

**LEARNING OBJECTIVE:** Readers will recognize signs of arterial and venous thromboembolism**MAYA SERHAL, MD**Vascular Medicine Section,
Department of Cardiovascular Medicine,
Cleveland Clinic**NATALIE EVANS, MD, RPVI**Vascular Medicine Section, Department of
Cardiovascular Medicine, Cleveland Clinic;
Clinical Assistant Professor, Cleveland Clinic
Lerner College of Medicine of Case Western
Reserve University, Cleveland, OH**HEATHER L. GORNIK, MD, RVT, RPVI**Medical Director, Non-Invasive Vascular Laboratory,
Vascular Medicine Section, Department of Cardiovas-
cular Medicine, Cleveland Clinic; Associate Professor,
Cleveland Clinic Lerner College of Medicine of Case
Western Reserve University, Cleveland, OH

A 75-year-old with abdominal pain, hypoxia, and weak pulses in the left leg

A 75-YEAR-OLD MAN presented to the emergency department for evaluation of abdominal pain. He had stage 3 chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), with a forced expiratory volume in 1 second of 33%.

PREVIOUS HOSPITALIZATION

Aside from his COPD, he had been healthy until 1 month earlier, when he had been hospitalized because of shortness of breath and chest pressure with exertion. His troponin T level had been elevated, peaking at 0.117 ng/mL (reference range 0–0.029).

Left heart catheterization had shown no significant coronary artery disease. A myocardial bridge of the distal left anterior descending coronary artery had been seen, so that the artery appeared to be narrowed by 50% to 60% with ventricular contraction. But this was not thought to have been the cause of his presentation.

On discharge, he required oxygen 4 L/min by nasal cannula. Previously, he had not needed supplemental oxygen.

CURRENT PRESENTATION

The patient described persistent and severe periumbilical abdominal pain during the previous day. It was not associated with eating, and he denied diarrhea, constipation, hematemesis, hematochezia, bright red blood per rectum, or melena. He continued to describe persistent shortness of breath and pleuritic chest pain. His vital signs were as follows:

- Heart rate 104 beats per minute

- Respiratory rate 16 to 20 breaths per minute
- Blood pressure 101–142/62–84 mm Hg
- Oxygen saturation 78% on room air.

He was placed on oxygen by a Venturi mask, and his oxygen saturation improved to 93%.

On examination, his lungs were clear bilaterally. His abdomen was diffusely tender but without peritoneal signs. His left lower leg was cool to touch, and his left dorsalis pedal and posterior tibial pulses were only weakly palpable. His right leg pulses were normal. He denied pain in the lower extremities. No jugular venous distention was noted, and cardiac examination was most notable for tachycardia.

His laboratory findings on presentation are shown in **Table 1**, and his electrocardiogram is shown in **Figure 1**.

WHAT DOES HIS ELECTROCARDIOGRAM SHOW?

1 Which of the following is the most accurate description of this patient's electrocardiogram?

- Sinus tachycardia, peaked P waves (P pulmonale) in lead II, and T-wave inversions in the right precordial leads
- Sinus tachycardia and left bundle branch block
- Sinus tachycardia and poor R-wave progression
- Sinus tachycardia and ST elevation in the precordial leads

Our patient's electrocardiogram shows sinus tachycardia, P pulmonale, T-wave inversion in the right precordial leads (V_1 – V_3), and biphasic T waves in lead V_4 , which suggest right ventricular strain.

His left leg was cool to touch, with weak pulses; the right leg was normal

Dr. Gornik has disclosed she was a site principal investigator in the Examining Use of Ticagrelor in Peripheral Artery Disease (EUCLID) trial, funded by AstraZeneca.

