

ALI E. DENKTAS, MD, FACC, FSCAI

Associate Professor of Medicine, Division of Cardiology, Baylor College of Medicine; Director of Cardiac Catheterization Laboratories, Michael E. DeBakey VA Medical Center, Houston, TX; site Principal Investigator for the SYMPLICITY HTN-3 Trial

DAVID PANIAGUA, MD, FACC, FSCAI

Associate Professor of Medicine, Division of Cardiology, Baylor College of Medicine; Director of Structural Heart Disease Interventions, Michael E. DeBakey VA Medical Center, Houston, TX

HANI JNEID, MD, FACC, FAHA, FSCAI

Associate Professor of Medicine and Director of Interventional Cardiology Research, Baylor College of Medicine; Director of Interventional Cardiology, Michael E. DeBakey VA Medical Center, Houston, TX

Renal denervation: Are we on the right path?

WHEN RENAL SYMPATHETIC DENERVATION, an endovascular procedure designed to treat resistant hypertension, failed to meet its efficacy goal in the SYMPLICITY HTN-3 trial,¹ the news was disappointing.

See related article, page 681

In this issue of the *Cleveland Clinic Journal of Medicine*, Shishehbor et al² provide a critical review of the findings of that trial and summarize its intricacies, as well as the results of other important trials of renal denervation therapy for hypertension. To their excellent observations, we would like to add some of our own.

■ HYPERTENSION: COMMON, OFTEN RESISTANT

The worldwide prevalence of hypertension is increasing. In the year 2000, about 26% of the adult world population had hypertension; by the year 2025, the number is projected to rise to 29%—1.56 billion people.³

Only about 50% of patients with hypertension are treated for it and, of those, about half have it adequately controlled. In one report, about 30% of US patients with hypertension had adequate blood pressure control.⁴

Patients who have uncontrolled hypertension are usually older and more obese, have higher baseline blood pressure and excessive salt intake, and are more likely to have chronic kidney disease, diabetes, obstructive sleep apnea, and aldosterone excess.⁵ Many of these conditions are also associated with increased sympathetic nervous system activity.⁶

Resistance and pseudoresistance

But lack of control of blood pressure is not the same as resistant hypertension. It is important to differentiate resistant hypertension from *pseudoresistant* hypertension, ie, hypertension that only seems to be resistant.⁷ Resistant hypertension affects 12.8% of all drug-treated hypertensive patients in the United States, according to data from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey.⁸

Factors that can cause pseudoresistant hypertension include:

- Suboptimal antihypertensive regimens (truly resistant hypertension means blood pressure that remains high despite concurrent treatment with 3 antihypertensive drugs of different classes, 1 of which is a diuretic, in maximal doses)
- The white coat effect (higher blood pressure in the office than at home, presumably due to the stress of an office visit)
- Suboptimal blood pressure measurement techniques (eg, use of a cuff that is too small, causing falsely high readings)
- Physician inertia (eg, failure to change a regimen that is not working)
- Lifestyle factors (eg, excessive sodium intake)
- Medications that interfere with blood pressure control (eg, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs)
- Poor adherence to prescribed medications.

Causes of secondary hypertension such as obstructive sleep apnea, primary aldosteronism, and renal artery stenosis should also be ruled out before concluding that a patient has resistant hypertension.

The SYMPLICITY HTN-3 trial did not meet its primary efficacy end points

doi:10.3949/ccjm.84a.17024

Treatment prevents complications

Hypertension causes a myriad of medical diseases, including accelerated atherosclerosis, myocardial ischemia and infarction, both systolic and diastolic heart failure, rhythm problems (eg, atrial fibrillation), and stroke.

