ABSTRACT

Various techniques, including standard bronchoscopy, transthoracic needle aspiration and mediastinoscopy, are used for diagnosis and staging of lung cancer. Minimizing the number of invasive procedures for lung cancer diagnosis and staging is preferred, however, and a growing number of bronchoscopic techniques are being used. Currently available techniques for the initial diagnosis of lung cancer include electromagnetic navigation bronchoscopy with computed tomography mapping and sample collection, endobronchial ultrasound (EBUS) using radial or convex probe tips, and the combination of the two approaches. EBUS with transbronchial needle aspiration (EBUS-TBNA) is highly specific and sensitive for the examination of mediastinal lymph nodes. Several studies have demonstrated the utility of this approach for less invasive lung cancer mediastinal staging. EBUS-TBNA has also been used in the collection of tissue samples for the analysis of tumor biomarkers that significantly influence the selection of cancer treatment strategies. Evidence suggests that EBUS-TBNA may be less useful for restaging patients with lung cancer after cytotoxic therapy.

A bronchoscopic approach is currently recommended for mediastinal staging of lung cancer. Mediastinoscopy remains the procedure of choice for sampling mediastinal lymph nodes in patients with suspected lung cancer. Mediastinoscopy is a well-established surgical procedure that is performed under general anesthesia. Current endobronchial ultrasound (EBUS) techniques provide less invasive lung cancer diagnosis and staging. Recent research has examined the application of endobronchial ultrasound-based assessment for initial diagnosis of lung cancer, mediastinal staging and restaging after neoadjuvant therapy, and evaluation of tumor genetic markers.

BRONCHOSCOPIC LUNG CANCER DIAGNOSIS

Evidence-based clinical guidelines for the diagnosis of lung cancer developed by the American College of Chest Physicians reviewed the sensitivity of standard bronchoscopy (ie, without EBUS or electromagnetic navigation) and ancillary procedures that are often performed in combination with flexible bronchoscopy, such as endobronchial biopsy, brushing, washing, and standard transbronchial needle aspiration (TBNA). A comprehensive review of published studies from 1971 to 2004 was included in the analysis. Overall, the sensitivity of standard flexible bronchoscopy was 88% (67% to 97%) for the diagnosis of central bronchogenic carcinoma and 78% (36% to 88%) for the diagnosis of peripheral bronchogenic carcinoma. Newer techniques have been developed that appear to provide more consistent diagnosis of primary lesions.

Electromagnetic navigation bronchoscopy (ENB) is a functional tool in biopsy planning that uses computed tomography (CT) mapping to precisely locate peripheral lesions. After real-time navigation to the peripheral lesion with a steerable probe, tissue collection may be optimized by guiding sampling instruments directly to the lesion through an extendable working channel. A prospective pilot study examined the feasibility and safety of ENB to reach peripheral lesions and lymph nodes in patients with suspected lung cancer lesions or enlarged mediastinal lymph nodes. Diagnostic tissue was obtained in 80.3% of attempts, including 74% of procedures involving peripheral lung lesions and 100% of procedures involving lymph nodes.
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Another diagnostic method is EBUS, which uses reflected sound waves to better visualize lesions at the time of biopsy. Radial probe endobronchial ultrasound (RP-EBUS) employs a rotating ultrasound transducer at the end of a probe, and is used either with or without a water-filled balloon to improve ultrasound transduction and image quality. Convex-probe ultrasound uses a curvilinear ultrasound probe at the end of a bronchoscope, which allows for real-time TBNA visualization.

A recent meta-analysis examined the yield of RP-EBUS for the evaluation of peripheral pulmonary lesions in 16 studies with a combined population of 1,420 patients. The overall sensitivity of RP-EBUS for the detection of lung cancer was 73%, and the specificity was 100%. In a prospective, randomized clinical trial of patients with peripheral lung lesions, the combination of ENB and RP-EBUS produced a diagnostic yield of 88%, compared with 69% with RP-EBUS alone and 59% with ENB alone (P = .02). Although this finding suggests that a multimodal approach combining ENB and RP-EBUS may improve lung cancer diagnosis, the sample size was relatively small (118 patients).

