



**EDUCATIONAL OBJECTIVE:** Readers will recognize the signs and symptoms of abuse of synthetic legal intoxicating drugs

**JASON JERRY, MD**

Alcohol and Drug Recovery Center, Center for Behavioral Health, Department of Psychiatry and Psychology, Cleveland Clinic

**GREGORY COLLINS, MD**

Section Head, Alcohol and Drug Recovery Center, Center for Behavioral Health, Department of Psychiatry and Psychology, Cleveland Clinic

**DAVID STREEM, MD**

Alcohol and Drug Recovery Center, Center for Behavioral Health, Department of Psychiatry and Psychology, Cleveland Clinic

# Synthetic legal intoxicating drugs: The emerging ‘incense’ and ‘bath salt’ phenomenon

## ABSTRACT

Synthetic legal intoxicating drugs (SLIDs), such as those commonly contained in products sold over the counter as “bath salts” and “incense,” have risen tremendously in popularity in the past few years. These drugs can have powerful adverse effects, including acute psychosis with delusions, hallucinations, and potentially dangerous, bizarre behavior.

## KEY POINTS

These products are sold under misleading names and deceptive labels to avoid regulation. Although several have recently been banned, many more are waiting to be brought to the market in a similar fashion.

“Incense” products often contain synthetic cannabinoids; scientific research into their potential long-term effects in humans has been very limited.

The potential for medical and psychiatric adverse events from synthetic cannabinoids may be heightened because of their full-agonist mechanism of action and because of the variable concentration and unregulated potency of these compounds in incense products.

Bath salt intoxication, when encountered in the emergency department, may present as a psychiatric disorder or as a range of medical problems including cardiovascular issues, seizures, and hyperthermia.

OVER THE PAST YEAR, it has been hard to avoid news reports involving people getting high on “bath salts” and “incense” (also known as “Spice” or “K2”). Addiction treatment professionals have been overwhelmed by questions regarding why one would want to “snort bath salts” or “smoke incense.”

These substances are not what they appear to be. They are sold as bath salts and incense and are labeled “not for human consumption” simply to avoid regulation by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA). In reality, they are powerful psychoactive drugs, with effects that mimic those of more commonly abused drugs such as amphetamines and marijuana. Until recently, they were legally available over the counter at quick-marts, head shops, and on the Internet. Because they are relatively new, they may not be detectable on routine urine drug screens, and users may be unaware of the specific chemicals contained in them.

These drugs, which we have collectively termed synthetic legal intoxicating drugs (SLIDs), are increasing dramatically in use.<sup>1-3</sup> A survey of youths at a rave party indicated that 21% had used one of them on at least one occasion.<sup>4</sup> The general impression held by the drug-using public is that SLIDs are relatively cheap, are not detected on standard urine drug screens, can produce a powerful high, and, until recently, were readily available through legitimate sources.

Physicians need to be aware of SLIDs in order to recognize and manage the intoxication syndromes associated with these substances when encountered in clinical practice, and in

order to educate patients about their potential dangers.

■ **SYNTHETIC CANNABINOIDS  
MARKETED AS INCENSE**

Herbal incense products that could be smoked as an alternative to marijuana started appearing on the Internet in Europe in 2004. By 2008, when such products first appeared in the United States, their use in Europe was already widespread.

Initially, consumers were led to believe that such herbal smoking blends were safe, legal alternatives to marijuana, and that it was the proprietary blend of herbs that was responsible for the “natural” high. Spice, a specific brand name, was originally trademarked in England as incense and also as an herbal smoking product.<sup>5</sup>

Legal authorities, however, suspected that these herbal blends were adulterated with synthetic substances. In December 2008, the first such substance was found when Austrian authorities isolated a synthetic cannabinoid, JWH-018, from an herbal incense product.<sup>6</sup> By the end of 2009, five other synthetic cannabinoids—CP-47,497, HU-210, JWH-073, JWH-250, and JWH-398—had been isolated from various herbal incense samples around the world.<sup>7</sup>

The synthetic cannabinoids in herbal incense products are not derived from the hemp plant (*Cannabis sativa*), but are synthesized in laboratories and are formulated to interact with the endogenous cannabinoid receptors in the brain to produce psychoactive effects.

