 Patients can become immunocompromised from primary or secondary causes. Primary causes are typically inherited, whereas secondary causes may be iatrogenic (ie, medication-related) or due to the underlying disease process. Infections represent a serious risk to patients who are immunocompromised, and the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) has developed specific vaccination recommendations for these individuals beginning at age 19.1

Live vaccines are contraindicated in the severely immunocompromised, which, in patients receiving immunosuppressive drugs, is defined as those receiving any of the following:

- Prednisone in a dosage of 2 mg/kg or more, or more than 20 mg/day
- Methotrexate in a dosage of more than 0.4 mg/kg/week
- Azathioprine more than 3 mg/kg/day
- 6-Mercaptopurine more than 1.5 mg/kg/day
- Any biologic agent.2

In this review, we discuss the use of various vaccines in immunocompromised patients, with a focus on iatrogenic immunosuppression for patients with systemic rheumatic or other immune-mediated inflammatory diseases.

**IMMUNE-MEDIATED INFLAMMATORY DISEASES AND INFECTION**

Patients with immune-mediated inflammatory diseases such as rheumatoid arthritis, psoriatic arthritis, and Crohn disease are at increased risk of infections, often due to the immunosuppressive medications they need (Table 1).
A large, retrospective US study evaluated the incidence of hospitalization for infections in patients with rheumatoid arthritis who had no exposure to a biologic agent in the year preceding the study compared with those who switched among various biologic agents in the year preceding the study. The mean rate of hospitalization for infections was 4.6 per 100 person-years in biologic-naive patients, compared with 7.0 for biologic-experienced patients switching to a new therapy. This suggests that those with more refractory disease (using switching of biologic drugs as a proxy for more treatment-refractory disease) were at greater risk of infection. Pneumonia and soft-tissue infections were the most common types of infections.

Risk stratification for patients at high risk is important in both counseling patients and addressing modifiable risk factors for infection (e.g., vaccination, tobacco use, glucocorticoid use). Infection risk calculators, such as the Rheumatoid Arthritis Observation of Biologic Therapy (RABBIT) Risk Score, or similar approaches developed for use in large administrative databases, have been developed to estimate the yearly probability of a serious infection. The risk of most if not all types of infections is increased in patients with immune-mediated inflammatory diseases, and certain therapies for these disease further increase the risk. For example, the incidence of herpes zoster is higher in immune-mediated inflammatory diseases than in the general population and is further increased with Janus kinase inhibitors.

More broadly, a systematic literature review of articles published from October 2009 to August 2018 was performed to determine the incidence and prevalence of vaccine-preventable illnesses in patients with autoimmune inflammatory rheumatic diseases. Of the 3,876 articles initially retrieved, 63 met the inclusion criteria that allowed for analysis of incidence and prevalence rates of influenza, pneumococcal disease, hepatitis B, herpes zoster, and human papillomavirus (HPV) infection. The rates of influenza, Pneumococcus, herpes zoster, and HPV infections were higher than those in the general population.

Due to the significant risk of infection in patients with autoimmune inflammatory rheumatic diseases, vaccines should be offered when appropriate to reduce the risk.

### INFLUENZA VACCINATION

All adults, regardless of immunocompromised status, should receive a single dose of the annual influenza vaccine each year. Immunocompromised patients should receive either the recombinant influenza vaccine or the inactivated influenza vaccine; the live attenuated influenza vaccine is contraindicated in this population. An egg allergy is not an absolute contraindication, as cell-culture based vaccines are available.

