Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy: A complex disease

ABSTRACT
Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy (HCM) is a complex cardiovascular disease with wide phenotypic variations. Despite significant advances in imaging and genetic testing, more information is needed about the roles and implications of these resources in clinical practice. Patients with suspected or established HCM should be evaluated at an expert referral center to allow for the best multidisciplinary care. Research is needed to better predict the risk of sudden cardiac death in those judged to be at low risk by current risk-stratification methods.

KEY POINTS
- Obstruction of the left ventricular outflow tract is a key pathophysiologic mechanism in HCM.
- Because most of the genetic variants that contribute to HCM are autosomal dominant, genetic counseling and testing are suggested for patients and their first-degree relatives.
- Transthoracic echocardiography is the first-line imaging test, followed by magnetic resonance imaging.
- Beta-blockers are the first-line drugs for treating symptoms of HCM.
- An implantable cardioverter-defibrillator can be considered for patients at risk of sudden cardiac death.
- When medical therapy fails or is not tolerated in patients with severe symptoms of obstructive HCM, surgery to reduce the size of the ventricular septum can be considered. Alcohol septal ablation is an alternative.

HYPERTROPHIC CARDIOMYOPATHY (HCM) is a complex disease. Most people who carry the mutations that cause it are never affected at any point in their life, but some are affected at a young age. And in rare but tragic cases, some die suddenly while competing in sports. With such a wide range of phenotypic expressions, a single therapy does not fit all.

HCM is more common than once thought. Since the discovery of its genetic predisposition in 1960, it has come to be recognized as the most common heritable cardiovascular disease.1 Although earlier epidemiologic studies had estimated a prevalence of 1 in 500 (0.2%) of the general population, genetic testing and cardiac magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) now show that up to 1 in 200 (0.5%) of all people may be affected.1,2 Its prevalence is significant in all ethnic groups.

This review outlines our expanding knowledge of the pathophysiology, diagnosis, and clinical management of HCM.

A PLETHORA OF MUTATIONS IN CARDIAC SARCOMERIC GENES
The genetic basis of HCM is much more complex than was originally thought, with more than 1,400 mutations in 11 sarcomeric protein genes now known to be associated with the disease. Most of these mutations are autosomal dominant.3

The genetic differences within HCM result in varying degrees and locations of left ventricular hypertrophy. Any segment of the ventricle can be involved, although HCM is classically asymmetric and mainly involves the septum (Figure 1). A variant form of HCM involves the apex of the heart (Figure 2).
Obstruction of the left ventricular outflow tract is thought to be the pivotal pathophysiologic process of HCM. Other abnormalities may include myocardial ischemia and diastolic dysfunction, believed to be related to narrowing of the intramural coronary arteries. Histopathologic study of heart muscle in HCM demonstrates disarray of the hypertrophied myocyte architecture with variable patterns of interstitial fibrosis.

Only in the last decade has the significance of left ventricular outflow tract obstruction in HCM been truly appreciated. The degree of obstruction in HCM is dynamic, as opposed to the fixed obstruction in patients with aortic stenosis or congenital subvalvular membranes. Therefore, in HCM, exercise or drugs (eg, dobutamine) that increase cardiac contractility increase the obstruction, as do maneuvers or drugs (the Valsalva maneuver, nitrates) that reduce filling of the left ventricle.

The obstruction is usually due to a combination of systolic anterior motion of the mitral valve and accelerated blood flow around the hypertrophied septum, resulting in a pushing force that sweeps the mitral valve toward the septum (Figure 3).

A less common source of dynamic obstruction is the papillary muscles (Figure 4).
Apical hypertrophic cardiomyopathy

![Image of echocardiography, cardiac magnetic resonance imaging, and electrocardiography showing apical hypertrophy and T-wave inversions.](image)

Although the most feared presentation is sudden cardiac death, particularly in young athletes, most patients have no symptoms and can anticipate a normal life expectancy. The annual incidence of sudden cardiac death in all HCM patients is estimated at less than 1%. Sudden cardiac death in HCM patients is most often due to ventricular tachyarrhythmias and most often occurs in asymptomatic patients under age 35.