doi:10.3949/ccjm.85a.16069

TABLE 1

The patient's laboratory data on presentation

Test	Value ^a	Reference range	Test	Value ^a	Reference range
Complete blood cell count			Cardiac biomarkers		
White blood cell count	15.37	3.70–11.00 × 10 ⁹ /L	NT-proBNP	11,336	< 450 pg/mL
Red blood cell count	4.45	4.20–6.00 × 10 ⁹ /L	Troponin T	0.081	0–0.029 ng/mL
Hemoglobin	13.7	13.0–17.0 g/dL	Creatine kinase	94	30–220 U/L
Hematocrit	41.2	39.0%–51.0%	Creatine kinase MB	6.4	0.0–8.8 ng/mL
Mean corpuscular volume	92.6	80.0–100.0 fL	Coagulation profile		
Mean corpuscular hemoglobin	30.8	26.0–34.0 pg	Activated partial thromboplastin time	27.2	23.0–32.4 sec
Mean corpuscular hemoglobin concentration	33.3	30.5–36.0 g/dL	Prothrombin time	10.7	8.4–13.0 sec
Red blood cell distribution width	13.8	11.5%–15.0%	Prothrombin time INRx	1.1	0.8–1.2
Platelet count	204	150–400 × 10 ⁹ /L	Urinalysis		
Mean platelet volume	11.8	9.0–12.7 fL	Color	Yellow	Yellow
Complete metabolic panel			Clarity	Slightly cloudy	Clear
Protein, total	6.7	6.0–8.4 g/dL	Glucose, urine	Negative	Negative
Albumin	3.1	3.5–5.0 g/dL	Bilirubin, urine	Negative	Negative
Calcium	8.4	8.5–10.5 mg/dL	Ketones	Negative	Negative
Bilirubin, total	0.2	0.0–1.5 mg/dL	Specific gravity	< 1.005	1.005–1.030
Alkaline phosphatase	118	40–150 U/L	Hemoglobin/blood	2+	Negative
Aspartate aminotransferase	70	7–40 U/L	pH	5.0	4.5–8.0
Glucose	112	65–100 mg/dL	Protein	30	0 mg/dL
Blood urea nitrogen	24	10–25 mg/dL	Uribilinogen	Normal	Normal
Creatinine	1.67	0.70–1.40 mg/dL	Nitrites	Negative	Negative
Sodium	140	135–146 mmol/L	Leukocyte esterase	Negative	Negative
Potassium	4.9	3.5–5.0 mmol/L	White blood cells	0–5	0–5/high-power field
Chloride	100	98–110 mmol/L	Red blood cells	6–10	0–3/high-power field
Carbon dioxide	27	23–32 mmol/L	^a Abnormal values are shown in bold. INR = international normalized ratio; NT-proBNP = N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide		
Anion gap	13	0–15 mmol/L			
Alanine aminotransferase	57	5–50 U/L			
Estimated glomerular filtration rate	40	> 90 mL/min			

The rhythm most commonly seen in patients with pulmonary embolism is sinus tachycardia, followed by nonspecific ST-segment or T-wave abnormalities. In one series of patients with acute pulmonary embolism, the classic findings of P pulmonale, right ventricular hypertrophy, right axis deviation, and right bundle branch block were rare (< 6%).¹

Thus, these classic findings are not sensitive for the diagnosis of pulmonary embolism, and their absence does not rule it out.

Further studies for our patient

Computed tomography of the chest, abdomen, and pelvis with contrast was performed (Figure 2) to evaluate the patient's chest pain, shortness




Figure 1. The patient's electrocardiogram on presentation. Arrows point to notable features (see text).

of breath, and abdominal pain. It revealed bilateral pulmonary emboli, with filling defects in the distal right and left main pulmonary arteries extending into the lobar branches of the right upper, middle, and lower lobes and left upper and lower lobes; multiple subsegmental pulmonary emboli were also seen. The patient was also found to have evidence of a left renal infarction, with an extensive filling defect in the left renal artery, consistent with renal artery thrombosis.

Transthoracic echocardiography was performed to look for evidence of right ventricular strain secondary to the pulmonary embolism.

■ ECHOCARDIOGRAPHIC SIGNS OF PULMONARY EMBOLISM

2 Which of the following findings on transthoracic echocardiography would *not* suggest acute pulmonary embolism?

- Midright ventricular wall hypokinesis with apical sparing
- Severe tricuspid regurgitation
- Left ventricular dilation
- Lack of respiratory variation of the inferior vena cava
- Septal wall motion toward the left ventricle

Left ventricular dilation does not suggest acute pulmonary embolism. Echocardiograms of patients with acute submassive pulmonary embolism typically show evidence of right ventricular strain, such as the other entities listed above (midright ventricular hypokinesis with apical sparing, severe tricuspid regurgitation, lack of respiratory variation of the inferior vena cava, and septal wall motion toward the left ventricle).

The degree of right ventricular dysfunc-





Figure 2. Computed tomography (CT) with a chest pulmonary embolism protocol (top) showed filling defects (arrows). CT of the abdomen and pelvis showed renal artery thrombosis (arrow).