**Synthetic cannabinoids are full agonists; natural THC is only a partial agonist**

Two types of cannabinoid receptors have been discovered in humans: CB1 and CB2. Both types are found in the central nervous system, and CB2 is also found extensively in the periphery. CB1 is the receptor responsible for the psychoactive effects of cannabinoids, including altered consciousness, euphoria, relaxation, perceptual disturbances, intensified sensory experiences, cognitive impairment, and increased reaction time.<sup>6</sup> The physiologic role of CB2 remains uncertain.

The major psychoactive cannabinoid in

naturally occurring marijuana is delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol (THC). The so-called classic cannabinoids, such as HU-210, are analogues of THC and are based on its chemical structure. The rest of the synthetic cannabinoids commonly found in incense products differ in chemical structure from naturally occurring cannabinoids such as THC, but have activity at the CB1 receptor and are thus psychoactive.

Of clinical relevance is that THC is only a partial agonist at the CB1 receptor, while all synthetic cannabinoids commonly found in incense products are full agonists at CB1.<sup>7</sup> This difference is important because partial agonists bind to receptors but stimulate them only partially and therefore exhibit a plateau effect in terms of dose vs clinical response. In contrast, full agonists have no ceiling on the dose-response relationship and therefore have a greater potential for overdose and severe toxic effects.

**Despite uncertainties, use is widespread**

Most of the synthetic cannabinoids in herbal incense products were developed for research purposes, and there are almost no reliable scientific data on their effects in humans. Of additional concern is that no research has been conducted on their pyrolytic effects, ie, how these chemicals are transformed when they are burned, such as when consumers smoke them. Furthermore, herbal incense products often vary in their active substances and concentrations, so consumers really do not know what they are getting.

Despite the many uncertainties, the use of these products is widespread. Data submitted to the US Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) from a major toxicology laboratory indicated that from July through November of 2010, 3,700 samples tested positive for either JWH-018 or JWH-073. This report also indicated that 30% to 35% of specimens submitted by juvenile probation departments were positive for synthetic cannabinoids.<sup>8</sup>

■ **MEDICAL CONCERNS OVER  
SYNTHETIC CANNABINOIDS**

Amid the mysteries surrounding synthetic cannabinoids, one thing is clear: users are in-

**Because these drugs are relatively new, they may not be detectable on routine urine drug screens**

TABLE 1

**Signs and symptoms of synthetic cannabinoid use**

Agitation
Alteration of time perception
Anxiety
Dysphoria
Elevated blood pressure
Listlessness
Hallucinations
Nausea
Paranoia
Seizures
Tachycardia

creasingly seeking medical attention. In 2010, there were 2,906 calls to poison control centers across the United States pertaining to “synthetic marijuana”; in 2011 there were 6,959 calls, and in January 2012, 639 such calls had been placed.<sup>9</sup>

Some of the more common complaints related to the use of synthetic cannabinoids are listed in TABLE 1 and may be potentially serious.<sup>1,10,11</sup> The greater potency of synthetic cannabinoids and their full-agonist mechanism of action may be to blame for the relatively high number of complaints not typically associated with the use of marijuana.

The duration of the intoxicating effects of synthetic cannabinoids is generally longer than that of THC, but this seems to be variable. JWH-018, for instance, seems to have a shorter duration of action, at around 1 to 2 hours, while a longer, 5- to 6-hour intoxicating effect has been observed with CP-47,497.<sup>7,12</sup>

**Serious adverse effects**

Although the prevalence of serious adverse effects associated with the use of synthetic cannabinoids is not known, a number of serious complications have been recognized.

