
5. **Achkar E.** What is a practical approach to outpatient evaluation of diarrhea in a previously healthy, middle-age patient? *Cleve Clin J Med* 2001; 68:104.
6. **Ilnyckyi A.** Clinical evaluation and management of acute infectious diarrhea in adults. *Gastroenterol Clin North Am* 2001; 30:599–609.
7. **Scheidler MD, Giannella RA.** Practical management of acute diarrhea. *Hosp Pract (Office Ed)* 2001; 36(7):49–56.
8. **Wingate D, Phillips S, Lewis J, et al.** Guidelines for adults on self medication for the treatment of acute diarrhea. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2001; 15:773–782.
9. **Cimolai N, Carter J, Morrison B, et al.** Risk factors for the progression of *E. coli* O157:H7 enteritis to hemolytic-uremic syndrome. *J Pediatr* 1990; 116:589–592.
10. **Schiller L.** Advances in gastroenterology: diarrhea. *Med Clin North Am* 2000; 84:1259–1271.
11. **Fitzpatrick TB, Johnson RA, Wolf K, et al.** In Cooke D, Englis M, Morriss J, editors. *Color Atlas & Synopsis of Clinical Dermatology*, 4th ed. New York, McGraw-Hill, 2001:334,424,490,514.
12. **Thielman N, Guerrant R.** Acute infectious diarrhea. *N Engl J Med* 2004; 350:38–47.
13. **Guerrant R, Gilder T.** Practice guidelines for the management of infectious diarrhea. *Clin Infect Dis* 2001; 32:331–350.
14. **Gore J, Surawicz C.** Severe acute diarrhea. *Gastroenterol Clin North Am* 2003; 32:1249–1267.
15. **Bennett RG, Greenough WB.** Approach to acute diarrhea in the elderly. *Gastroenterol Clin North Am* 1993; 22:517–533.

---

**ADDRESS:** David D.K. Rolston, MD, Clinical Director, A91, Department of General Internal Medicine, The Cleveland Clinic Foundation, 9500 Euclid Avenue, Cleveland, OH 44195; e-mail rolstod@ccf.org.