Patients with symptoms may present with progressive exertional dyspnea, chest pain, or syncope that may be related to left ventricular outflow tract obstruction, myocardial ischemia, arrhythmia, or heart failure. Left ventricular outflow tract obstruction, defined as a resting peak gradient of 30 mm Hg or higher, affects one-third of HCM patients. Another third have a dynamic, provoked gradient of 30 mm Hg or higher during the Valsalva maneuver, aerobic exercise, or pharmacologic provocation with amyl nitrate. Identifying these patients at the time of diagnosis is important for prognostication, as discussed below.
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The obstruction in HCM is dynamic, as opposed to the fixed obstruction of aortic stenosis.

Physical findings are nonspecific
Physical findings may be unremarkable, especially in patients without resting left ventricular outflow tract obstruction. When present, the physical findings are nonspecific and include systolic murmurs, bifid carotid pulse, a fourth heart sound, and a hyperdynamic precordium.

It can be difficult to distinguish the murmur of left ventricular outflow tract obstruction in HCM from a murmur related to aortic stenosis by auscultation alone. The simplest clinical method for telling them apart involves the Valsalva maneuver: bearing down creates a positive intrathoracic pressure and limits venous return, thus decreasing intracardiac filling pressure. This in turn results in less separation between the mitral valve and the ventricular septum in HCM, which increases obstruction and therefore makes the murmur louder. In contrast, in patients with fixed obstruction due to aortic stenosis, the murmur will decrease in intensity owing to the reduced flow associated with reduced preload.

Laboratory testing for phenocopies of HCM
Laboratory testing should be done at index encounters for all patients suspected of having HCM, as testing can help identify patients with HCM phenocopies, ie, a group of rare but clinically important diseases that cause pathologic left ventricular hypertrophy that is not due to sarcomeric gene defects. Identifying these conditions early is pivotal, as their natural history, management, and prognosis are significantly different (Table 2).

A metabolic panel will show derangements in liver function and glucose levels in patients with glycogen storage disorders such as Pompe disease.

Serum creatinine. Renal dysfunction will be seen in patients with Fabry disease or amyloidosis.

Creatine kinase may be elevated in patients with Danon disease.

Electrocardiographic findings are common
More than 90% of HCM patients have electrocardiographic abnormalities. Although the findings can vary widely, common manifestations include:
- Left ventricular hypertrophy
- A pseudoinfarct pattern with Q waves in the anterolateral leads
- Repolarization changes such as T-wave inversions and horizontal or down-sloping ST segments.

Apical HCM, seen mainly in Asian populations, often presents with giant T-wave inversion (> 10 mm) in the anterolateral leads, most prominent in V₄, V₅, and V₆.

Notably, the degree of electrocardiographic abnormalities does not correlate with the severity or pattern of hypertrophy.9 Electrocardiography lacks specificity for definitive diagnosis, and further diagnostic testing should therefore be pursued.

Echocardiography: Initial imaging test
Transthoracic echocardiography is the initial imaging test in patients with suspected HCM, allowing for cost-effective quantitative and qualitative assessment of left ventricular morphology and function. Left ventricular hypertrophy is considered pathologic if wall thickness is 15 mm or greater without a known cause. Transthoracic echocardiography also allows for evaluation of left atrial volume and mitral valve anatomy and function.

Speckle tracking imaging is an advanced echocardiographic technique that measures strain. Its major advantage is in identifying...
Papillary muscle abnormalities contributing to left ventricular outflow tract obstruction

Figure 4. A, echocardiography, apical 4-chamber view, demonstrates a bifid papillary muscle resulting in left ventricular outflow tract obstruction (arrows). B, cardiac magnetic resonance imaging (left ventricular outflow tract view) demonstrates a bifid papillary muscle (arrows). C, an electrocardiogram of a patient with obstruction related to abnormal papillary muscle morphology demonstrates a lack of significant left ventricular hypertrophy. D, continuous-wave Doppler through the left ventricular outflow tract demonstrates a peak gradient of 99 mm Hg, consistent with obstruction, which increases with the Valsalva maneuver to 119 mm Hg (E).

