Contemporary management of peripheral arterial disease: II. Improving walking distance and quality of life

ABSTRACT

Intermittent claudication (IC) is the classic complaint associated with peripheral arterial disease (PAD) and can significantly limit a patient's lifestyle and workplace abilities. IC is defined as reproducible pain affecting the muscles of the lower extremities that begins and increases with activity and resolves with rest. The clinical goals of management include increasing walking distance and improving quality of life. A dedicated, supervised walking program is the foundation of IC management. In addition, two drugs have been approved by the US Food and Drug Administration for the treatment of IC: cilostazol and pentoxifylline. Other agents and treatment strategies have been investigated, and some show clinical promise.

PATHOGENESIS OF IC: AN ACCUMULATION OF ANAEROBIC BYPRODUCTS

With exertion, as the metabolic requirement of the muscle increases, the impaired lower extremity blood flow in a patient with PAD cannot supply sufficient oxygen and glucose to support aerobic metabolism. This allows anaerobic byproducts to accumulate and results in the IC symptoms of muscle pain and fatigue.

HOW IS IC THERAPY ASSESSED?

Measured variables such as pain-free walking distance and maximal walking distance can be assessed and may improve with medical therapy. In addition, subjective quality-of-life measures have been shown to improve in many clinical studies of IC-related treatment. However, clinically meaningful improvement in walking distance and physical functioning is highly subjective and patient-dependent. For this reason, goal-directed medical therapy cannot be overemphasized.

EXERCISE: THE FOUNDATION OF IC THERAPY

A dedicated and supervised exercise program is of paramount importance for improving walking distance in patients with IC. Although such programs are underutilized, they should serve as the foundation for the medical management of IC. Moreover, patients may derive additional benefits from exercise independent of any functional improvements, such as lipid-lowering, glucose-lowering, and blood pressure-lowering effects.

Benefit of exercise may involve a training effect

The mechanism by which exercise rehabilitation improves walking distance is not entirely clear but appears to be related to a training effect. It has been suggested that the periods of intermittent hypoxia induced by ischemia during exercise may initiate adaptive responses within muscle. These responses may include loss of muscle mass and generalized muscle wasting as well as changes in muscle fiber type. Several metabolic adaptations related to oxidative metabolism, with increased aerobic capacity and diminished anaerobic glycolysis, have also been demonstrated.

Regular exercise also may promote improvement in collateral flow to the ischemic muscles. This is demonstrated by collateral development in patients with chronic occlusions. These collaterals are generally believed to represent further development of preexisting vessels due to pressure gradients across areas of occlusion.
promoting hypertrophy of existing vessels rather than the development of new arteries. Exercise training has also been shown to increase the number of mitochondria and capillaries in trained muscles, which may allow for increased cellular oxygen extraction, increased aerobic metabolism, and a reduction in anaerobic glycolysis. Nevertheless, although many patients may derive symptom improvement from an exercise program, changes in blood flow using the ankle-brachial index and other means of measurement rarely document improvement.

Supervised, frequent, and sustained walking programs improve functionality

Many different forms of exercise rehabilitation, such as walking, polestriding (a form of walking with poles similar to cross-country skiing without the skis), resistance training, exercise cycling, and stair-climbing, have been investigated. Moreover, studies have used many different protocols, which makes interpretation of this large body of data somewhat difficult. Nevertheless, two large meta-analyses of clinical trials that used exercise rehabilitation for the treatment of IC have found substantial mean and median improvements in walking ability across 21 and 22 studies, respectively, following exercise rehabilitation (Table 1).

The authors of each meta-analysis concluded by identifying the components of an exercise program that had the greatest impact on improving walking performance, as also outlined in Table 1. Consistent with these meta-analyses, a recent prospective study among patients with PAD showed that self-directed walking exercise was associated with significantly less functional decline when performed at least three times weekly compared with only one to two times a week.

All patients with PAD should be encouraged to exercise. For PAD patients with IC, the above two meta-analyses specifically support the utility of a walking program consisting of at least 3 sessions per week that ideally last 45 minutes per session. Supervised walking programs have demonstrated superior performance over unsupervised programs. Referral to a supervised PAD rehabilitation program, when available, is preferred over a self-directed walking program, and supervised programs now have a specific Current Procedural Terminology code (CPT 93668) for reimbursement.

What is the best level of exercise intensity?