**Seizures.** One case of seizure has been reported in association with the use of synthetic cannabinoids, specifically JWH-018.<sup>12</sup> This case involved a previously healthy 48-year-old man who had ingested a powder that was sub-

sequently confirmed to be JWH-018, which he mixed with alcohol. Of further concern in this case is that this individual developed a refractory supraventricular tachycardia that required cardioversion on the first hospital day.

The authors speculated that the seizure may have been due to a dose-response mechanism that resulted in either the release of presynaptic excitatory neurotransmitters or the decreased release of inhibitory neurotransmitters. They further postulated that the supraventricular tachycardia could have been caused by one of two mechanisms previously reported in association with CB1 agonists: an increase in circulating catecholamines or heightened oxidative demands on the myocardium.<sup>12</sup>

**Psychosis.** The occurrence of psychotic symptoms such as hallucinations and paranoid delusions in association with synthetic cannabinoids is not surprising, given the well-documented link between marijuana use and psychosis.<sup>13,14</sup>

A case report of a 25-year-old patient with a 7-year history of recurrent psychosis that was initially triggered by cannabis use indicated that the use of 3 g of herbal incense on three occasions was associated with worsening of previous psychotic symptoms and the emergence of command and paranoid types of auditory hallucination.<sup>10</sup>

Semistructured interviews of 15 patients in a forensic rehabilitative service, all of whom had a history of psychotic illness, showed that 69% experienced symptoms consistent with psychotic relapse after smoking an herbal incense product containing JWH-018.<sup>15</sup>

It is possible that psychotic symptoms may be more prominent with synthetic cannabinoids than with natural marijuana because not only are synthetic cannabinoids more potent and work as full agonists, but, unlike marijuana, they do not contain cannabidiol, which is thought to have antipsychotic efficacy.<sup>10,16</sup> However, the risk of psychotic symptoms in association with synthetic cannabinoid usage in otherwise healthy people is unknown.

**Regulation lags behind**

Growing concern over the perceived dangers posed by synthetic cannabinoids has led to a ban on some of the more common ones contained in herbal incense preparations.

**One thing is clear—users of synthetic cannabinoids are increasingly seeking medical attention**

On March 1, 2011, the US DEA temporarily placed five synthetic cannabinoids (JWH-018, JWH-073, JWH-200, CP-47,497, and cannabicyclohexanol) under schedule I (banned substances).

Such a ban, however, may be futile because there are an estimated 100 synthetic cannabinoids that have yet to enter the market, and when one is banned, a new one is likely to be introduced immediately as a replacement.<sup>8</sup>

### ■ SYNTHETIC STIMULANTS MARKETED AS BATH SALTS

Like the herbal incense products, “bath salts” may likewise not be what they appear to be. They too may be labeled “not for human consumption” in an effort to bypass laws governing mind-altering substances.

Several pharmacologically active substances have been marketed as bath salts. Two of the more common ingredients are 3,4-methylenedioxypyrovalerone (MDPV) and 4-methylcathinone (mephedrone).

**MDPV** is a dopamine and norepinephrine reuptake inhibitor that acts as a powerful stimulant. It has no FDA-approved medical use, but it is an analogue of the stimulant pyrovalerone, which was once used to treat chronic fatigue.<sup>17</sup>

MDPV seems to be the most common substance found in bath salt products in the United States. A sample of this substance was first seized on the streets by German authorities in 2007. A study in Finland conducted from August 2009 to September 2010 estimated that 5.7% of all arrests for driving under the influence (DUI) unrelated to alcohol consumption involved MDPV intoxication.<sup>17</sup> In 2009, the National Forensic Laboratory Information System of the US DEA had seized only two samples of MDPV, but by 2010 that had increased to 161.<sup>18</sup>

**Mephedrone** is derived from phenethylamine and is closely related to cathinone, the active ingredient in the African khat plant (*Catha edulis*).<sup>19</sup> Khat has a history of abuse, and the chemical structure of cathinone and its derivatives is similar to that of amphetamine.

Mephedrone, a powerful stimulant, is suspected of working as a monoamine reuptake inhibitor, and it may also directly induce the

presynaptic release of monoamines.<sup>20</sup> The net effect is an increase in serotonin, norepinephrine, and dopamine levels at neuronal synapses.

