Endoscopic ultrasonography to evaluate pancreatitis

**ABSTRACT**

Endoscopic ultrasonography (EUS) has become a well-accepted test in the workup of acute and chronic pancreatitis. However, further studies are needed to define its diagnostic role in patients with recurrent acute pancreatitis and minimal-change chronic pancreatitis.

**KEY POINTS**

- EUS can identify the cause of acute pancreatitis when other imaging tests (computed tomography, transabdominal ultrasonography) are unrevealing.
- EUS can safely and accurately detect bile duct stones and other causes of recurrent acute pancreatitis. It can also detect mild and severe structural features of chronic pancreatitis.
- An endoscopic pancreatic function test may be a useful adjunct to EUS to detect mild exocrine insufficiency in early chronic pancreatitis.

Endoscopic ultrasonography (EUS) is a minimally invasive test that provides high-resolution imaging of the pancreas. As such, it is proving useful. Accurate diagnosis and timely intervention are essential in managing acute and chronic pancreatitis, which are often encountered in the clinic and the hospital. However, the cause of acute pancreatitis is not always easy to determine. Furthermore, recurrent bouts can progress to chronic pancreatitis if the cause is not identified and eliminated. EUS has been studied extensively in the evaluation of both acute and chronic pancreatitis, as it can identify obstructive and biliary causes of acute pancreatitis and early structural features of chronic pancreatitis.

This article will review the indications and evidence for EUS in the evaluation of acute and chronic pancreatitis.

**SPECIALIZED TRAINING REQUIRED**

EUS involves passage of a specialized endoscope through the esophagus and stomach and into the duodenum. The scope has a very small ultrasound probe at the tip, allowing detailed imaging of the upper gastrointestinal tract and surrounding organs.

There are two types of EUS endoscope: radial and linear. A radial scope provides a 360° range of view perpendicular to the long axis of the scope. A linear scope provides a 150° view parallel to the long axis of the scope. Many endosonographers favor linear EUS for imaging the pancreas because it permits fine-needle aspiration biopsy of masses, cysts, and lymph nodes.

Specialized training beyond the gastro-
enterology fellowship is usually required to become proficient in performing EUS, in recognizing the anatomy it reveals, and in performing fine-needle aspiration biopsy.

**ENDOSCOPIC ULTRASONOGRAPHY IN ACUTE PANCREATITIS**

Finding the cause of acute pancreatitis can be challenging in patients who do not have typical risk factors, eg, those who do not drink substantial amounts of alcohol and in whom transabdominal ultrasonography fails to reveal gallstones.

Several studies have evaluated the role of EUS in recurrent “idiopathic” pancreatitis. Causes of acute pancreatitis detectable with EUS included gallbladder and bile duct microlithiasis (stones smaller than 3 mm), cysts, intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasms, ampullary neoplasms, pancreas divisum, and pancreatic masses.

**Stones, sludge.** Transabdominal ultrasonography is often performed in the workup of acute pancreatitis to rule out gallbladder stones and biliary dilation. Unfortunately, it does a poor job of imaging the distal common bile duct, where culprit stones may reside.

EUS provides a high-quality view of the bile duct from the ampulla of Vater to the region of the hepatic hilum and is safer than endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP). The available evidence supports the use of EUS as a diagnostic test for bile duct stones. In fact, using ERCP as the reference standard, EUS has been found to be more sensitive than transabdominal ultrasonography for bile duct stones.

The yield of EUS for finding biliary sludge and stones may be high in patients with unexplained pancreatitis. EUS detected sludge, microlithiasis, or both in 33 of 35 patients with idiopathic acute pancreatitis who underwent transabdominal ultrasonography with negative results. Furthermore, most were symptom-free at an average of 10 months after cholecystectomy, suggesting that microlithiasis was the cause of the “idiopathic” pancreatitis.

EUS can also decrease the number of unnecessary ERCP procedures in patients with suspected biliary pancreatitis. In these patients, EUS can be performed as an initial diagnostic test to exclude retained biliary stones. If a stone is present, the endoscopist can proceed to ERCP for sphincterotomy and stone removal during the same endoscopic session. If EUS is negative, the endoscopy can be concluded without cannulating the bile duct and putting the patient at risk of acute pancreatitis. In one report, this approach eliminated the need for ERCP in five of six patients with suspected biliary pancreatitis.

**Tumors** and other causes of bile duct obstruction can also cause recurrent acute pancreatitis and may be difficult to detect with cross-sectional imaging. EUS, on the other hand, can detect small pancreatic masses (< 2 cm), which may be missed by conventional computed tomography. Also, a linear EUS scope, with its forward oblique view, can image the duodenum and ampulla, where obstructing inflammation, tumors, and polyps may be found. One should strongly suspect occult malignancy in elderly patients with unexplained acute pancreatitis. In those patients, repeat imaging with high-resolution dual-phase computed tomography or with EUS should be considered after a few weeks once the acute inflammation resolves.

**Pancreas divisum** is a relatively common congenital abnormality in which the dorsal and ventral pancreatic ducts do not properly fuse during embryonic development. To rule out pancreas divisum, the endosonographer must carefully trace the pancreatic duct from the dorsal pancreas into the ventral pancreas, where it connects with the bile duct at the duodenal wall.

In summary, EUS appears to be safe and accurate for diagnosing bile duct stones and other structural causes of idiopathic acute pancreatitis.

**ENDOSCOPIC ULTRASONOGRAPHY IN CHRONIC PANCREATITIS**

Chronic pancreatitis, a relatively common and sometimes debilitating cause of chronic upper abdominal pain, may be difficult to diagnose using noninvasive imaging tests. Minimal-change chronic pancreatitis is defined as a syndrome of pancreatic abdominal pain with no or slight structural changes detected on imaging but with histologic inflammation and fi-
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A clinical rationale for trying to detect chronic pancreatitis early in its course is that interventions can be started earlier. These include abstinence from alcohol, giving exogenous pancreatic enzymes, and advanced interventions such as celiac plexus blocks for pain control. Some patients may even benefit from resection of the pancreas if pain is severe and resistant to conservative measures.