In pulmonary embolism, clinical factors such as hypotension are better predictors than thrombus burden

tion is related to the extent of acute pulmonary vascular occlusion and aids in risk-stratification of patients with acute pulmonary embolism. Midright ventricular wall hypokinesis with apical sparing has been termed the McConnell sign.²

In our patient, transthoracic echocardiography showed:

- Normal left ventricular ejection fraction
- Mild diastolic dysfunction
- Right ventricular dilation with moderately decreased right ventricular systolic function and apical sparing
- Right ventricular systolic pressure 54 mm Hg, consistent with moderate pulmonary hypertension
- Right atrial pressure 10 mm Hg
- No inspiratory collapse of a dilated inferior vena cava
- Mild tricuspid valve regurgitation.

■ CLASSIFICATION OF ACUTE PULMONARY EMBOLISM

3 Given the above information, how would you classify the patient’s pulmonary embolism?

- Massive
- Submassive
- Low-risk
- Clinically stable

The patient’s pulmonary embolism is submassive.

Many classification schemes exist for acute pulmonary embolism. That of the American Heart Association is shown in **Table 2**.³

Historically, the classification of pulmonary embolism was determined by the angiographic thrombus burden. However, this has limited utility because clinical factors (eg, hypotension on initial presentation) have been shown to be better predictors of short-term mortality risk.³

Our patient is characterized as having a submassive pulmonary embolism based on elevated biomarkers (troponin T, N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide) and right ventricular dysfunction in the absence of hypotension.

■ ULTRASONOGRAPHY FOR DIAGNOSIS OF DEEP VEIN THROMBOSIS

Duplex ultrasonography can show evidence of deep vein thrombosis if a venous segment is not compressible (**Figure 3**). Of note, approximately 70% of patients with pulmonary embolism have evidence of deep vein thrombosis on imaging studies.⁴

Venous duplex ultrasonography has become the standard for diagnosis of lower extremity deep vein thrombosis. However, its quality and diagnostic accuracy depend on the skill of the person performing the examination. It is further limited by certain patient characteristics, including severe obesity, edema, and wounds and dressings at the site being examined.⁵

Our patient underwent duplex ultrasonography of the lower extremities, which demonstrated acute proximal and calf deep vein thrombosis in the right femoral, popliteal, and peroneal veins and no deep vein thrombosis in the left leg.

RISK STRATIFICATION IN ACUTE PULMONARY EMBOLISM

Multiple models exist to estimate the risk of complications in patients with acute pulmonary embolism.

The **Bova score**⁶ is based on the following factors:

- Systolic blood pressure 90–100 mm Hg (2 points) (patients with systolic blood pressure lower than 90 mm Hg were excluded from the study from which this score was derived)
 - Cardiac troponin elevation (2 points)
 - Right ventricular dysfunction on echocardiography or computed tomography (2 points)
 - Heart rate 100 beats/min or greater (1 point).
- A total score of 0, 1, or 2 (stage I) denotes low risk, 3 or 4 points (stage II) intermediate risk, and more than 4 points (stage III) high risk.

The **PESI score** (Pulmonary Embolism Severity Index)⁷ is based on:

- Age (1 point per year)
- Sex (10 points for being male)
- Heart rate 110 per minute or greater (20 points)
- Cancer (30 points)
- Heart failure (10 points)
- Chronic lung disease (10 points)
- Systolic blood pressure less than 100 mm Hg (30 points)
- Respiratory rate at least 30 per minute (20 points)
- Temperature less than 36°C (20 points)
- Altered mental status (60 points)

TABLE 2

Classification of pulmonary embolism

Massive

Sustained hypotension: systolic blood pressure < 90 mm Hg for at least 15 minutes OR requiring inotropes (cannot be due to another cause)

Pulseless

Persistent bradycardia (≤ 40 beats per minute with signs or symptoms of shock)

Submassive

Systolic blood pressure ≥ 90 mm Hg

Right ventricular dysfunction or myocardial necrosis

Low risk

Normal blood pressure

Normal biomarker levels

No right ventricular dysfunction

Adapted from information in reference 3.

- Arterial oxygen saturation less than 90% (20 points).

The total score is broken down into 5 classes: I (< 65 points), II (65–85), III (86–105), IV (106–125), and V (> 126). Classes I and II are low risk, and the higher ones are high risk.