Mephedrone was first described in 1929 by chemist Saem de Burnaga Sanchez, and it remained an obscure research chemical for many years.<sup>21</sup> It was formally recognized as a drug of abuse in Europe in 2007, and by 2009 it was the sixth most frequently used such drug in Europe.<sup>8,22</sup>

Although MDPV and mephedrone are the most common psychoactive ingredients in bath salts, many other synthetic drugs have been found on the market.

### A temporary ban

On September 7, 2011, the US government made it illegal to possess or sell any substance containing MDPV, mephedrone, or methylene. This temporary restriction was to remain in effect for 1 year to give the DEA time to collect data to support a move to permanently control these substances.<sup>3</sup>

Like synthetic cannabinoids, however, synthetic stimulants are very difficult to regulate because they are a large group of substances. As soon as one substance is outlawed, another synthetic stimulant will likely take its place.

### ■ MEDICAL CONCERNS REGARDING SYNTHETIC STIMULANTS

The medical and psychiatric sequelae that are associated with the use of bath salts have sent an increasing number of people to emergency rooms. The number of bath-salt-related calls to US poison control centers increased dramatically from 303 in 2010 to 4,720 by August 31, 2011. Most of these calls were related to tachycardia, agitation, hallucinations, extreme paranoia, delusions, and elevations in blood pressure.<sup>3</sup>

A report of 35 cases of people who had used bath salts and who had reported to Michigan emergency rooms between November 13, 2010, and March 31, 2011, indicated that agitation was present in 66%, tachycardia in 63%, delusions and hallucinations in 40%, seizure or tremor in 29%, hypertension in 23%, drowsiness in 23%, paranoia in 20%, and mydriasis in 20%; one patient was dead on arrival. Of the 34 patients who were alive on

As soon as one substance is outlawed, another synthetic stimulant will likely take its place

TABLE 2

**Signs and symptoms of mephedrone use**

Agitation
Aggression
Anxiety
Bruxism
Chest pain
Confusion
Diaphoresis
Headache
Hyperreflexia
Hypertension
Nausea and vomiting
Palpitations
Peripheral vasoconstriction
Paresthesia
Psychosis
Seizure
Tachycardia

**Mephedrone's effects seem to persist for more than 24 hours**

arrival, 17 (50%) were hospitalized, 15 were released, and 2 left against medical advice. In the patients in this study, 63% had injected the drug, 26% snorted it, and 11% ingested it orally.<sup>2</sup> Toxicology results obtained during an autopsy on the one person who died revealed a high level of MDPV, and the coroner ruled that MDPV toxicity was the primary cause of death.<sup>2</sup>

In some instances, more data are available on the presenting signs and symptoms of some of the specific substances contained in bath salts. For example, several studies reported the effects on those who specifically used mephedrone either alone or in combination with alcohol (TABLE 2).<sup>23–27</sup>

Though the pharmacokinetic properties of mephedrone are unknown, James et al<sup>24</sup> noted that an interesting feature is that its clinical effects seem to persist for more than 24 hours after the last exposure to the drug, which would not be expected based on the rapid elimination of other similar cathinones.

**Sympathomimetic toxicity.** Many of the

symptoms listed in TABLE 2 are consistent with a sympathomimetic syndrome. In a case series reported by Regan et al,<sup>26</sup> most of the 57 patients exhibited cardiovascular findings consistent with sympathomimetic toxicity.

In the study by James et al,<sup>24</sup> one of the patients with chest pain had electrocardiographic changes consistent with acute myocardial infarction. Though it is not possible to conclude from a single case that mephedrone poses a risk of myocardial infarction, such a risk has been reported with khat.<sup>28</sup> More research is needed to determine whether mephedrone poses a risk of cardiac events when used by people with or without an underlying cardiac condition.