Most patients with resistant hypertension have no identifiable reversible causes of it, exhibit increased sympathetic nervous system activity, and have increased risk of cardiovascular events. The risk can be reduced by treatment.^{9,10}

Adequate and sustained treatment of hypertension prevents and mitigates its complications. The classic Veterans Administration Cooperative Study in the 1960s demonstrated a 96% reduction in cardiovascular events over 18 months with the use of 3 antihypertensive medications in patients with severe hypertension.¹¹ A reduction of as little as 2 mm Hg in the mean blood pressure has been associated with a 10% reduction in the risk of stroke mortality and a 7% decrease in ischemic heart disease mortality.¹² This is an important consideration when evaluating the clinical end points of hypertension trials.

■ SYMPPLICITY HTN-3 TRIAL: WHAT DID WE LEARN?

As controlling blood pressure is paramount in reducing cardiovascular complications, it is only natural to look for innovative strategies to supplement the medical treatments of hypertension.

The multicenter SYMPPLICITY HTN-3 trial¹ was undertaken to establish the efficacy of renal-artery denervation using radiofrequency energy delivered by a catheter-based system (Symplicity RDN, Medtronic, Dublin, Ireland). This randomized, sham-controlled, blinded study did not show a benefit from this procedure with respect to either of its efficacy end points—at 6 months, a reduction in office systolic blood pressure of at least 5 mm Hg more than with medical therapy alone, or a reduction in mean ambulatory systolic pressure of at least 2 mm Hg more than with medical therapy alone.

Despite the negative results, this medium-size (N = 535) randomized clinical trial still represents the highest-level evidence in the field, and we ought to learn something from it.

Limitations of SYMPPLICITY HTN-3

Several factors may have contributed to the negative results of the trial.

Patient selection. For the most part, patients enrolled in renal denervation trials, including SYMPPLICITY HTN-3, were not selected on the basis of heightened sympathetic nervous system activity. Assessment of sympathetic nervous system activity may identify the population most likely to achieve an adequate response.

Of note, the baseline blood pressure readings of patients in this trial were higher in the office than on ambulatory monitoring. Patients with white coat hypertension have increased sympathetic nervous system activity and thus might actually be good candidates for renal denervation therapy.

Adequacy of ablation was not measured.

Many argue that an objective measure of the adequacy of the denervation procedure (qualitative or quantitative) should have been implemented and, if it had been, the results might have been different. For example, when ablation is performed in the main renal artery as well as the branches, the efficacy in reducing levels of norepinephrine is improved.¹³

Blood pressure fell in both groups. In SYMPPLICITY HTN-3 and many other renal denervation trials, patients were assessed using both office and ambulatory blood pressure measurements. The primary end point was the office blood pressure measurement, with a 5-mm Hg difference in reduction chosen to define the superiority margin. This margin was chosen because even small reductions in blood pressure are known to decrease adverse events caused by hypertension. Notably, blood pressure fell significantly in both the control and intervention groups, with an intergroup difference of 2.39 mm Hg (not statistically significant) in favor of denervation.

Medication questions. The SYMPPLICITY HTN-3 patients were supposed to be on stable medical regimens with maximal tolerated doses before the procedure. However, it was difficult to assess patients' adherence to and tolerance of medical therapies. Many (about 40%) of the patients had their medications changed during the study.¹

Therefore, a critical look at the study enrollment criteria may shed more light on the

Resistant hypertension must be differentiated from pseudoresistant hypertension

reasons for the negative findings. Did these patients truly have resistant hypertension? Before they underwent the treatment, was their prestudy pharmacologic regimen adequately intensified?

ONGOING STUDIES

After the findings of the SYMPLICITY HTN-3 study were released, several other trials—such as the Renal Denervation for Hypertension (DENERHTN)¹⁴ and Prague-15 trials¹⁵—reported conflicting results. Notably, these were not sham-controlled trials.

Newer studies with robust trial designs are ongoing. A quick search of www.clinicaltrials.gov reveals that at least 89 active clinical trials of renal denervation are registered as of the date of this writing. Excluding those with unknown status, there are 63 trials open or ongoing.