The **simplified PESI score**⁸ was developed to more rapidly risk-stratify patients and has been found to be similar to the PESI score in prognostic accuracy. Patients get 1 point for each of the following:

- Age over 80
- Cancer
- Chronic cardiopulmonary disease (heart failure or chronic lung disease)
- Heart rate 110 per minute or greater
- Systolic blood pressure less than 100 mm Hg
- Arterial oxygen saturation less than 90%.

A total score of 0 is low risk; anything higher is high risk.

Back to our patient

Our patient had proximal and calf deep vein thrombosis of the right leg, bilateral submassive pulmonary emboli with associated biomarker elevation and right ventricular dysfunction, and left renal artery thrombosis with infarction. Using the PESI score, his risk of

Duplex ultrasonography is the gold standard for diagnosing deep vein thrombosis, but it is operator-dependent




Figure 3. Example of lower-extremity duplex ultrasonography. Arteries and veins are labeled. Veins without deep vein thrombosis are compressible, as seen on the left. A vein is shown that is not compressible, suggesting deep vein thrombosis.

He had deep vein thrombosis of the right leg, bilateral pulmonary embolism, left renal artery thrombosis, peripheral arterial disease of the left leg, and a patent foramen ovale

death in the next 30 days was 13.7% and his 30-day risk of a complicated course was 27%. Using the Bova score, his 30-day risk of death was 15.5% and his 30-day risk of a complicated course was 29.2%.^{6,7}

Notably, the patient’s right ventricular function had also been impaired on the echocardiogram performed during his admission 1 month previously. On transthoracic echocardiography during the current admission, the patient was found to have a similar degree of right ventricular dysfunction. This finding, along with the oxygen requirement that developed during the earlier admission, suggested that his pulmonary embolism may have been subacute and that the diagnosis may have been missed during the earlier hospital stay.

The patient was treated with unfractionated heparin. After the hospital’s multidisciplinary pulmonary embolism response team discussed and weighed the above factors, they recommended to not pursue thrombolytic therapy or inferior vena cava filter placement.

Of note, the patient’s pulses in the left lower extremity continued to be weak but palpable, and the left leg was cooler to touch than the right leg.

ASSESSING PERIPHERAL ARTERY DISEASE

4 How should the finding of weak pulses in this patient’s left leg be initially investigated?

- Computed tomographic angiography with runoff
- Ankle-brachial indices with pulse-volume recordings
- Arterial duplex ultrasonography
- Magnetic resonance angiography of the lower extremities

The ankle-brachial index is the initial diagnostic test for assessment of pulse abnormalities and for diagnosis of lower-extremity peripheral artery disease. It is calculated by dividing the higher of the ankle systolic pressures (posterior tibial or dorsalis pedis) by the higher of the 2 brachial pressures (left or right).⁹ Normal values are between 1.00 and 1.40.

Ankle-brachial indices in our patient

Our patient underwent measurement of his brachial, dorsalis pedis, and posterior tibial artery systolic pressures using blood pressure cuffs and continuous-wave Doppler. Ankle pulse-volume recordings were also obtained.




Figure 4. The patient's ankle-brachial index and pulse-volume recordings. Right side 1.24, left side 0.68. This suggests moderate disease on the left and normal vessels on the right.

The right leg ankle-brachial index was normal at rest with a normal pulse-volume recording waveform. The left leg ankle-brachial index was moderately reduced (0.68), and the pulse-volume recording waveform was also dampened (Figure 4). These findings confirmed that he had arterial disease in the left leg, correlating with the physical findings.

Given the patient's poor renal function and concern for acute renal infarction, we thought it best to avoid iodinated or gadolinium contrast, such as with magnetic resonance or computed tomographic angiography.

Segmental leg pressures and pulse-volume recordings can be performed to help localize the level of arterial disease in the extremities, but were not done in this case because of the extensive deep vein thrombosis in the right leg.^{10,11}

Arterial ultrasonography in our patient

Arterial duplex ultrasonography was performed to help determine the location of arterial disease. It showed patent arteries in the right leg. In the left lower extremity there was slow, monophasic blood flow in the distal su-

perficial femoral artery. The popliteal artery was occluded. The posterior tibial artery was occluded at the origin, with reconstitution distally. The peroneal artery was occluded throughout. The anterior tibial artery was patent throughout. The ultrasonographic findings were thought to be suspicious for arterial thromboembolism.

■ WHAT CAN CAUSE BOTH ARTERIAL AND VENOUS THROMBOSIS?