The required level of exercise intensity remains somewhat unclear. In our experience, asking patients to walk to near-maximal pain may hamper participation, since repeated pain and discomfort may limit patients’ adherence. We find that asking patients to walk past the point of initial onset of claudication symptoms is a good alternative, as this still allows for hypoxic stimulation to the muscles without causing undue distress.

APPROVED PHARMACOLOGIC THERAPIES

Beyond the foundational role of exercise in the management of IC, two pharmacologic agents have received US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approval for the treatment of IC: cilostazol and pentoxifylline. Each agent should be used in conjunction with a supervised exercise program as well as with cardiovascular risk-factor modification and antiplatelet therapy, as discussed in the preceding article in this supplement. The recently published practice guidelines for PAD

---

**TABLE 1**

Results and recommendations from two meta-analyses of exercise programs for patients with intermittent claudication (IC)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>No. studies</th>
<th>Key findings on effects of exercise rehabilitation</th>
<th>Recommended characteristics of a program for maximal improvement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gardner/Poehlman⁵</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>Increased mean distance to onset of IC pain by 179%, from 125.9 ± 57.3 m at baseline to 351.2 ± 188.7 m*</td>
<td>Supervised</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Increased mean distance to maximal IC pain by 122%, from 325.8 ± 148.1 m at baseline to 723.3 ± 591.5 m*</td>
<td>Duration of 6 months or more</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulmer/Coombes⁴</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>Produced median improvement of 119% in pain-free walking ability (range, 14% to 276%)</td>
<td>Use of walking as sole mode of exercise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Produced median improvement of 83% in absolute walking ability (range, 23% to 210%)</td>
<td>3 or more sessions per week lasting &gt; 30 min each</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Walking to the point of near-maximal pain</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* P < .001
management from the American College of Cardiology and American Heart Association recommend cilostazol as an effective therapy for improving symptoms and increasing walking distance in patients with PAD and IC, and they recommend pentoxifylline as a second-line alternative to cilostazol.6

Cilostazol: Effects and dosing considerations

Cilostazol is a reversible phosphodiesterase 3 inhibitor that increases available cyclic adenosine monophosphate (c-AMP). The agent’s mechanism of action for increasing walking distance in patients with IC is poorly understood but appears to relate to its c-AMP-mediated vascular properties. Cilostazol has vasodilatory effects, antiplatelet properties, and vascular antiproliferative effects.7 It also reduces serum triglycerides and increases high-density lipoprotein cholesterol while exerting no effects on low-density lipoprotein cholesterol or lipoprotein(a).8,9

The recommended dose of cilostazol is 100 mg orally twice daily, to be taken on an empty stomach. Common side effects include gastrointestinal complaints, including nausea or change in stool characteristics (incidence of approximately 15% for each), headache (approximately 30% incidence), and palpitations (9% incidence).10 The impact of these side effects may be lessened by reducing the starting dose to 50 mg twice daily for several weeks before increasing to the full dose. This regimen may also prove useful in elderly patients, who seem particularly vulnerable to side effects. For many patients, side effects abate with continued use of the medication.

Patients should avoid grapefruit juice when taking cilostazol. Dose reduction should be considered in patients who are also taking drugs metabolized by the cytochrome P-450 isoenzymes (including omeprazole, erythromycin, ketoconazole, and diltiazem), as these may reduce cilostazol metabolism. Because several other phosphodiesterase inhibitors have been associated with decreased survival in patients with heart failure, cilostazol should not be used in patients with any severity of heart failure. No recommendations exist for patients known to have a decreased ejection fraction without evidence of heart failure. Whether these patients should be permitted to use the drug is unknown. To date no excess cardiovascular morbidity or mortality has been associated with cilostazol.10 However, since other drugs are available and may be useful for IC, cilostazol may be best avoided in patients with a decreased ejection fraction. Because of its antiplatelet properties, cilostazol has been demonstrated to prolong bleeding time.11 In one small study, when cilostazol was combined with aspirin, clopidogrel, or both, the bleeding time was significantly prolonged.11 While this effect has not been shown to have clinical significance in trials, discontinuing cilostazol prior to surgery or other procedures may be prudent. Cilostazol should also be withheld in patients at increased risk for bleeding or in those experiencing a bleeding event.