EUS can detect both parenchymal and ductal changes that correlate with histologic fibrosis. Parenchymal changes include hyperechoic foci, hyperechoic strands, lobularity, cysts, and shadowing calcifications. Ductal changes include dilation of the main pancreatic duct, irregularity, hyperechoic duct margins, and visible side branches.

Several studies have evaluated the ability of EUS to diagnose early chronic pancreatitis. Reference standards used to determine the accuracy of EUS have included histology, pancreatic function testing, and ERCP. The best diagnostic test may be pancreatic histology. However, biopsy of the pancreas is impractical and exposes patients to high risk.

EUS can detect both parenchymal and ductal changes that correlate with histologic fibrosis. The criteria used were four parenchymal features (hyperechoic foci, strands, hypoechoic lobules, cysts) and five ductal features (irregularity of the main pancreatic duct, dilation, hyperechoic duct walls, visible side branches, and calcifications or stones).

EUS is sensitive for chronic pancreatitis, but 'true' accuracy is impossible to know

It is impossible to know the “true” accuracy of EUS because of the heterogeneity of design and inherent limitations of these studies. However, we can reasonably deduce that EUS is sensitive for mild chronic pancreatitis, even early in its course before computed tomography can reveal calcifications or atrophy (FIGURE 1).

Unfortunately, greater sensitivity may come at the expense of worse specificity. Certain demographic variables may alter the EUS appearance of the pancreas. A multivariate analysis found several variables that predicted abnormalities on EUS even in the absence of clinically evident pancreatitis; the strongest were heavy ethanol use (odds ratio [OR] 5.1, 95% confidence interval [CI] 3.1–8.5), male sex (OR 1.8, 95% CI 1.3–2.5), and heavy smoking (OR 1.7, 95% CI 1.2–2.4). More prospective studies are needed to further differentiate true disease from false-positive findings of chronic pancreatitis.

FIGURE 1. Example images of a patient with minimal-change chronic pancreatitis. This 40-year-old woman presented with upper abdominal pain that worsened with fatty foods. Computed tomography (top) showed a relatively normal pancreas. (Arrows delineate the borders of the body of the pancreas.) Endoscopic ultrasonography (bottom) showed several criteria for chronic pancreatitis, including an ectatic main pancreatic duct (black arrows), visible side branches (red arrow), and nonshadowing echogenic foci (white arrow head).
Also, traditional EUS scoring symptoms have counted features in an unweighted fashion and assigned an arbitrary cut point (eg, four or more features) for diagnosis. This approach fails to account for the greater importance of some features (eg, calcifications) compared with others.

Interobserver variability is another important limitation of EUS in diagnosing chronic pancreatitis. In one multicenter study of EUS interpretation, the overall kappa (agreement beyond chance) was only 0.45 for overall chronic pancreatitis diagnosis and worse for many individual criteria for chronic pancreatitis. The endosonographers disagreed most about hyperechoic strands and foci, main pancreatic duct irregularity, and visible side branches (kappa < 0.4).

The Rosemont classification

These limitations led a group of experts to meet in Chicago, IL, to develop a consensus-based and weighted EUS scoring system for the diagnosis of chronic pancreatitis, termed the Rosemont classification.

In this system, the previous parenchymal and ductal features are assigned stricter definitions and reclassified as major and minor criteria. Based on the presence of major and minor features, EUS results are stratified as “normal,” “indeterminate for chronic pancreatitis,” “suggestive of chronic pancreatitis,” or “most consistent with chronic pancreatitis.” Further validation of this scoring system is needed before it can be used widely.

ENDOSCOPIC ULTRASONOGRAPHY PLUS PANCREATIC FUNCTION TESTING

The best way to diagnose minimal-change chronic pancreatitis may be a combination of sensitive structural and functional testing. Although clinically apparent steatorrhea typically occurs late in the course of chronic pancreatitis, mild exocrine insufficiency may occur early and is detectable with hormone-stimulated pancreatic function testing. Therefore, pancreatic function tests are considered sensitive for diagnosing chronic pancreatitis.

Endoscopic pancreatic function testing involves injecting secretin intravenously and then collecting duodenal aspirates through the endoscope. The duodenal fluid is analyzed for bicarbonate concentration as a measure of exocrine function.

We have studied combined EUS and endoscopic pancreatic function testing in the diagnosis of chronic pancreatitis. The combination gives a simultaneous structural and functional assessment of the pancreas and may optimize sensitivity for detecting minimal-change chronic pancreatitis. In a small study, we found the combination had 100% sensitivity for noncalcific chronic pancreatitis compared with a histologic reference standard.

EUS and endoscopic pancreatic function testing can be incorporated into the diagnostic strategy for patients with pancreatic-type abdominal pain. Our suggested algorithm is...
shown in Figure 2. We first perform computed tomography of the abdomen to look for calcifications, atrophy, and ductal dilation suggestive of severe chronic pancreatitis. However, even if computed tomography is negative, the patient may still have mild chronic pancreatitis. Therefore, we next perform EUS to look for mild parenchymal and ductal features indicating pancreatic fibrosis. If the findings on EUS are indeterminate, an endoscopic pancreatic function test is done in the same endoscopic session to confirm the diagnosis.

REFERENCES


ADDRESS: Tyler Stevens, MD, Digestive Disease Institute, A31, Cleveland Clinic, 9500 Euclid Avenue, Cleveland, OH 44195; e-mail stevent@ccf.org.