

REFERENCES

1. Branson BM, Handsfield HH, Lampe MA, et al; Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Revised recommendations for HIV testing of adults, adolescents, and pregnant women in health-care settings. *MMWR Recomm Rep* 2006; 55(RR-14):1–17.
2. Marks G, Crepaz N, Janssen RS. Estimating sexual transmission of HIV from persons aware and unaware that they are infected with virus in the USA. *AIDS* 2006; 20:1447–1450.
3. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Trends in HIV/AIDS diagnoses—33 states, 2001–2004. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 2005; 54(45):1149–1153.
4. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. HIV/AIDS Surveillance Report, 2004. Vol. 16. Atlanta: US Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; 2005. www.cdc.gov/hiv/stats/hasrlink.htm. Accessed 3/12/2007.
5. Simpson WM, Johnstone FD, Goldberg DJ, Gormley SM, Hart GJ. Antenatal HIV testing: assessment of a routine voluntary approach. *BMJ* 1999; 318:1660–1661.
6. US Preventive Services Task Force. Methodology. In: Guide to Clinical Preventive Services, 2nd ed. Report of the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. Baltimore: Williams and Wilkins, 1995:xxxix–lv. odphp.osophs.dhhs.gov/pubs/guidecps/PDF/Frontmtr.PDF. Accessed 3/12/2007.
7. Mylonakis E, Paliou M, Lally M, Flanigan TP, Rich JD. Laboratory testing for infection with the human immunodeficiency virus: established and novel approaches. *Am J Med* 2000; 109:568–576.
8. Walensky RP, Paltiel AD, Losina E, et al. The survival benefits of AIDS treatment in the United States. *J Infect Dis* 2006; 194:11–19.
9. Sanders GD, Bayoumi AM, Sundaram V, et al. Cost-effectiveness of screening for HIV in the era of highly active antiretroviral therapy. *N Engl J Med* 2005; 352:570–585.
10. Bozzette SA. Routine screening for HIV infection—timely and cost-effective. *N Engl J Med* 2005; 352:620–621.
11. Paltiel AD, Weinstein MC, Kimmel AD, et al. Expanded screening for HIV in the United States—an analysis of cost-effectiveness. *N Engl J Med* 2005; 352:586–595.
12. Marks G, Crepaz N, Senterfitt JW, Janssen RS. Meta-analysis of high-risk sexual behavior in persons aware and unaware they are infected with HIV in the United States: implications for HIV prevention programs. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr* 2005; 39:446–453.
13. Public Health Service Task Force. Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs in Pregnant HIV-1-Infected Women for Maternal Health and Interventions to Reduce Perinatal HIV-1 Transmission in the United States. October 12, 2006. www.aidsinfo.nih.gov/ContentFiles/PerinatalGL.pdf. Accessed 3/12/2007.

ADDRESS: Wendy S. Armstrong, MD, Department of Infectious Diseases, S32, Cleveland Clinic, 9500 Euclid Avenue, Cleveland, OH 44195; e-mail armstrw@ccf.org.

Neuropathic pain

(AUGUST 2006)

TO THE EDITOR: Dr. Mark Stillman's article about neuropathic pain in the August 2006 issue (*Cleve Clin J Med* 2006; 73:726–739) was excellent. However, when discussing drug treatment, he did not mention the cost of drugs. Although pregabalin (Lyrica) is quicker in action than gabapentin (Neurontin), it seems to be equal in effectiveness, and it costs considerably more.

Costco.com lists a month's supply of gabapentin 40 mg three times a day for \$32, while Drugstore.com lists pregabalin 75 mg three times a day for \$158. Amitriptyline 25 mg daily costs \$11 for a month's supply.

Since many patients have either no drug benefit or pay a premium when brand names (eg, Lyrica) are used, it is appropriate for physicians to know the costs of medications and to be able to advise patients appropriately.

GIBERT LOWENTHAL, MD, MBA
Department of General Internal
Medicine
Cleveland Clinic