**Endobronchial Ultrasound for Lung Cancer Staging**

A promising application for EBUS is its use as a less invasive method for confirming metastatic mediastinal lymph nodes in the staging of lung cancer. Figure 1 shows the distribution of the mediastinal lymph nodes and the various diagnostic techniques that may be used to sample different lymph node stations.

In a prospective study of potentially operable patients from Japan with proven (n = 96) or suspected (n = 6) lung cancer, investigators compared CT, positron emission tomography (PET), and EBUS-TBNA for mediastinal lymph node staging using surgical histology as the reference standard. The accuracy of staging was significantly greater with EBUS-TBNA (98%) than either PET (72.5%) or CT (60.8%) (P < .00001). A recent retrospective study examined the use of EBUS-TBNA for clarification of 127 PET-positive hilar or mediastinal lymph nodes from 109 patients with suspected lung cancer. In 77 patients (71%), EBUS-TBNA successfully identified cancerous lymph nodes and obviated the need for further surgical biopsy. In 96 patients with definitive reference pathology, the sensitivity of EBUS-TBNA was 91%, specificity was 100%, and diagnostic accuracy was 92%. The positive predictive value of EBUS was 100%, but the negative predictive value (ie, the proportion of patients with negative EBUS-TBNA who were also negative on surgical pathology) was only 60%. This suggests a relatively high rate of false-negative EBUS-TBNA findings in this PET-positive group of patients.

A recent study prospectively evaluated the usefulness of EBUS-TBNA after PET-CT for mediastinal staging in 117 patients with potentially operable non–small cell lung cancer (NSCLC). Patients were classified as either N2- or N3-positive or -negative using EBUS-TBNA, and patients who were N2- or N3-negative underwent surgical staging with lymph node dissection. Mediastinal node metastasis was
confirmed by EBUS-TBNA in 37 nodal stations of 27 patients. Ninety patients who were negative by 
EBUS-TBNA underwent surgery with lymph node 
dissection. Three were reclassified as positive and 87 as negative. The overall sensitivity of EBUS-TBNA 
for the detection of mediastinal metastases was 90% versus 79% with PET-CT \( (P = .052) \). For the subgroup 
of 61 patients who had a normal mediastinum by 
PET-CT, nine were found to have mediastinal metastases at surgical evaluation. Six of these nine false-

negative results were correctly identified by EBUS-TBNA.

Similar results were found in a study examining the 
use of EBUS-TBNA in 97 patients with confirmed 
NSCLC, no enlarged lymph nodes on CT (ie, no lymph nodes larger than 1 cm in short axis), and no 
abnormal mediastinal PET findings.\(^9\) Lymph nodes as 
small as 5 mm by ultrasound imaging at stations 2R, 
2L, 4R, 4L, 7, 10R, 10L, 11R, and 11L were aspirated, 
and all patients underwent surgical staging. Malignant 
lymph nodes were detected by surgical staging in nine 
patients, and eight of these were identified by EBUS-
TBNA. The sensitivity of EBUS-TBNA for the detection 
of mediastinal metastases was 89%; the specificity was 
100%; and the negative predictive value was 99%.