early abnormalities in genotype-positive, phenotype-negative HCM patients, ie, people who harbor mutations but who have no clinical symptoms or signs of HCM, potentially allowing for modification of the natural history of HCM.12 Strain imaging can also differentiate between physiologic hypertrophy (“athlete’s heart”) and hypertension and HCM.13,14

The utility of echocardiography in HCM is heavily influenced by the sonographer’s experience in obtaining adequate acoustic windows. This may be more difficult in obese patients, patients with advanced obstructive
HCM patients may present with progressive exertional dyspnea, chest pain, or syncope. Lung disease or pleural effusions, and women with breast implants.

Magnetic resonance imaging
MRI has an emerging role in both diagnosing and predicting risk in HCM, and is routinely done as an adjunct to transthoracic echocardiography on initial diagnosis in our tertiary referral center. It is particularly useful in patients suspected of having apical hypertrophy (Figure 2), in whom the diagnosis may be missed in up to 10% on transthoracic echocardiography alone.15 MRI can also enhance the assessment of left ventricular hypertrophy and has been shown to improve the diagnostic classification of HCM.16 It is the best way to assess myocardial tissue abnormalities, and late gadolinium enhancement to detect interstitial fibrosis can be used for further prognostication. While historically the primary role of MRI in HCM has been in phenotype classification, there is currently much interest in its role in risk stratification of HCM patients for ICD implantation.

MRI with late gadolinium enhancement provides insight into the location, pattern, and extent of myocardial fibrosis; the extent of fibrosis has been shown to be a strong independent predictor of poor outcomes, including sudden cardiac death.17–20 However, late gadolinium enhancement can be technically challenging, as variations in the timing of postcontrast imaging, sequences for measuring late gadolinium enhancement, or detection thresholds can result in widely variable image quality. Cardiac MRI should therefore be performed at an experienced center with standardized imaging protocols in place.

Current guidelines recommend considering cardiac MRI if a patient’s risk of sudden cardiac death remains inconclusive after conventional risk stratification, as discussed below.9,21

Stress testing for risk stratification
Exercise stress electrocardiography. Treadmill exercise stress testing with electrocardiography and hemodynamic monitoring was one of the first tools used for risk stratification in HCM.

Although systolic blood pressure normally increases by at least 20 mm Hg with exercise, one-quarter of HCM patients have either a blunted response (failure of systolic blood pressure to increase by at least 20 mm Hg) or a hypotensive response (a drop in systolic blood pressure of 20 mm Hg or more, either continuously or after an initial increase). Studies have shown that HCM patients who have abnormal blood pressure...
responses during exercise have a higher risk of sudden cardiac death.\textsuperscript{22–24}

Exercise stress echocardiography can be useful to evaluate for provoked increases in the left ventricular outflow tract gradient, which may contribute to a patient’s symptoms even if the resting left ventricular outflow tract gradient is normal. Exercise testing is preferred over pharmacologic stimulation because it can provide functional assessment of whether a patient’s clinical symptoms are truly related to hemodynamic changes due to the hypertrophied ventricle, or whether alternative mechanisms should be explored.

Cardiopulmonary stress testing can readily add prognostic value with additional measurements of functional capacity. HCM patients who cannot achieve their predicted maximal exercise value such as peak rate of oxygen consumption, ventilation efficiency, or anaerobic threshold have higher rates of morbidity and mortality.\textsuperscript{25,26} Stress testing can also be useful for risk stratification in asymptomatic patients, with one study showing that those who achieve more than 100\% of their age- and sex-predicted metabolic equivalents have a low event rate.\textsuperscript{27}

Ambulatory electrocardiographic monitoring in all patients at diagnosis

Ambulatory electrocardiographic monitoring for 24 to 48 hours is recommended for all HCM patients at the time of diagnosis, even if they have no symptoms. Any evidence of non-sustained ventricular tachycardia suggests a substantially higher risk of sudden cardiac death.\textsuperscript{28,29}

In patients with no symptoms or history of arrhythmia, current guidelines suggest ambulatory electrocardiographic monitoring every 1 to 2 years.\textsuperscript{9,21}