5 Given that the patient had both arterial thrombosis (renal artery, lower-extremity arteries) and venous thromboembolism (deep vein thrombosis and pulmonary embolism), which of the following would be included in the differential diagnosis?

- Antiphospholipid antibody syndrome
- Protein C or protein S deficiency
- Malignancy
- Paradoxical embolization
- Factor V Leiden mutation

Correct answers include antiphospholipid an-

The differential diagnosis for concomitant venous and arterial thrombosis is broad




Figure 5. Antiphospholipid antibody tests and terminology.

From Houghton DE, Moll S. Antiphospholipid antibodies. *Vasc Med* 2017; 22:545–550.

Antiphospholipid antibody syndrome, malignancy, and paradoxical embolization.

The differential diagnosis for concomitant venous and arterial thrombosis is broad,¹² and includes the following:

- **Structural factors:** patent foramen ovale, popliteal artery aneurysm
- **Malignancy**
- **Inflammatory diseases:** Behçet disease, Buerger disease, inflammatory bowel disease, antiphospholipid antibody syndrome, elevated lipoprotein(a), elevated homocysteine
- **Hematologic diseases:** myelodysplastic syndrome, disseminated intravascular coagulation, paroxysmal nocturnal hemoglobinuria, heparin-induced thrombocytopenia.

Traditional risk factors for venous thromboembolism include protein C deficiency, protein S deficiency, factor V Leiden mutation, the prothrombin G20210A gene mutation, and others. These are relatively minor risk factors for venous thrombosis and do not pose a risk for arterial thrombosis.¹² In contrast, antiphospholipid antibody syndrome and malignancy pose a risk for both venous and arterial thrombosis. Paradoxical embolism is a mechanism by which arterial thrombosis (emboli) can develop in the setting of existing venous thrombosis.¹²

Our patient underwent testing for antiphospholipid antibodies and lupus anticoagulant, and he was encouraged to undergo age-appropriate cancer screening as an outpatient.¹²

■ ANTIPHOSPHOLIPID ANTIBODY SYNDROME

Antiphospholipid antibody syndrome is defined by both clinical and laboratory criteria. Clinical symptoms include vascular thrombosis (arterial, venous, or both) and pregnancy-related complications.¹³

Laboratory criteria require the presence of antiphospholipid antibodies or lupus anticoagulant. These must be confirmed with repeat testing in 12 weeks. Antiphospholipid antibodies are detected by an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay; laboratory assessment for the presence of lupus anticoagulant is a stepwise process and relies on 4 criteria:

- There should be prolongation of a phospholipid-dependent clotting test (eg, activated partial thromboplastin time, dilute Russell viper venom time test).
- There must be evidence of an inhibitory activity with mixing study.
- The inhibitor must exhibit phospholipid dependence; that is, with more phospholipid there is shortening of clotting time.
- Specific inhibitors must be excluded, including factor VIII and anticoagulant drugs such as heparin.^{14–17}

Diagnostic criteria for antiphospholipid antibody syndrome were updated in 2006. In the past, repeat testing at 6 weeks was sufficient, but this period has been lengthened to 12 weeks.¹⁵ Antiphospholipid antibodies include lupus anticoagulant, anticardiolipin antibody immunoglobulin G (IgG), anticardiolipin antibody IgM, anti-beta-2-glycoprotein I IgG, and anti-beta-2-glycoprotein I IgM, as well as other less common antibodies (Figure 5).^{15,18}

Clinically, one should consider antiphospholipid antibody syndrome in patients who have arterial thrombosis, a history of pregnancy morbidity, or unexplained prolongation of activated partial thromboplastin time.¹³

Antiphospholipid antibodies may be present in up to a quarter of patients with venous

Consider antiphospholipid antibody syndrome in arterial thrombosis, pregnancy morbidity, or unexplained prolongation of aPTT

thromboembolism, but it is persistent positivity of antibody assays that is associated with increased future risk of venous thromboembolism.¹⁹ Of note, the risk of venous thromboembolism in patients with confirmed antiphospholipid antibody syndrome is 10 times higher than in the general population.²⁰

■ ANTIPHOSPHOLIPID ANTIBODIES ARE NOT ALL THE SAME

6 Which of the following antiphospholipid antibodies have not been associated with an increased thrombotic risk?

- Anti-beta-2-glycoprotein I IgG
- Lupus anticoagulant
- Antiphosphatidylserine
- Anticardiolipin IgM
- Anticardiolipin IgG