**Guided fine-needle aspiration** 
with ultrasound bronchoscopy

An additional approach to mediastinal lung cancer staging 
is endoscopic ultrasound with bronchoscope-guided 
fine-needle aspiration (EUS-B-FNA) and EBUS-
TBNA in a single procedure. The use of EBUS-TBNA 
and EUS-B-FNA for NSCLC staging was examined in 
a prospective study of 150 patients with confirmed 
or strongly suspected NSCLC.\(^10\) Patients underwent 
EBUS-TBNA, and EUS-B-FNA then was used for 
nodes that were inaccessible through the airways. 
EBUS-TBNA diagnosed mediastinal metastases in 38 
of 143 patients, and three more patients were identified 
by additional EUS-B-FNA. Surgery identified four 
additional patients with mediastinal metastases that 
were negative by both EBUS-TBNA and EUS-B-FNA. 
Overall sensitivity for the detection of mediastinal 
metastases was 94.4% with EBUS-TBNA alone versus 
91.1% with EBUS-TBNA followed by EUS-B-FNA, 
but this was not statistically significant \( (P = .32) \).

A second study of 139 patients with confirmed 
NSCLC reported similar results when EBUS-TBNA 
and EUS-B-FNA were performed using a single ultrasound bronchoscope.\(^11\) The sensitivity for detection of 
mediastinal metastases was 89% with EUS-FNA, 
92% with EBUS-TBNA, and 96% with the combined approach. The specificity was 100% for all three 
approaches. The negative predictive values were 82% 
for the esophageal approach, 92% for the endobronchial 
approach, and 86% for the combined approach.

**Meta-analyses support EBUS-TBNA for staging**

The usefulness of EBUS-TBNA for NSCLC staging has been examined in two recent meta-analyses. The first included data from 11 studies of EBUS-TBNA 
with 1,299 patients.\(^12\) Overall, the included studies yielded a pooled sensitivity of 93% and a specificity of 
100% for the detection of metastatic mediastinal lymph nodes (95% CI). The sensitivity was higher for 
patients who were selected for evaluation on the basis of positive PET or CT findings than for patients with- 
out selection by PET or CT (0.94 vs 0.76) \( (P < .05) \). The authors concluded that EBUS-TBNA for lung 
cancer staging is accurate, safe, and cost-effective, 
and that selection of patients based on CT or PET 
findings resulted in higher sensitivity.

The second meta-analysis examined data from 
10 studies evaluating the utility of EBUS-TBNA 
for lung cancer staging.\(^13\) This meta-analysis also yielded high sensitivity (88%) and specificity (100%) 
of EBUS-TBNA for the identification of metastatic 
mediastinal lymph nodes.

**EVALUATION OF EBUS VERSUS MEDIASTINOSCOPY 
AND OTHER INVASIVE TESTS**

Although several studies suggest that EBUS-TBNA 
provides an accurate and less invasive method for 
assessment of mediastinal lymph nodes in the medi-
astinal staging of patients with NSCLC, few studies 
have directly compared EBUS-TBNA with mediasti-
noscopy. In a prospective crossover trial, 66 patients 
with suspected NSCLC underwent mediastinal staging 
using EBUS-TBNA followed by mediastinoscopy, with 
surgical lymph node dissection as the reference stan-
dard.\(^14\) The overall diagnostic yield for all lymph nodes 
was significantly higher with EBUS-TBNA than with mediastinoscopy (91% vs 78%) \( (P = .007) \). However, 
this difference was primarily due to a higher success 
rate in the diagnosis of subcarinal lymph nodes (98% 
vs 78%) \( (P = .007) \), which can be difficult to evalu- 
ate with mediastinoscopy. Differences between the 
two methods at other node stations were not statisti-
cally significant \( (Table) \). In the 57 patients who were 
diagnosed with NSCLC, the prediction of the correct 
pathologic stage did not differ significantly between 
the two approaches (93% with EBUS-TBNA vs 82% 
with mediastinoscopy) \( (P = .083) \).

A more recent randomized, multicenter clinical 
trial compared endosonographical staging (EUS-
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FNA and EBUS-TBNA) with mediastinoscopy in 241 patients with resectable suspected NSCLC. Patients were randomized to either surgical staging or to endosonography followed by surgical staging for those without nodal metastases using ultrasound-guided FNA. The sensitivity for detection of nodal metastases was 79% with surgical staging and 94% with endosonography and surgical staging (P = .02). Comparing the sensitivity of the two procedures alone, without follow-up surgical staging when ultrasound was negative, the sensitivities of the two approaches were similar: 79% with mediastinoscopy and 85% with endosonographic staging alone.