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Main causative genes of hypertrophic cardiomyopathy (HCM)</th>
<th>Sarcomeric proteins</th>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Gene prevalence in HCM probands</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Myosin-binding protein C</td>
<td>MYPBC3</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beta myosin heavy chain</td>
<td>MYH7</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiac troponin T</td>
<td>TNNT2</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alpha-tropomyosin</td>
<td>TPM1</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regulatory myosin light chain</td>
<td>MYL2</td>
<td>&lt; 5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Essential myosin light chain</td>
<td>MYL3</td>
<td>&lt; 5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiac troponin I</td>
<td>TNNI3</td>
<td>&lt; 5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nonsarcomeric proteins</th>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Inheritance</th>
<th>Associated phenotype</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Transthyretin</td>
<td>TTR</td>
<td>Dominant; 1%–10%</td>
<td>Amyloidosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lysosome-associated membrane glycoprotein 2</td>
<td>LAMP2</td>
<td>X-linked; rare</td>
<td>Danon disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alpha-galactosidase A</td>
<td>GLA</td>
<td>X-linked; 1%–2% of males</td>
<td>Fabry disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lysosomal alpha-glucosidase</td>
<td>GAA</td>
<td>Recessive; rare</td>
<td>Pompe disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frataxin</td>
<td>FXN</td>
<td>Recessive; rare</td>
<td>Friedreich ataxia</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on information in reference 3.
Two risk-stratification models
Two models are widely available for risk stratification in HCM (Table 3). While the consensus is to implant a cardioverter-defibrillator for secondary prevention if a patient has a history of ventricular arrhythmia or cardiac arrest, the approach to primary prevention differs between these 2 models.

The North American model was the first risk-stratification tool and considers 5 risk factors. However, if this algorithm were strictly followed, up to 60% of HCM patients would be candidates for cardioverter-defibrillator implantation.

The European model. This concern led to the development of the HCM Risk-SCD (sudden cardiac death), a risk-stratification tool introduced in the 2014 European Society of Cardiology HCM guidelines.30 This web-based calculator estimates a patient’s 5-year risk of sudden cardiac death using a complex calculation based on 7 clinical risk factors. If a patient’s calculated 5-year risk of sudden cardiac death is 6% or higher, cardioverter-defibrillator implantation is recommended for primary prevention.

The HCM Risk-SCD calculator was validated and compared with classic risk factors alone in a retrospective cohort study in 48 HCM patients.30 Compared with the North American model, the European model results in a lower rate of cardioverter-defibrillator implantation (20% to 26%).31,32

Despite the better specificity of the European model, a large retrospective cohort analysis showed that a significant number of patients stratified as being at low risk for sudden cardiac death were ultimately found to be at high risk in clinical practice.31 Further research is needed to find the optimal risk-stratification approach in HCM patients at low to intermediate risk.

Genetic testing, counseling, and family screening
Genetic testing is becoming more widely available and has rapidly expanded in clini-
Genetic counseling must be performed alongside genetic testing and requires professionals trained to handle the clinical and social implications of genetic testing. With this in mind, genetic testing can provide a definitive means of identifying family members at risk of HCM.

Given the autosomal dominant nature of HCM, screening for HCM is recommended in all first-degree relatives of an affected patient. Genetic testing may be a means to achieve this if a pathogenic mutation has been identified in the affected patient. However, serial electrocardiographic and transthoracic echocardiographic monitoring is an acceptable alternative in those without a clear genetic mutation association or in those who do not want to undergo genetic testing. If these first-degree relatives who do not undergo genetic testing are adult athletes or adolescents, they should undergo surveillance monitoring, with echocardiography and electrocardiography, whereas adults not participating in athletics should be monitored every 5 years.

Recent data suggest that up to 40% of HCM cases are nonfamilial, ie, their inheritance is sporadic with no known family history and no sarcomeric gene mutation evident on screening. The clinical course in this subgroup seems to be more benign, with later clinical presentations (age > 40) and lower risk of major adverse cardiovascular events.