Pentoxifylline: Effects and dosing considerations

Pentoxifylline, a methylxanthine derivative, was approved by the FDA for the treatment of IC in 1984. Although its mechanism of action is poorly understood, it is thought to function via intracellular c-AMP phosphodiesterases. Pentoxifylline displays hemorheologic effects including decreased blood viscosity, increased red blood cell and leukocyte deformability, reduced platelet adhesion, inhibition of neutrophil adhesion and activation, and possibly reduced fibrinogen concentrations.7,12

The recommended dose is 400 mg orally three times daily, to be taken with food. Gastrointestinal complaints are the most common side effects.7,12 Pentoxifylline is metabolized in the liver, although the primary compound and metabolites are excreted in the urine.7,12 Caution in dosing is indicated in patients with hepatic impairment or decreased renal excretion.7,12

Clinical trials

Cilostazol vs placebo. Several trials have compared cilostazol with placebo in patients with IC. All of them have demonstrated improvement in walking distance (both maximal walking distance and pain-free walking distance) with cilostazol. Many of the trials also have evaluated health-related quality-of-life measures using validated, self-reported questionnaires including the Walking Impairment Questionnaire (WIQ) and the Medical Outcomes Short Form-36 (SF-36).

The results of these studies are reflected in two recently published meta-analyses of randomized, placebo-controlled trials that used both treadmill walking protocols and quality-of-life questionnaires to assess cilostazol’s effects.5,13 Two dosages of cilostazol were examined—50 mg twice daily and 100 mg twice daily.

One meta-analysis included eight trials and demonstrated significantly greater increases from baseline in both maximal walking distance and pain-free walking distance with both cilostazol dose groups relative to placebo (Table 2).9

The other meta-analysis included six phase 3 trials and reported its results according to whether the studies used graded or constant-load treadmill protocols (graded protocols, in which the treadmill speed and/or
incline are increased during the protocol, are more demanding and typically demonstrate smaller improvements than do constant-load protocols, which use a fixed incline and speed). In studies using a graded protocol, patients taking cilostazol 100 mg twice daily had a 40% increase in maximal walking distance compared with a 20% increase for placebo recipients (P < .0001); results were similar for pain-free walking distance (P < .0001 for the difference vs placebo). In studies using a constant-load protocol, maximal walking distance increased 76% in patients taking cilostazol 100 mg twice daily compared with 20% in those taking placebo (P < .0001); again, results were similar for pain-free walking distance (P < .0001). Patients randomized to cilostazol 50 mg twice daily also demonstrated improvement relative to placebo in all the evaluated parameters, but improvement was less than with the 100-mg regimen.

Both meta-analyses showed statistically significant improvements with cilostazol relative to placebo on self-reported WIQ measures of walking distance, walking speed, stair-climbing ability, and pain severity. Pentoxifylline vs placebo. Although the tolerability of pentoxifylline is generally good, it has been suggested that its therapeutic benefit may not be considerably greater than that of placebo. However, a meta-analysis of 11 randomized, placebo-controlled, double-blind trials in patients with IC found that pentoxifylline increased treadmill-measured pain-free walking distance by an average of 30 meters and increased absolute claudication distance by approximately 48 meters relative to placebo. The authors cautiously pointed out that 30 meters of treadmill walking is equivalent to 90 meters of walking on flat ground, suggesting that pentoxifylline may play a role in increasing functional walking distance in patients with IC.

**TABLE 2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Increase in MWD</th>
<th>Increase in PFWD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Placebo</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cilostazol 50 mg bid</td>
<td>44%*</td>
<td>60%*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cilostazol 100 mg bid</td>
<td>50%*</td>
<td>67%*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*MWD = maximal walking distance; PFWD = pain-free walking distance
* P < .05 vs placebo

**TABLE 3**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Increase in MWD (m)</th>
<th>Pts with &gt; 50% increase in MWD</th>
<th>Increase in PFWD (m)</th>
<th>Pts with symptoms worsened/unchanged</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Placebo (n = 239)</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cilostazol 100 mg bid (n = 227)</td>
<td>107*</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>94†</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pentoxifylline 400 mg tid (n = 232)</td>
<td>64‡</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>74‡</td>
<td>34%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IC = intermittent claudication; MWD = maximal walking distance; PFWD = pain-free walking distance
* P < .001 vs placebo and vs pentoxifylline
† P < .001 vs placebo and P = .02 vs pentoxifylline
‡ Not significantly different from placebo

**Direct comparative trial.** One randomized, double-blind, multicenter trial has directly compared cilostazol, pentoxifylline, and placebo in patients with moderate to severe IC. As detailed in Table 3, cilostazol was associated with significantly greater increases in both maximal and pain-free walking distance at 24 weeks compared with both placebo and pentoxifylline, whereas the increases with pentoxifylline were not significantly different from those with placebo. Moreover, cilostazol recipients were more likely to have a greater than 50% improvement in walking distance and less likely to have their IC symptoms worsen or remain the same compared with both the placebo and pentoxifylline groups (Table 3). None of the treatments significantly affected patients’ responses on the SF-36 or the WIQ instruments relative to baseline.