Another retrospective study examined the results of EBUS-TBNA for the initial diagnosis and staging of 88 patients with known or suspected lung cancer who underwent at least one invasive diagnostic or staging procedure before EBUS-TBNA. The selection of EBUS-TBNA and bronchoscopy as the initial test for diagnosis and staging could have prevented at least one invasive test in 50% of patients, and could have been the only invasive test procedure in 47.7% of individuals. In 27 patients who underwent two or more invasive tests, EBUS-TBNA could have avoided at least one invasive test in 16 patients (59%).

### PATHWAYS TO DIAGNOSIS

A proposed diagnostic algorithm for suspected NSCLC is shown in Figure 2. When lung cancer is highly suspected on the basis of focused patient history and physical examination, the patient should undergo CT-PET or chest CT with contrast that also should assess the liver and adrenal glands. If the patient has radiographic evidence of metastatic disease, the next step is biopsy of the most accessible, most advanced lesion for tissue diagnosis and staging. In patients without evidence of metastatic disease, the next step is to evaluate the mediastinal lymph nodes. Patients with evidence of nodal involvement on PET-CT or without evidence of nodal involvement but with larger tumors (eg, stage T1b or larger) may be evaluated using EBUS-TBNA as the first invasive test if available or mediastinoscopy. Standard bronchoscopy in conjunction with EBUS-TBNA has the capability of sampling the primary lesion when the mediastinal staging fails to demonstrate malignant disease. Therefore, it can provide a definitive diagnosis in addition to mediastinal staging during one single procedure, whereas mediastinoscopy typically cannot assess the primary lesion if necessary.

### APPLICATIONS IN MOLECULAR TUMOR PROFILING

Genetic profiling of lung cancer tissue samples is essential to identify biomarkers that significantly influence treatment responses, and EBUS-TBNA has been used to obtain biopsy tissue samples for genetic analysis. One study examined the detection of EGFR gene mutations in biopsy tissue samples obtained from 46 patients with metastatic adenocarcinoma to the hilar or mediastinal lymph nodes diagnosed by EBUS-TBNA. Recut sections of the paraffin-embedded samples yielded tumor cells in 43 patients, and tissue samples were examined for mutations of EGFR exons 19 and 21. Five patients underwent surgical resection, and three of these yielded samples with EGFR mutations at exon 21. Examination of the 43 EBUS-TBNA specimens revealed EGFR mutations in 11. These included three of the mutations that were identified from surgical specimens. A more recent study examined the concordance between mutations of KRAS, EGFR, BRAF, and PIK3CA obtained by EBUS-TBNA, EUS-B-FNA, and histologic samples obtained during surgical staging from EBUS-TBNA.

### TABLE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lymph node size in mm mean ± SD (range)</th>
<th>EBUS yield (%)</th>
<th>Mediastinoscopy yield (%)</th>
<th>P*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All lymph nodes</td>
<td>15 ± 2.6 (10–21)</td>
<td>109/120 (91)</td>
<td>94/120 (78)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymph node station</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 all</td>
<td>16 ± 3.1 (10–21)</td>
<td>24/25 (96)</td>
<td>22/25 (88)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 right</td>
<td>18 ± 1.6 (14–20)</td>
<td>12/13 (92)</td>
<td>11/13 (85)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 left</td>
<td>14 ± 3.6 (10–21)</td>
<td>12/12 (100)</td>
<td>11/12 (92)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 all</td>
<td>15 ± 2.6 (10–19)</td>
<td>45/54 (83)</td>
<td>40/54 (74)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 right</td>
<td>15 ± 2.6 (10–19)</td>
<td>29/34 (85)</td>
<td>24/34 (71)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 left</td>
<td>15 ± 2.6 (10–19)</td>
<td>16/20 (80)</td>
<td>16/20 (80)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>15 ± 2.4 (10–19)</td>
<td>40/41 (98)</td>
<td>32/41 (78)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P value by chi square test.