**Which influenza vaccine to use?**

The standard inactivated influenza vaccine is trivalent, containing 2 influenza A strains and 1 influenza B strain. A quadrivalent vaccine,
also available, contains the standard strains with an additional influenza B (Yamagata) strain. A high-dose trivalent vaccine can be considered in individuals over age 65, as it confers a higher percentage of protective titers than the standard-dose vaccine and has been shown to have greater clinical effectiveness in preventing influenza infection.10

The recommendation to use the high-dose vaccine in at-risk individuals was further supported by a 2019 trial from Hong Kong that enrolled community-dwelling adults ages 65 to 82.11 Sera were collected before and after vaccination with the 2017–2018 standard-dose quadrivalent, the trivalent with MF59 adjuvant, the high-dose trivalent, or the recombinant-hemagglutinin quadrivalent vaccine. The MF59-adjuvanted trivalent, high-dose trivalent, and recombinant-hemagglutinin quadrivalent vaccines are considered enhanced vaccines, as either the increased dosage or use of an adjuvant causes a more robust immunogenic response. The mean rise in titer to egg-propagated H1N1 and H3N2 and microneutralized H3N2 was significantly higher in all enhanced-vaccine groups than in the group that received the standard-dose quadrivalent vaccine.

Enhanced vaccination in patients with immune-mediated inflammatory diseases was evaluated in a randomized controlled trial in patients with rheumatoid arthritis.12 The high-dose trivalent vaccine was compared with the standard-dose quadrivalent vaccine in 279 seropositive patients on conventional synthetic disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs (DMARDs), biologic DMARDs (tumor necrosis factor [TNF] inhibitors, anti-interleukin-6 [anti-IL-6]), or Janus kinase inhibitors. Even though this group of individuals was not selected for being age 65 or older (the mean age was 61.0 ± 12.9 years), the high-dose trivalent vaccine significantly improved immunogenicity compared with the standard-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DMARDs</th>
<th>Influenza vaccine</th>
<th>PPSV23</th>
<th>PCV7/13</th>
<th>Live zoster vaccine</th>
<th>Recombinant zoster vaccine</th>
<th>Hepatitis B vaccine</th>
<th>Human papilloma-virus vaccine</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Methotrexate</td>
<td>Decrease14,15</td>
<td>Decrease14,24</td>
<td>Decrease29</td>
<td>No effect7,34</td>
<td>Not studied</td>
<td>Not studied</td>
<td>No effect40,41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNF inhibitors</td>
<td>No effect14</td>
<td>No effect14,24</td>
<td>No effect20,29</td>
<td>Study pending, contraindicated15</td>
<td>Study pending</td>
<td>Study pending</td>
<td>No effect40,41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abatacept</td>
<td>No effect16</td>
<td>No effect16</td>
<td>Decrease10</td>
<td>Study pending, contraindicated</td>
<td>Study pending</td>
<td>Not studied</td>
<td>Not studied</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Janus kinase inhibitors</td>
<td>No effect17</td>
<td>Decrease17</td>
<td>No effect15,32</td>
<td>Not studied, contraindicated</td>
<td>Study pending</td>
<td>Not studied</td>
<td>Not studied</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rituximab</td>
<td>Decrease14,18,19</td>
<td>Decrease18,25</td>
<td>Decrease10,33</td>
<td>No effect16</td>
<td>No effect40,41</td>
<td>Not studied</td>
<td>Not studied</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-6 inhibitors</td>
<td>No effect20</td>
<td>No effect20</td>
<td>No effect20</td>
<td>Not studied, contraindicated</td>
<td>Not studied</td>
<td>Not studied</td>
<td>Not studied</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-17 inhibitors</td>
<td>No effect21–23</td>
<td>No effect26</td>
<td>Not studied</td>
<td>Not studied, contraindicated</td>
<td>Not studied</td>
<td>Not studied</td>
<td>Not studied</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-12/23 inhibitors</td>
<td>No studied</td>
<td>No effect27</td>
<td>Not studied</td>
<td>No effect28,30</td>
<td>Not studied, contraindicated</td>
<td>Not studied</td>
<td>Not studied</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DMARDs = disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs; IL = interleukin; PCV7/13 = 7- or 13-valent pneumococcal conjugate vaccine; PPSV23 = 23-valent pneumococcal polysaccharide vaccine; TNF = tumor necrosis factor
dose vaccine. While clinical outcomes (eg, incidence of influenza infection) were not assessed, this laboratory finding likely indicates that high-dose vaccination is preferable for all rheumatoid arthritis patients, irrespective of age.