The correct answer is antiphosphatidylserine.¹⁵

Antiphospholipid antibodies are directed against a portion of select plasma proteins that are uncovered upon phospholipid binding. While lupus anticoagulant, anti-beta-2-glycoprotein I, and anticardiolipin antibodies are associated with thrombosis, antiprothrombin antibodies (including antiprothrombin and antiphosphatidylserine antibodies) are not.^{15,21}

■ PARADOXICAL EMBOLISM

Patent foramen ovale, a communication between the right and left atrium in the interatrial septum, is associated with an increased risk of paradoxical embolization. The prevalence of patent foramen ovale is estimated to be 27% to 29% in the general population.²² Noncerebral systemic paradoxical embolism occurs less frequently than cerebral embolism, accounting for approximately 5% to 10% of paradoxical emboli.²²

To evaluate for patent foramen ovale, transthoracic echocardiography is performed with a bubble (agitated saline contrast) study to assess for interatrial shunting. Transesophageal echocardiography or transcranial Doppler bubble studies may also be performed.

Although patent foramen ovale is most commonly associated with cerebral embolism, peripheral emboli can occur. Some research suggests that this may be a more common

cause of arterial thromboembolism in younger patients. There have also been reports of other sites of systemic embolization, including the renal artery.¹²

Back to our patient

Initial antiphospholipid antibody testing was positive for lupus anticoagulant. Anticardiolipin and anti-beta-2-glycoprotein I antibodies were not detected.

Transesophageal echocardiography revealed a patent foramen ovale with a highly mobile atrial septum (atrial septal aneurysm).

The patient was treated with intravenous unfractionated heparin with bridging to warfarin with a target international normalized ratio (INR) of 2 to 3. His renal artery infarction and his lower-extremity arterial thromboembolic event were conservatively managed. His respiratory status improved, and he no longer required supplemental oxygen. His creatinine peaked at 1.7 mg/dL during his admission and improved to 1.2 mg/dL before he was discharged.

At follow-up, repeat echocardiography showed that his right ventricular systolic pressure had improved (decreased) to 37 mm Hg from 54 mm Hg. Repeat confirmatory testing was positive for lupus anticoagulant 12 weeks later. He has been maintained on warfarin with an INR goal of 2 to 3 as well as low-dose aspirin with plans for long-term anticoagulation. We decided to keep the patient on anticoagulation indefinitely with warfarin; he was not a candidate for a direct oral anticoagulant, given limited data on the use of these agents in the setting of lupus anticoagulant and antiphospholipid antibody syndrome.

■ SUMMARY OF CASE

In summary, this patient was a 75-year-old man with COPD who presented with abdominal pain. He was noted to have a left renal infarction, extensive unprovoked lower-extremity deep vein thrombosis with pulmonary emboli, and lower limb arterial thromboembolism.

He also had an underlying hypercoagulable state—antiphospholipid antibody syndrome—that predisposed him to both arterial and venous thrombosis. He was ultimately found to have a patent foramen ovale, which further increased the risk of arterial thrombosis by fa-

The prevalence of patent foramen ovale is estimated to be 27%–29% in the general population

cilitating paradoxical embolization of venous thrombi. It is not certain whether the renal infarction and leg artery thrombi were due to

paradoxical embolism or to in situ thrombosis, but we believe that it was most likely paradoxical embolization. ■