**Response may take several months.** For both cilostazol and pentoxifylline, therapeutic benefit appears to have a relatively slow onset and improvement in function and walking distance increases over time. Most trials have evaluated improvement at 4, 12, 16, and 26 weeks of therapy. It is important to stress to patients that these medications take several months to yield improvement. Most clinicians favor a minimum of 12 weeks of therapy before declaring a patient unresponsive to one of these drugs. As indicated above, not all patients will demonstrate...
improvement. One small trial evaluated the effects of withdrawal of cilostazol or pentoxifylline in patients with IC. In this study, the cilostazol (n = 16), pentoxifylline (n = 13), and placebo (n = 16) recipients all demonstrated increases in baseline pain-free and maximal walking distance over a 24-week period; however, none of these increases was statistically significant, likely owing to the small numbers of patients enrolled. Following completion of the 24-week treatment period, the cilostazol and pentoxifylline groups were treated with placebo for 6 weeks. Ater withdrawal of therapy, the cilostazol group lost 49% of the improvement in walking distance that had been gained during therapy, whereas no change after crossover was seen in the pentoxifylline or placebo groups. The authors concluded that patients who respond to cilostazol will likely suffer decreased walking performance after withdrawal of the drug, returning to near-baseline walking distances, while patients whose symptoms have not improved with pentoxifylline should be withdrawn from therapy. In addition, although subjective reporting of improvement is helpful, objectively documenting improvement using treadmill-based protocols may be beneficial.

Therapies Under Ongoing Investigation for IC

In addition to the two FDA-approved therapies for IC, several other therapies and treatment strategies show promise for improving IC symptoms and walking performance in patients with PAD.

Statins

In addition to their role in reducing cardiovascular events in patients with PAD (see preceding article), the HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors (“statins”) have demonstrated beneficial effects in terms of increasing walking distance and improving leg function in patients with PAD in a small number of trials.

In a study of 60 patients, simvastatin increased the time to onset of IC symptoms compared with placebo at both 6 and 12 months. In another trial, conducted among 86 patients with IC, those randomized to simvastatin 40 mg/day had a statistically significant increase in pain-free and maximal walking distance at 6 months compared with those randomized to placebo.

In another research team randomized 354 patients with IC to placebo or atorvastatin 10 mg or 80 mg daily and found that pain-free walking time increased by 63% after 12 months in patients taking atorvastatin 80 mg/day compared with 38% in placebo recipients (P = .025). Differences in the increase in maximal walking time did not reach statistical significance, however, and no significant differences were noted in the ankle-brachial index (ABI) or in SF-36 or WIQ scores.

In a study of 641 men and women with and without PAD, those subjects who were taking statins had significantly better performance on tests of 6-minute walk distance and 4-meter walking velocity and a significantly better summary performance score than did the subjects who were not taking statins. Among the 392 subjects with PAD (ABI < 0.90), statin use was associated with improvements in 4-meter walking velocity and in the summary performance score after adjusting for confounding variables.

Although the precise mechanism by which statins may increase exercise tolerance and improve walking ability remains unknown, it likely relates to the statins’ pleiotropic effects on vascular endothelium, which extend far beyond lipid reduction. It is likely that many of these effects may play a role in PAD.

ACE inhibitors

Angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors also may benefit patients with PAD by improving endothelial function. Few studies have investigated ACE inhibitors for IC, however, and all have been small. In the most recent trial, a 24-week randomized study among 40 patients with symptomatic PAD, ramipril 10 mg/day was associated with significant increases in mean pain-free walking time and maximal walking time compared with placebo.

Therapeutic angiogenesis

Therapeutic angiogenesis has been shown to promote collateral blood vessel formation and improve blood flow. Trials using vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) gene transfer began in 1994. Since then, a number of differently engineered and recombinant angiogenic growth factors, including VEGF, basic fibroblast growth factor, hepatocyte growth factor, and hypoxia-inducible factor-1, have been studied, both in critical limb ischemia and in IC. Unfortunately, clinical outcomes have not been overwhelmingly positive, and some trials have been confounded by significant side effects such as skin rash, edema, and proteinuria.