**Seizure** also seems to be a relatively common feature associated with mephedrone use in case series of emergency room presentations. The US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention<sup>12</sup> reported that of 35 patients who had used bath salts, 40% experienced seizures or “tremors.” A recent case series<sup>27</sup> of 15 patients presenting to an emergency department after mephedrone use reported that 20% had experienced seizures. In the study by James et al,<sup>24</sup> four patients (3% of the total group) experienced seizures after using mephedrone. It should be noted that, aside from people presenting to emergency rooms, seizures are rarely reported in the wider population of mephedrone users.

**Psychotic symptoms** are also quite common in users of synthetic stimulants who present to emergency rooms, occurring, as previously stated, in 14% to 40% of cases.<sup>2,24</sup>

In a small case series, Penders and Gestring<sup>29</sup> pointed out some common features in three patients who had used MDPV and had presented with psychosis: sleep problems, inattention, vivid hallucinations of intruders, fearfulness, and inability to remember many of the events surrounding their drug use. The authors concluded that the psychotic syndrome present in their three patients was indicative of a short-term delirium rather than a substance-induced psychosis based on the presence of attention deficits and memory problems. The patients in this series responded well to brief hospitalization and antipsychotic medications.

As with seizure, extreme presentations



such as psychosis are infrequently mentioned except in people requiring treatment at a hospital. There are simply no data regarding the prevalence of psychotic symptoms in the larger group of all synthetic stimulant users.

**■ SUSPECT SLID INTOXICATION IN 'PSYCHIATRIC' PATIENTS**

Despite the temporary ban on the more common substances found in Spice and bath salts, it is premature for the medical community to breath a sigh of relief. Producers of these products are already likely bringing to market new ones containing similar but as yet nonbanned substances. Furthermore, such bans will do little to affect Internet commerce; rather than go to a head shop, consumers will order the products online.

Doctors in urgent care centers, emergency rooms, and on general medical floors should pay close attention to any patient without a known psychiatric history who is acting in a bizarre fashion. Most SLID-intoxicated patients will present with anxiety, agitation, and psychosis. Rather than assume that they are psychiatric patients, one should consider the possibility of SLID intoxication and pay close attention to the possible medical sequelae associated with SLID use, such as elevated blood pressure, tachycardia, and seizure.

**Benzodiazepines**, especially lorazepam (Ativan), have been the agents most commonly used to treat both agitation and seizures associated with SLID intoxication.

**Antipsychotics should be used judiciously** because of their propensity to lower the seizure threshold, and patients with synthetic stimulant toxicity are already at increased risk of seizure.

**A psychiatric consult** should be considered in the event of any suspected toxicity or for any patient whose behavior is difficult to manage.

**Restraints** may be needed in some circumstances when agitation cannot be controlled with benzodiazepines alone, to ensure safety for the patient as well as that of others in the emergency department.

**Routine laboratory tests** should be part of the workup of patients suspected of being under the influence of SLIDs. These include

**TABLE 3**

**Abnormal test results with mephedrone use**

- Abnormal renal function
- Acidosis
- Elevated creatine kinase
- Electrocardiographic abnormality
- Leukocytosis
- Transaminitis

a complete blood cell count, complete metabolic panel, and urine toxicology (TABLE 3).<sup>23,25</sup> A routine urine toxicology study will likely be negative, but either the patient or collateral information may give you a general idea of what the patient used, in which case the sample could be sent out for special tests for the more common substances found in herbal incense or bath salt products.

**Electroencephalography** may be indicated if there is any question as to whether the patient may have suffered a seizure. There should be a low threshold to order electrocardiography, especially in the case of synthetic stimulant intoxication.

**Serial cardiac enzymes** may be warranted if a patient with synthetic-stimulant intoxicated has chest pain.

**Education, addiction treatment.** Much is unknown about the risk of SLIDs, but given the adverse events reported in the literature, it seems likely that those with underlying cardiac or psychiatric issues may be at higher risk for the most serious drug-related consequences. With regard to synthetic stimulants, Winston et al<sup>20</sup> recommend a harm-reduction approach involving educating patients about avoiding the development of tolerance, not engaging in polydrug use, not injecting, and paying special attention to remaining cool and well hydrated.