The choice of influenza vaccine may also depend on local virulence patterns, as the Yamagata strain, which is not covered by the high-dose trivalent vaccine, may be the primary strain, or at least a relatively common strain. Although not as common on a national scale in recent years, the Yamagata strain varies in prevalence from year to year and has accounted for a significant portion of influenza B in the recent past. A high-dose quadrivalent influenza vaccine that includes coverage for the Yamagata strain will be available for the 2020–2021 influenza season.13

Effect of DMARDs on influenza vaccine effectiveness
Most DMARDs do not have a major effect on influenza vaccine seroprotection (Table 2).14–41 However, rituximab significantly reduces it.14,18,19 Rituximab is typically given every 6 months, and vaccination should be given about 2 weeks before the next rituximab dose.18

Methotrexate also decreases seroprotection from the influenza vaccine, but to a lesser degree than rituximab.14,15 Holding methotrexate dosing for 2 weeks after influenza vaccination can improve vaccine seroprotection, as was demonstrated in a randomized controlled trial conducted among rheumatoid arthritis patients in Korea.15 The diminution of beneficial effect of vaccination was related to methotrexate dose, and patients receiving 15 mg or more per week had a more reduced response than those on lower methotrexate doses. Patients on even lower but commonly used methotrexate doses had a minimal effect of methotrexate on vaccine immunogenicity.

TNF inhibitors,14 abatacept,16 tofacitinib,17 tocilizumab,20 and secukinumab21–23 have not been shown to substantially reduce the proportion of patients who achieve adequate seroprotection.

While most studies have evaluated only the laboratory outcome of immunogenicity as a surrogate for clinical effectiveness, some observational studies have examined clinical outcomes such as the incidence of infection.42 A retrospective observational study of 30,788 patients with immune-mediated inflammatory diseases compared those who received and did not receive vaccination. In propensity score-adjusted analysis, vaccination reduced the risks of:

- Influenza-like illness (adjusted hazard ratio [aHR] 0.70, 95% confidence interval [CI] 0.54–0.92)
- Hospitalization for pneumonia (aHR 0.61, 95% CI 0.50–0.75)
- Hospitalization for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease exacerbation (aHR 0.67, 95% CI 0.46–0.99)
- Death due to pneumonia (aHR 0.48, 95% CI 0.33–0.71).

PNEUMOCOCCAL VACCINATION
For immunocompromised patients such as those with immune-mediated inflammatory diseases, pneumococcal vaccination is recommended starting at age 19.1 Immunocompromised individuals should first receive a single dose of PCV13. A dose of PPSV23 follows, at least 8 weeks later. A second dose of PPSV23 is recommended 5 years after the first dose of PPSV23. After a second dose of PPSV23, no further booster vaccinations are recommended. Additionally, individuals who received PPSV23 before age 65 for any indication should receive another dose at least 5 years later. For those who received PPSV23 before PCV13, PCV13 should be given at least 1 year after PPSV23.

Effect of DMARDs on pneumococcal vaccine effectiveness
Similar to influenza vaccination, most DMARDs have limited effects on pneumococcal vaccine immunogenicity (Table 2). Methotrexate and rituximab, however, decrease the humoral response to pneumococcal vaccine.14,19,24,25,28–30,33,36

A systematic review and meta-analysis was performed to determine the effects of methotrexate, TNF inhibitors, and rituximab on the immunogenicity of the influenza and pneumococcal vaccines in patients with rheumatoid arthritis.14 Twelve studies were included in the analysis, but only 2 of them specifically
tested methotrexate's effect on pneumococcal vaccine effectiveness. Methotrexate significantly reduced the vaccine response against pneumococcal serotypes 6B and 23F, with a pooled odds ratio (OR) of 0.33 (95% CI 0.20–0.54) for 6B and 0.58 (0.36–0.94) for 23F. These serotypes were chosen because they were commonly seen in invasive pneumococcal disease both worldwide and in Sweden, where the study was performed.