The only study to date to demonstrate some positive results, the TRAFFIC trial, investigated the use of recombinant fibroblast growth factor-2 (rFGF-2). The study randomized 190 patients to placebo or either single-dose or double-dose intra-arterial infusion of rFGF-2. The single-dose arm demonstrated a significant increase in peak walking time at 90 days relative to the placebo arm, but this difference was not maintained at 180 days. Moreover, the time to onset of IC symptoms was not different between the treat-
L-Arginine

L-Arginine, a substrate for nitrous oxide synthase, is a precursor of nitrous oxide synthase, is a precursor of endothelial-derived nitrous oxide, a potent endogenous vasodilator. It also appears to be a competitive inhibitor of asymmetric dimethylarginine, a nitric oxide synthase inhibitor.29 Supplementation with L-arginine (by intravenous infusion and orally) has been investigated in patients with IC, but results have been inconsistent. A recent pilot study evaluated 3-g, 6-g, and 9-g L-arginine supplements along with placebo in patients with PAD and demonstrated improvement in both pain-free and maximal walking distance in all groups, including the placebo group. The 3-g dose group had the greatest improvement in maximal walking distance, and this dose is expected to serve as a foundation for future investigations.29

Other Investigated Therapies

Prostaglandin derivatives have undergone trials for IC and critical limb ischemia. A mend recently published a meta-analysis of 13 prospective, randomized, controlled trials evaluating prostaglandins in patients with IC.21 Nine of the studies employed intravenous or intra-arterial infusion of prostaglandin E1 (PGE1), and four studies used the oral agents beraprost, iloprost, or AS-013 (an oral PGE1 prodrug). PGE1 was more effective than the other prostaglandins and placebo in increasing pain-free walking distance and maximal walking distance. However, intravenous or intra-arterial infusion therapy is clinically impractical and further study may be warranted.

Two of the studies in this meta-analysis evaluated oral beraprost in comparison with placebo. In one trial, patients who were randomized to 6 months of beraprost therapy (n = 209) demonstrated statistically significantly greater increases in both pain-free and maximal walking distance compared with patients randomized to placebo (n = 213).32 These results, however, were not confirmed by a larger subsequent placebo-controlled trial.31 In addition, anticipated adverse events related to the prostanoid, such as headache, vasodilation, diarrhea, pain, and nausea, were statistically more frequent in the beraprost group than in the placebo group.33

Further investigation of prostaglandins for IC is required before their use can be endorsed.

N afidofurryl and bufloemedil are approved for the treatment of IC in Europe but are not currently available in the United States. N afidofurryl (nafronyl oxalate) is a serotonin antagonist; bufloemedil is an alpha1/2-adrenergic antagonist. In a meta-analysis of six randomized, blinded clinical trials, naftidofuryl demonstrated a significant increase in pain-free and maximal walking distance relative to placebo.34

Immunomodulation therapy has been explored in light of findings that patients with PAD have elevated serum markers of inflammation including high-sensitivity C-reactive protein (hs-CRP), interleukin-6, monocyte chemoattractant protein-1, and soluble intercellular adhesion molecule type-1.35,36 The SIME PA DICO trial investigated a novel immunomodulation therapy for the treatment of IC. While hs-CRP levels were reduced in patients following therapy, there were no changes in pain-free or maximal treadmill walking distance or in quality-of-life measures.37

Other experimental therapies. Chelation therapy, anticoagulation, vitamin E, ginkgo biloba, ketanserin, glutathione, policosanol, ticlopidine, calcium channel blockers such as verapamil and nifedipine, and many other therapies have been investigated in patients with IC.6,7,14,38 At this time, none of these agents is advocated for the treatment of IC.

Summary

Exercise rehabilitation with a supervised treadmill walking program should serve as the foundation for the comprehensive medical management of IC. In addition, two drugs, cilostazol and pentoxifylline, have...
been FDA-approved for the treatment of IC in conjunction with an exercise program. Favorable increases in walking distance and subjective improvement in quality-of-life measures have been demonstrated with cilostazol, whereas results with pentoxifylline are less convincing. Both drugs are fairly well tolerated, with minor side effects reported. Other pharmacologic agents and therapeutic angiogenesis are undergoing investigation for the treatment of IC, but their efficacy and potential role remain to be better defined.
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