Clinical trials are also ongoing to determine the effects of renal denervation in patients with heart failure, atrial fibrillation, sleep apnea, and chronic kidney disease, all of which are known to involve heightened sympathetic nervous system activity.

REFERENCES

1. Bhatt DL, Kandzari DE, O'Neill WW, et al; SYMPLICITY HTN-3 Investigators. A controlled trial of renal denervation for resistant hypertension. *N Engl J Med* 2014; 370:1393–1401.
2. Shishehbor MH, Hammad TA, Thomas G. Renal denervation: what happened, and why? *Cleve Clin J Med* 2017; 84:681–686.
3. Kearney PM, Whelton M, Reynolds K, Whelton PK, He J. Global burden of hypertension: analysis of worldwide data. *Lancet* 2005; 365:217–223.
4. Kearney PM, Whelton M, Reynolds K, Whelton PK, He J. Worldwide prevalence of hypertension: a systematic review. *J Hypertens* 2004; 22:11–19.
5. Calhoun DA, Jones D, Textor S, et al; American Heart Association Professional Education Committee. Resistant hypertension: diagnosis, evaluation, and treatment: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association Professional Education Committee of the Council for High Blood Pressure Research. *Circulation* 2008; 117:e510–e526.
6. Tsioufis C, Papademetriou V, Thomopoulos C, Stefanadis C. Renal denervation for sleep apnea and resistant hypertension: alternative or complementary to effective continuous positive airway pressure treatment? *Hypertension* 2011; 58:e191–e192.
7. Calhoun DA, Jones D, Textor S, et al. Resistant hypertension: diagnosis, evaluation, and treatment. A scientific statement from the American Heart Association Professional Education Committee of the Council for High Blood Pressure Research. *Hypertension* 2008; 51:1403–1419.
8. Persell SD. Prevalence of resistant hypertension in the United States, 2003–2008. *Hypertension* 2011; 57:1076–1080.
9. Papademetriou V, Doumas M, Tsioufis K. Renal sympathetic denervation for the treatment of difficult-to-control or resistant hypertension. *Int J Hypertens* 2011; 2011:196518.
10. Doumas M, Faselis C, Papademetriou V. Renal sympathetic denervation in hypertension. *Curr Opin Nephrol Hypertens* 2011; 20:647–653.
11. Veterans Administration Cooperative Study Group on Antihypertensive Agents. Effect of treatment on morbidity in hypertension: results in patients with diastolic blood pressures averaging 115 through 129 mm Hg. *JAMA* 1967; 202:1028–1034.
12. Lewington S, Clarke R, Qizilbash N, Peto R, Collins R; Prospective Studies Collaboration. Age-specific relevance of usual blood pressure to vascular mortality: a meta-analysis of individual data for one million adults in 61 prospective studies. *Lancet* 2002; 360:1903–1913.
13. Henegar JR, Zhang Y, Hata C, Narciso I, Hall ME, Hall JE. Catheter-based radiofrequency renal denervation: location effects on renal norepinephrine. *Am J Hypertens* 2015; 28:909–914.
14. Azizi M, Sapoval M, Gosse P, et al; Renal Denervation for Hypertension (DENERHTN) investigators. Optimum and stepped care standardised antihypertensive treatment with or without renal denervation for resistant hypertension (DENERHTN): a multicentre, open-label, randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2015; 385:1957–1965.
15. Rosa J, Widimsky P, Waldauf P, et al. Role of adding spironolactone and renal denervation in true resistant hypertension: one-year outcomes of randomized PRAGUE-15 study. *Hypertension* 2016; 67:397–403.
16. Mahfoud F, Bohm M, Azizi M, et al. Proceedings from the European Clinical Consensus Conference for Renal Denervation: Considerations on Future Clinical Trial Design. *Eur Heart J* 2015; 6:2219–2227.

ADDRESS: Ali E. Denktas, MD, Section of Cardiology, Baylor College of Medicine, 2002 Holcombe Boulevard, Houston, TX 77004; ali.denktas@bcm.edu