**MANAGEMENT**

**Conservative management**

Asymptomatic HCM can usually be managed with lifestyle modifications.

Avoiding high-risk physical activities is the most important modification. All HCM patients should be counseled on the risk of sudden cardiac death and advised against participating in competitive sports or intense physical activity. Aerobic exercise is preferable to isometric exercises such as weightlifting, which may prompt the Valsalva maneuver with worsening of left ventricular outflow tract obstruction leading to syncope. A recent study showed that moderate-intensity aerobic exercise can safely improve exercise capacity, which may ultimately improve functional status and quality of life.

**Avoiding dehydration and excessive alcohol intake** are also important in maintaining adequate preload to prevent an increasing left ventricular outflow tract gradient, given the dynamic nature of the left ventricular outflow tract obstruction in HCM.

**Medical management: Beta-blockers, then calcium channel blockers**

- **Beta-blockers** are the first-line therapy for symptomatic HCM related to left ventricular outflow tract obstruction. Their negative inotropic effect reduces the contractile force of the ventricle, effectively reducing the pressure gradient across the outflow tract. Reduced contractility also means that the overall myocardial workload is less, which ultimately translates to a reduced oxygen demand. With their negative chronotropic effect, beta-blockers lower the heart rate and thereby lengthen the diastolic filling phase, allowing for optimization of preload conditions to help prevent increasing the left ventricular outflow tract gradient.

- Beta-blockers can be titrated according to the patient’s symptoms and tolerance. Fatigue and loss of libido are among the most common side effects.

- **Nondihydropyridine calcium channel blockers** can be a second-line therapy in patients who cannot tolerate beta-blockers. Several studies have shown improvement in surrogate outcomes such as estimated left ventricular mass and QRS amplitude on electrocardiography, but currently no available data show that these drugs improve symptoms. They should be avoided in those with severe left ventricular outflow tract obstruction (gradient ≥ 100 mm Hg), as they can lead to critical outflow tract obstruction owing to their peripheral vasodilatory effect.

**HCM patients with abnormal blood pressure responses during exercise have a higher risk of sudden cardiac death**
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Dihydropyridine calcium channel blockers should be avoided altogether, as they produce even more peripheral vasodilation and afterload reduction than nondihydropyridine calcium channel blockers.

Disopyramide, a class IA antiarrhythmic, has been shown to effectively reduce outflow gradients and relieve symptoms. However, in view of its adverse effects, it is a third-line therapy, given to those for whom beta-blockers and calcium channel blockers have failed. Its most worrisome adverse effect is QT prolongation, and the QT interval should therefore be closely monitored at the start of treatment.

Anticholinergic effects are common and include dry eyes and mouth, urinary retention, and drowsiness.

Disopyramide is usually used in combination with beta-blockers for symptom control as a bridge to a planned invasive intervention.41

Use with caution
Any medication that causes afterload reduction, peripheral vasodilation, intravascular volume depletion, or positive inotropy can worsen the dynamic left ventricular outflow tract obstruction in a patient with HCM and should be avoided.

Angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors, angiotensin II receptor blockers (ARBs), and nitrates must be used with extreme caution in these patients.

Diuretics. Even restrained use of diuretics can cause significant hemodynamic compromise in patients with obstructive physiology. Therefore, diuretics should be used sparingly in these patients.

Digoxin should not be used for managing atrial fibrillation in these patients, as its positive inotropic effect increases contractility and increases the left ventricular outflow tract gradient.

Norepinephrine and inotropic agents such as dobutamine and dopamine should be avoided for the same reason as digoxin. In patients with circulatory shock requiring vasopressor support, pure alpha-agonists such as phenylephrine are preferred, as they increase peripheral resistance without an inotropic effect.