Similarly, only 2 of the studies evaluated the effect of rituximab. Serotype 6B immunogenicity was significantly reduced with rituximab (OR 0.25, 95% CI 0.11–0.58), and there was a trend toward a similar reduction for serotype 23F (OR 0.21, 95% CI 0.04–1.05). Later studies have also shown a significant reduction in both 6B and 23F serotype immunogenicity with rituximab compared with controls. The addition of methotrexate to rituximab further reduced immunogenicity.

Similar to the recommendation for the timing of influenza vaccination in patients treated with rituximab, pneumococcal vaccination should be given as close to the start of a subsequent rituximab dosing cycle as possible (eg, approximately 2 weeks before the next rituximab cycle).

Tofacitinib also decreases the humoral response to PPSV23, yet both tofacitinib and baricitinib showed that a high percentage of patients who received PCV13 while on these treatments were able to mount a satisfactory immune response, although there was no control group in those studies. TNF inhibitors have not been shown to have a significant effect on humoral response in PPSV23 or PCV7 in the absence of concomitant methotrexate. Tocilizumab did not reduce response to PPSV23 or PCV7. Ixekizumab and ustekinumab did not significantly reduce immunogenicity to PPSV23 in healthy controls or in patients with moderate-to-severe psoriasis respectively, but PCV13 has not been studied for patients receiving these classes of biologics.

**HERPES ZOSTER VACCINATION**

Herpes zoster vaccination in the general population is recommended starting at age 50 with a 2-dose series of recombinant zoster vaccine. Many primary care practices have stopped using the live zoster vaccine (Zostavax), as recombinant zoster vaccine (Shingrix) is more effective, and the live zoster vaccine was discontinued in the United States in July 2020.

The guideline published by the American College of Rheumatology in 2015 recommended live zoster vaccination for all patients with rheumatoid arthritis who are at least age 50. Recommendations to use recombinant zoster vaccine among rheumatology patients have not yet been formulated or issued, and we currently have few data on its efficacy, safety (eg, risk of disease flare), and systemic reactogenicity in these populations.

Recombinant zoster vaccine is not a live vaccine. However, its clinical trials excluded people who were considered severely immunocompromised and also those with rheumatoid arthritis, systemic lupus erythematosus, and similar diseases receiving typical immunomodulatory therapies (eg, conventional synthetic DMARDs, biologics, and Janus kinase inhibitors). There is at least the potential concern for flare of underlying autoimmune conditions with recombinant zoster vaccine due to the potent immune response stimulated by the adjuvant. Recombinant zoster vaccine is currently being studied in patients with immune-mediated inflammatory diseases and a variety of other immunocompromised patient populations.

Although recombinant zoster vaccine is not yet recommended for patients with immune-mediated inflammatory diseases, a retrospective review of 300 patients with rheumatic disease who received it showed only a 3% incidence of rheumatoid arthritis flare within 12 weeks of vaccination and no cases of herpes zoster reactivation. Key limitations of this study included retrospective flare ascertainment, as recorded by documentation in rheumatologists’ medical records, rather than prospective and systematic capture of flare and severe reactogenicity according to validated prespecified case definitions.

Despite US recommendations that favor recombinant over live zoster vaccine for healthy older patients, there are a number of countries worldwide in which it is not available, and the live vaccine remains the only option for herpes zoster vaccination. How-
Recombinant zoster vaccine is more effective than the live vaccine, but new recommendations for rheumatology patients are yet to be issued.

Hepatitis B vaccination

In those who were not vaccinated as children, hepatitis B vaccination is not recommended routinely in the United States for adult rheumatic disease patients, but only in those for whom special situations or circumstances increase the risk for transmission.1 These circumstances include:

- Hepatitis C virus co-infection
- Other chronic liver disease
- Human immunodeficiency virus infection
- High-risk sexual behavior
- Injection drug use
- Other high risk for percutaneous or mucosal exposure
- Incarceration
- Travel to countries with high or intermediate endemic hepatitis B.