REFERENCES

1. Stein PD, Terrin ML, Hales CA, et al. Clinical, laboratory, roentgenographic, and electrocardiographic findings in patients with acute pulmonary embolism and no pre-existing cardiac or pulmonary disease. *Chest* 1991; 100:598–603.
2. Alsoos F, Khaddam A. Echocardiographic evaluation methods for right ventricular function. *J Echocardiogr* 2015; 13:43–51.
3. Jaff MR, McMurtry MS, Archer SL, et al; American Heart Association Council on Cardiopulmonary, Critical Care, Perioperative and Resuscitation; American Heart Association Council on Peripheral Vascular Disease; American Heart Association Council on Arteriosclerosis, Thrombosis and Vascular Biology. Management of massive and submassive pulmonary embolism, iliofemoral deep vein thrombosis, and chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association. *Circulation* 2011; 123:1788–1830.
4. Heit JA, Silverstein MD, Mohr DN, Petterson TM, O'Fallon WM, Melton LJ 3rd. Risk factors for deep vein thrombosis and pulmonary embolism: a population-based case-control study. *Arch Intern Med* 2000; 160:809–815.
5. Gornik HL, Sharma AM. Duplex ultrasound in the diagnosis of lower-extremity deep venous thrombosis. *Circulation* 2014; 129:917–921.
6. Fernández C, Bova C, Sanchez O, et al. Validation of a model for identification of patients at intermediate to high risk for complications associated with acute symptomatic pulmonary embolism. *Chest* 2015; 148:211–218.
7. Aujesky D, Perrier A, Roy PM, et al. Validation of a clinical prognostic model to identify low-risk patients with pulmonary embolism. *J Intern Med* 2007; 261:597–604.
8. Jiménez D, Aujesky D, Moores L, et al; RIETE Investigators. Simplification of the pulmonary embolism severity index for prognostication in patients with acute symptomatic pulmonary embolism. *Arch Intern Med* 2010; 170:1383–1389.
9. Kim ES, Wattanakit K, Gornik HL. Using the ankle-brachial index to diagnose peripheral artery disease and assess cardiovascular risk. *Cleve Clin J Med* 2012; 79:651–661.
10. Jaff MR. Lower extremity arterial disease. Diagnostic aspects. *Cardiol Clin* 2002; 20:491–500.
11. Rooke TW, Hirsch AT, Misra S, et al; American College of Cardiology Foundation Task Force; American Heart Association Task Force. Management of patients with peripheral artery disease (compilation of 2005 and 2011 ACCF/AHA Guideline Recommendations): a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2013; 61:1555–1570.
12. Lichtin A, Bartholomew J. The coagulation consult: a case-based guide. New York, NY: Springer; 2014.
13. Levine JS, Branch DW, Rauch J. The antiphospholipid syndrome. *N Engl J Med* 2002; 346:752–763.
14. Brandt JT, Triplett DA, Alving B, Scharer I. Criteria for the diagnosis of lupus anticoagulants: an update. On behalf of the Subcommittee on Lupus Anticoagulant/Antiphospholipid Antibody of the Scientific and Standardisation Committee of the ISTH. *Thromb Haemost* 1995; 74:1185–1190.
15. Miyakis S, Lockshin M, Atsumi T, et al. International consensus statement on an update of the classification criteria for definite antiphospholipid syndrome (APS). *J Thromb Haemost* 2006; 4:295–306.
16. Pengo V, Tripodi A, Reber G, et al; Subcommittee on Lupus Anticoagulant/Antiphospholipid Antibody of the Scientific and Standardisation Committee of the International Society on Thrombosis and Haemostasis. Update of the guidelines for lupus anticoagulant detection. Subcommittee on Lupus Anticoagulant/Antiphospholipid Antibody of the Scientific and Standardisation Committee of the International Society on Thrombosis and Haemostasis. *J Thromb Haemost* 2009; 7:1737–1740.
17. Nichols WL, Kottke-Marchant K, Ledford-Kraemer MR, Homburger HA, Cardel LK. Lupus anticoagulants, antiphospholipid antibodies, and antiphospholipid syndrome. In: Kottke-Marchant K, Davis BH, editors. *Laboratory Hematology Practice*. Hoboken, New Jersey: Blackwell Publishing, Ltd.; 2012:509–525.
18. Houghton DE, Moll S. Antiphospholipid antibodies. *Vasc Med* 2017; 22:545–550.
19. Roldan V, Lecumberri R, Muñoz-Torrero JFS, et al; RIETE Investigators. Thrombophilia testing in patients with venous thromboembolism. Findings from the RIETE registry. *Thromb Res* 2009; 124:174–177.
20. Wahl DG, Guillemin F, de Maistre E, Perret-Guillaume C, Lecompte T, Thibaut G. Meta-analysis of the risk of venous thrombosis in individuals with antiphospholipid antibodies without underlying autoimmune disease or previous thrombosis. *Lupus* 1998; 7:15–22.
21. Love PE, Santoro SA. Antiphospholipid antibodies: anticardiolipin and the lupus anticoagulant in systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) and in non-SLE disorders. Prevalence and clinical significance. *Ann Intern Med* 1990; 112:682–698.
22. Thompson T, Evans W. Paradoxical embolism. *QJM* 1930; os-23:135–150.

ADDRESS: Maya Serhal, MD, Department of Vascular Medicine, J3-5, Cleveland Clinic, 9500 Euclid Avenue, Cleveland, OH, 44195; Serhal@ccf.org