Experience shows that once SLID patients get through their acute crisis and are no longer psychotic, they tend to be forthright in divulging what they used to get high. At that point, consideration should be given to consulting an addiction treatment specialist for further evaluation of the patient's drug use history

**Most SLID-intoxicated patients present with anxiety, agitation, and psychosis**

and for formulation of a treatment plan to help ensure that the patient doesn't return to using these drugs.

### ■ SLIDs POSE A REAL CHALLENGE

SLIDs present a real challenge to law enforcement, governments, the public, and the addiction treatment community. There is currently no way to routinely test for these substances. Furthermore, any tests that are developed or laws that are enacted will be easily evaded, as there are many more synthetic substances waiting in the wings to be released.

Don't be lulled into thinking that SLIDs are gone with the recent bans against some of the more common substances. More SLIDs

are coming, and more morbidity should be expected in medical settings.

Doctors in emergency departments and other settings need to be prepared for the agitated and often psychotic presentation of SLID-intoxicated patients and should be ready with benzodiazepines, restraints, and a calm and reassuring manner. And for patients who present with psychotic symptoms, medical staff should also be ready to consider involuntary short-term commitment to an inpatient psychiatric unit.

Once they recover, patients need to be educated about the dangers of substances such as SLIDs that, because of their novelty, may be perceived as less dangerous alternatives to traditional illicit drugs. ■

