### THEODORE T. SUH, MD, PhD, MHS

Associate Professor, Internal Medicine, Geriatric and Palliative Medicine, University of Michigan Health System, Ann Arbor, MI

# Whether to anticoagulate: Toward a more reasoned approach

The article by Hagerty and Rich in this issue of the Cleveland Clinic Journal of Medicine<sup>1</sup> covers an important topic—whether elderly patients with atrial fibrillation should receive anticoagulant therapy for it, or whether the risk of bleeding with this therapy outweighs the benefit of preventing stroke.

See related article, page 35

## BETTER RISK PREDICTORS ARE NEEDED

Prediction tools are available for estimating the risk of stroke in patients with atrial fibrillation without anticoagulation<sup>2,3</sup> and to estimate bleeding risk from anticoagulation<sup>4-7</sup> (**Table 1**). Both tools have limitations, but as Hagerty and Rich point out, the stroke risk scales are likely better than the bleeding risk scales.

For example, Fang et al<sup>8</sup> note that the risk of intracranial hemorrhage increases significantly after age 85. The bleeding risk scales use lower age cutoffs than this, perhaps increasing their sensitivity but decreasing their specificity.

Although HAS-BLED<sup>5,6</sup> includes antiplatelet drugs such as nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs and aspirin as risk factors for bleeding, ATRIA<sup>4</sup> and HEMORR<sub>2</sub>HAGES<sup>7</sup> do not.

Other drugs such as macrolides, quinolones, and high-dose corticosteroids raise the international normalized ratio (INR). These are typically used short-term, but can cause major fluctuations in the INR that may not be detected by monthly INR checks. Incorporating the short-term use of such drugs into doi:10.3949/ccim.84a.16086

#### TABLE 1

# Risk scores discussed in this article

# For predicting stroke risk in atrial fibrillation without anticoagulation therapy

**CHADS**<sub>2</sub>—1 point each for **c**ongestive heart failure, **h**ypertension, **a**ge ≥ 75, **d**iabetes mellitus; 2 points for prior **s**troke or transient ischemic attack (possible points 6)<sup>2</sup>

**CHA**<sub>2</sub>**DS**<sub>2</sub>-**VASc**—1 point each for **c**ongestive heart failure, **h**ypertension, **a**ge 65–74, **d**iabetes mellitus, **v**ascular disease (coronary artery disease, peripheral arterial disease, aortic aneurysm), **s**ex category female; 2 points for **a**ge  $\geq$  75 and for prior **s**troke or transient ischemic attack (possible points 9)<sup>3</sup>

# For predicting bleeding risk with anticoagulation therapy

**ATRIA**—anemia, 3 points; severe renal disease, 3 points; age ≥ 75, 2 points; previous bleeding event, 1 point; hypertension 1 point (possible points 10); ATRIA stands for Anticoagulation and Risk Factors in Atrial Fibrillation study<sup>4</sup>

**HAS-BLED**—1 point each for hypertension, abnormal renal function, abnormal liver function, stroke, bleeding history, labile international normalized ratio, elderly status (age > 65), drugs, and alcohol abuse (possible points 9)<sup>5,6</sup>

**HEMORR<sub>2</sub>HAGES**—1 point each for hepatic or renal abnormality, ethanol abuse, malignancy, older age (> 75) reduced platelet function; 2 points for rebleeding risk; and 1 point each for hypertension (uncontrolled) anemia, genetic factors, excessive falls, and stroke (possible points 12)<sup>7</sup>

bleeding risk scales would be difficult if not impossible a priori. Yet clinicians should be aware that these drugs can affect bleeding risk.

As Hagerty and Rich note,<sup>1</sup> the bleeding risk scores were developed for warfarin, and their applicability to patients treated with novel oral anticoagulants is uncertain.

All three of the available bleeding risk

scales consider prior bleeding as a risk factor, but the severity of the prior bleeding varies. Although it is understandable to include major bleeding as a risk factor since it carries an increased risk of death, minor bleeding can affect morbidity and quality of life. Only the ATRIA score<sup>4</sup> considers both major and minor bleeding, while HEMORR<sub>2</sub>HAGES<sup>7</sup> does not specify bleeding severity, and HAS-BLED<sup>5,6</sup> considers only major bleeding. Clearly, there is a need to update these existing bleeding risk scores so that they can apply to novel oral anticoagulants and consider both major and minor bleeding.

As the authors note, for predicting the risk of stroke, the CHA<sub>2</sub>DS<sub>2</sub>-VASc score<sup>3</sup> provides

more precision than the CHADS<sub>2</sub> score<sup>2</sup> at the lower end of the benefit spectrum. Unfortunately, there is no similar screening tool to predict bleeding risk from anticoagulation with greater precision in the middle to lower part of the risk spectrum.

#### ■ THE PATIENT'S PREFERENCES MATTER

The patient's life expectancy and personal preferences are important independent factors that affect the decision of whether to anticoagulate or not. It is the responsibility of clinicians who care for older adults to make sure that these two important considerations are included in any anticoagulation decision-making for this group of patients.

#### REFERENCES

- Hagerty T, Rich MW. Fall risk and anticoagulation for atrial fibrillation in the elderly: a delicate balance. Cleve Clin J Med 2017; 84:35–40.
- Gage BF, Waterman AD, Shannon W, Boechler M, Rich MW, Radford MJ. Validation of clinical classification schemes for predicting stroke: results from the National Registry of Atrial Fibrillation. JAMA 2001; 285:2864–2870.
- Lip GY, Nieuwlaat R, Pisters R, Lane DA, Crijns HJ. Refining clinical risk stratification for predicting stroke and thromboembolism in atrial fibrillation using a novel risk factor-based approach: the Euro Heart Survey on atrial fibrillation. Chest 2010; 137:263–272.
- Fang MC, Go AS, Chang Y, et al. A new risk scheme to predict warfarin-associated hemorrhage: the ATRIA (Anticoagulation and Risk Factors in Atrial Fibrillation) study. J Am Coll Cardiol 2011; 58:395–401.
- Pisters R, Lane DA, Nieuwlaat R, de Vos CB, Crijns HJ, Lip GY. A novel user-friendly score (HAS-BLED) to assess 1-year risk of major bleeding in patients with atrial fibrillation: the Euro Heart Survey. Chest 2010;

38:1093-1100

- Lip GY, Frison L, Halperin JL, Lane DA. Comparative validation of a novel risk score for predicting bleeding risk in anticoagulated patients with atrial fibrillation: the HAS-BLED (Hypertension, Abnormal Renal/Liver Function, Stroke, Bleeding History or Predisposition, Labile INR, Elderly, Drugs/Alcohol Concomitantly) score. J Am Coll Cardiol 2011; 57:173–180.
- Gage BF, Yan Y, Milligan PE, et al. Clinical classification schemes for predicting hemorrhage: results from the National Registry of Atrial Fibrillation (NRAF). Am Heart J 2006; 151:713–719.
- Fang MC, Chang Y, Hylek EM, et al. Advanced age, anticoagulation intensity, and risk for intracranial hemorrhage among patients taking warfarin for atrial fibrillation. Ann Intern Med 2004; 141:745–752.

ADDRESS: Theodore T. Suh, MD, PhD, MHS, Geriatric and Palliative Medicine, Internal Medicine, University of Michigan Health System, 300 North Ingalls, Room 905, Ann Arbor, MI 48109; tedsuh@med.umich.edu