EBUS-TBNA = endobronchial ultrasound–guided transbronchial needle aspiration

43 patients. KRAS mutations were identified in six patients, EGFR mutation in one patient, and PIK3CA mutation in one patient. The investigators observed 100% concordance between cytologic fine-needle aspirates and histologic specimens, suggesting no additional benefit of more invasive procedures for the evaluation of tumor biomarkers.

**EBUS RESTAGING OF LUNG CANCER**

The utility of EBUS-TBNA has also been investigated for restaging of lung cancer following neoadjuvant chemotherapy. Mediastinal restaging using EBUS-TBNA was performed in 124 consecutive patients with stage IIIA-N2 NSCLC who had received chemotherapy induction. CT evaluation revealed partial responses for 66 patients and stable disease in 58. All patients subsequently underwent thoracotomy and attempted curative resection with lymph node dissection. Of 58 patients with stable disease on CT, 41 were EBUS-TBNA-positive for mediastinal metastasis, and all were thoracotomy-positive. However, in 17 patients who were EBUS-TBNA-negative, 14 were thoracotomy-positive and only three were thoracotomy-negative. Similarly, in 66 patients with partial response to treatment on CT, 48 were EBUS-TBNA-positive for mediastinal metastasis, and all were thoracotomy-positive. In 18 patients who were EBUS-TBNA-negative, 14 were thoracotomy-positive and only four were also thoracotomy-negative. Overall, the sensitivity of EBUS-TBNA was 77% in patients with partial responses and 75% in those with stable disease. The negative predictive value of EBUS-TBNA in this

![FIGURE 2. This diagnostic algorithm should be followed for patients with suspected non–small cell lung cancer (NSCLC).](#)

CBC = complete blood count; COPD = chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; CT = computed tomography; DLCO = diffusion capacity of the lung for carbon monoxide; EBUS-TBNA = endobronchial ultrasound-guided transbronchial needle aspiration; PET = positron emission tomography

series was very low: 22% in the partial response group and 18% in the stable disease group.

Similar results were obtained in a European study that examined EBUS-TBNA mediastinal restaging after neoadjuvant therapy in patients with pathologically confirmed N2 disease. Patients with negative or uncertain EBUS-TBNA were reexamined using transcervical extended bilateral mediastinal lymphadenectomy, a surgical staging procedure that is not widely used in the United States. Of 85 mediastinal lymph nodes from 61 patients that were examined using EBUS-TBNA, nine patients (15%) had a false-negative result with EBUS-TBNA, and three patients (5%) had a false-positive result. On a per-patient basis, the sensitivity of EBUS-TBNA was 67% and the negative predictive value was 78%.

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

Newer technologies such as EBUS-TBNA make it possible to simplify the diagnosis and staging of lung cancer. Bronchoscopy with EBUS may be the preferred method for the initial diagnosis and staging of patients who have disease limited to the chest. EBUS is clearly superior to current modalities for mediastinal staging such as CT and PET, and appears to be similar to mediastinoscopy. Standard bronchoscopy with EBUS followed by mediastinoscopy, if necessary, appears to be the best strategy for initial diagnosis and staging of patients with suspected lung cancer radiographically limited to the chest. However, at this time, diagnosis and staging should rely on local expertise rather than a particular methodology. Patients with T1B lesions or higher should be considered for invasive mediastinal staging regardless of their PET or CT results. The available evidence suggests that EBUS is a reasonable initial test for mediastinal restaging following neoadjuvant chemotherapy. However, a negative EBUS in this setting should prompt additional invasive tests to confirm its findings.
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