### ■ REFERENCES

1. Wehrman J. Fake marijuana spurs more than 4,500 calls to US poison centers. American Association of Poison Control Centers (AAPCC), May 12, 2011. <http://www.aapcc.org/dnn/Portals/0/prrel/updatedk2-may112011.pdf>. Accessed February 20, 2012.
2. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Emergency department visits after use of a drug sold as "bath salts"—Michigan, November 13, 2010–March 31, 2011. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 2011; 60(19):624–627.
3. Canton L. Poison control centers applaud DEA's ban of bath salts. American Association of Poison Control Centers (AAPCC), September 8, 2011. <http://www.mc.vanderbilt.edu/root/vumc.php?site=poisoncenter&doc=36028>. Accessed February 20, 2012.
4. Banta-Green C. "Club drug" use patterns and related behaviors in Seattle, King County. Survey data collected for STEPS (Stemming the Tide of Ecstasy through Prevention Strategies). Report to public health-Seattle, King County, Feb. 9, 2004.
5. Erowid EF, Erowid F. Spice & spin-offs: prohibition's high-tech cannabis substitutes. June 2009. [http://www.erowid.org/chemicals/spice\\_product/spice\\_product\\_article1.shtml](http://www.erowid.org/chemicals/spice_product/spice_product_article1.shtml). Accessed February 20, 2012.
6. Cary P. Spice, K2 and the problem of synthetic cannabinoids. Drug Court Practitioner Fact Sheet 2010; 6:2–3.
7. European Monitoring Centre for Drugs and Drug Addiction. EMCDDA 2009 thematic paper—understanding the 'Spice' phenomenon. Luxembourg: Office for Official Publications of the European Communities, 2009.
8. Rannazzi T. The dangers of synthetic cannabinoids and stimulants. Testimony before the Senate Caucus on International Narcotics Control, United States Senate. April 6, 2011. [http://www.justice.gov/deal/speeches/110412\\_testimony.pdf](http://www.justice.gov/deal/speeches/110412_testimony.pdf). Accessed February 20, 2012.
9. American Association of Poison Control Centers. Poison centers report calls about synthetic marijuana. www.AAPCC.org. Accessed February 22, 2012.
10. Müller H, Sperling W, Köhrmann M, Huttner HB, Kornhuber J, Maler JM. The synthetic cannabinoid Spice as a trigger for an acute exacerbation of cannabis induced recurrent psychotic episodes. *Schizophr Res* 2010; 118:309–310.
11. Lapoint J, James LP, Moran CL, Nelson LS, Hoffman RS, Moran JH. Severe toxicity following synthetic cannabinoid ingestion. *Clin Toxicol (Phila)* 2011; 49:760–764.
12. Vardakou I, Pistos C, Spiliopoulou CH. Spice drugs as a new trend: mode of action, identification and legislation. *Toxicol Lett* 2010; 197:157–162.
13. Fergusson DM, Poulton R, Smith PF, Boden JM. Cannabis and psychosis. *BMJ* 2006; 332:172–175.
14. Moore TH, Zammit S, Lingford-Hughes A, et al. Cannabis use and risk of psychotic or affective mental health outcomes: a systematic review. *Lancet* 2007; 370:319–328.
15. Every-Palmer S. Synthetic cannabinoid JWH-018 and psychosis: an explorative study. *Drug Alcohol Depend* 2011; 117:152–157.
16. Huffman JW, Thompson AL, Wiley JL, Martin BR. Synthesis and pharmacology of 1-deoxy analogs of CP-47,497 and CP-55,940. *Bioorg Med Chem* 2008; 16:322–335.
17. Kriikku P, Wilhelm L, Schwarz O, Rintatalo J. New designer drug of abuse: 3,4-methylenedioxypyrovalerone (MDPV). Findings from apprehended drivers in Finland. *Forensic Sci Int* 2011; 210:195–200.
18. Drug Enforcement Administration. 3,4-Methylenedioxypyrovalerone (MDPV). (Street names: "bath salts," Ivory Wave," "plant fertilizer," "Vanilla Sky," "Energy-1"). October 2011. [www.deadiversion.usdoj.gov/drugs\\_concern/mdpv.pdf](http://www.deadiversion.usdoj.gov/drugs_concern/mdpv.pdf). Accessed February 20, 2012.
19. Kalix P. Cathinone, a natural amphetamine. *Pharmacol Toxicol* 1992; 70:77–86.
20. Winstock AR, Marsen J, Mitcheson L. What should be done about mephedrone? *BMJ* 2010; 340:c1605.
21. Saem de Burnaga Sanchez J. Sur un homologue de l' éphédrine. *Bulletin de la Société Chimique de France* 1929; 45:284–286.
22. Winstock A, Mitcheson L, Ramsey J, Davies S, Puchnarewicz M, Marsden J. Mephedrone: use, subjective effects and health risks. *Addiction* 2011; 106:1991–1996.
23. Winstock AR, Mitcheson LR, Deluca P, Davey Z, Corazza O, Schifano F. Mephedrone, new kid for the chop? *Addiction* 2011; 106:154–161.
24. James D, Adams RD, Spears R, et al; National Poisons Information Service. Clinical characteristics of mephedrone toxicity reported to the UK National Poisons Information Service. *Emerg Med J* 2011; 28:686–689.
25. Wood DM, Davies S, Puchnarewicz M, et al. Recreational use of 4-methylmethcathinone (4-MMC) with associated sympathomimetic toxicity. *J Med Toxicol* 2010; 6:327–330.
26. Regan L, Mitchelson M, Macdonald C. Mephedrone toxicity in a Scottish emergency department. *Emerg Med J* 2011; 28:1055–1058.
27. Wood DM, Greene SL, Dargan PI. Clinical pattern of toxicity associated with the novel synthetic cathinone mephedrone. *Emerg Med J* 2011; 28:280–282.
28. Al-Motarreb A, Briancon S, Al-Jaber N, et al. Khat chewing is a risk factor for acute myocardial infarction: a case-control study. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* 2005; 59:574–581.
29. Penders TM, Gestring R. Hallucinatory delirium following use of MDPV: "bath salts." *Gen Hosp Psychiatry* 2011; 33:525–526.

ADDRESS: Jason Jerry, MD, Center for Behavioral Health, P57, Cleveland Clinic, 9500 Euclid Avenue, Cleveland, OH 44195; [jerryj@ccf.org](mailto:jerryj@ccf.org).