Anticoagulation for atrial tachyarrhythmias
The risk of systemic thromboembolic events is significantly increased in HCM patients with atrial fibrillation or flutter, regardless of their estimated risk using conventional risk-stratification tools such as the CHADS2 score.41-44

In accordance with current American Heart Association and American College of Cardiology guidelines, we recommend anticoagulation therapy for all HCM patients with a history of atrial fibrillation or flutter. Warfarin is the preferred anticoagulant; direct oral anticoagulants can be considered, but there are currently no data on their use in HCM.9

Standard heart failure treatments
End-stage systolic heart failure is a consequence of HCM but affects only 3% to 4% of patients.45 While most randomized controlled trials of heart failure treatment have excluded HCM patients, current guidelines recommend the same evidence-based medical therapies used in other patients who have heart failure with reduced ejection fraction. This includes ACE inhibitors, ARBs, beta-blockers, and aldosterone antagonists if indicated.9,21

Heart transplant should be considered in patients with class III or IV New York Heart Association functional status despite optimization of their HCM treatment regimen. Heart transplant outcomes for HCM patients are comparable to outcomes for patients who receive a transplant for non-HCM cardiovascular disease.45,46

Septal reduction therapy
If medical therapy fails or is not tolerated in patients with severe symptoms, surgery can be considered for obstructive HCM.

Ventricular septal myectomy has been the long-standing gold standard of invasive therapy. Multiple studies have demonstrated long-term survival after myectomy to be equivalent to that in the general population and better than that of HCM patients who do not undergo this surgery.47-50 Factors that may be associated with better surgical outcomes include age younger than 50, left atrial size less than 46 mm, and resolution of atrial fibrillation during follow-up.51

Septal reduction therapy may also be considered in patients at high risk of sudden cardiac death based on a history of recurrent ventricular tachycardia or risk-stratification models as described above. Retrospective analyses have shown that surgical myectomy can markedly reduce the incidence of appropriate implantable cardioverter-defibrillator discharges and

If a patient’s calculated 5-year risk of sudden cardiac death is ≥ 6%, cardioverter-defibrillator implantation is recommended
Alcohol septal ablation is an alternative. This percutaneous procedure, first described in the mid-1990s, consists of injecting a small amount of alcohol into the artery supplying the septum to induce myocardial necrosis, ultimately leading to scarring and widening of the left ventricular outflow tract. Up to 50% of patients develop right bundle branch block after alcohol septal ablation, and the risk of complete heart block is highest in those with preexisting left bundle branch block. Nevertheless, studies have shown significant symptomatic improvement after alcohol septal ablation, with long-term survival comparable to that in the general population. Several meta-analyses compared alcohol septal ablation and septal myectomy and found that the rates of functional improvement and long-term mortality were similar. However, the less-invasive approach with alcohol septal ablation comes at the cost of a higher incidence of conduction abnormalities and higher left ventricular outflow tract gradients afterward. One meta-analysis found that alcohol septal ablation patients may have 5 times the risk of needing additional septal reduction therapy compared with their myectomy counterparts.

Current US guidelines recommend septal myectomy, performed at an experienced center, as the first-line interventional treatment, leaving alcohol septal ablation to be considered in those who have contraindications to myectomy. The treatment strategy should ultimately be individualized based on a patient’s comorbidities and personal preferences following informed consent.

A nationwide database study recently suggested that postmyectomy mortality rates may be as high as 5.9%, although earlier studies at high-volume centers showed much lower mortality rates (<1%). This discrepancy highlights the critical role of expert centers in optimizing surgical management of these patients. Regardless of the approach, interventional therapies for HCM should be performed by a multidisciplinary team at a medical center able to handle the complexity of these cases.

Additional surgical procedures
A handful of other procedures may benefit specific patient subgroups.

Papillary muscle reorientation surgery (Figure 6) has been shown in retrospective studies to reduce mobility of bifid hypermobile papillary muscles, reducing left ventricular outflow tract (LVOT) obstruction.
papillary muscles and alleviate left ventricular outflow tract obstruction. It should be considered in patients who have this problem, even if they have no left ventricular hypertrophy.

Apical myectomy has been shown to improve functional status in patients with isolated apical hypertrophy by reducing left ventricular end-diastolic pressure and thereby allowing for improved diastolic filling.

Mitrail valve surgery may need to be considered at the time of myectomy in patients with degenerative valve disease. As in the general population, mitral valve repair is preferred to replacement if possible.
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