Practitioners other than rheumatologists may give different recommendations for hepatitis B vaccination. For example, gastroenterologists routinely recommend it for patients with inflammatory bowel disease regardless of age.48

Three hepatitis B vaccines are currently available:

- Heplisav-B, given in a 2-dose series
- Engerix-B or Recombivax HB, given in a 3-dose series
- Twinrix, a combination hepatitis A and B vaccine given in a 3-dose series.

Effect of DMARDs on hepatitis B vaccine effectiveness

The effect of most DMARDs on hepatitis B vaccine immunogenicity has not been evaluated (Table 2); however, TNF inhibitors and ustekinumab have been shown to reduce it.37,38,39 Response to the hepatitis B vaccine depends on T-cell activation, and the impairment of T-cell response caused by TNF inhibitors and ustekinumab (and presumably other IL-12/23 inhibitors) is thought to lead to the diminished response.49 Several strategies may be needed to improve the immune response to hepatitis B vaccine, including repeated vaccine series, intradermal vaccine administration, development of new vaccine adjuvants, and high-dose vaccines.

A high-dose vaccine containing 40 μg/mL (the usual dose is 20 μg/mL) was studied in 109 patients with various rheumatologic and inflammatory diseases who were treated with TNF inhibitors or ustekinumab.38 The development of a protective antibody titer was seen in 49.3% of patients who received the standard-dose vaccine and in 61.1% of those given...
the high-dose vaccine. The difference was not statistically significant, however \(P = .246\).

Given the likelihood of nonresponse in these groups, it is important that the clinician evaluate for response with postvaccine hepatitis B surface antibody titers to determine if protection has been achieved, with adequate seroprotection typically defined as a titer of 10 mIU/mL or higher.50

**HUMAN PAPILLOMAVIRUS VACCINATION**

Vaccination against HPV is recommended for all adults through age 26, with initial vaccination routinely recommended in adolescents at age 11 or 12.1,51 Using shared decision-making, HPV vaccination may also be offered to those ages 27 to 45. The age of initial HPV vaccination determines the number of vaccinations given in the series, with a total of 2 or 3 doses comprising a complete series.

Although 3 HPV vaccines are licensed for use, only the 9-valent HPV vaccine (Gardasil 9) is available in the United States; it covers HPV types 6, 11, 16, 18, 31, 33, 45, 52, and 58. Most HPV-associated cancers are caused by HPV types 16 or 18. There is no recommendation to alter the vaccination schedule for HPV in immunocompromised conditions.

Women with immune-mediated inflammatory diseases and those receiving immunosuppressive medications are at higher risk of HPV infection leading to high-grade cervical dysplasia and cervical cancer. However, vaccination rates are low.7,52 Given these concerns, it is important to be aware of barriers to care. Many patients with immune-mediated inflammatory diseases receive vaccinations from their rheumatologists, who may not routinely stock the HPV vaccine. Further, given the complexity of many immune-mediated inflammatory diseases, discussions about preventive care may be deferred. Efforts should be made by both rheumatologists and those in the primary care specialties to encourage vaccination.

**Effects of DMARDs on HPV vaccine effectiveness**

Few studies have examined the effects of DMARDs on the immunogenicity of the HPV vaccine (Table 2). A 2013 prospective, controlled observational study compared the immunogenicity of a bivalent HPV vaccine in 68 girls with juvenile idiopathic arthritis compared with 55 healthy girls.40 Use of methotrexate did not affect seroconversion. In addition, the rates of seroconversion were not significantly lower in the patients receiving TNF inhibitors; however, the number of patients was considered to be too low to draw strong conclusions.

The effect of TNF inhibitors on HPV vaccine effectiveness was also evaluated in a prospective cohort of 37 female patients ages 9 to 26 with inflammatory bowel disease compared with matched healthy controls from a database.41 Patients treated with the TNF inhibitors adalimumab or infliximab comprised 51% of the cohort, and the remaining 49% were on other immunomodulators including azathioprine, 6-mercaptopurine, methotrexate, cyclosporine, and tacrolimus. Overall, there was no difference in rates of seropositivity between the inflammatory bowel disease patients and the healthy controls.

There are currently no studies evaluating the effects of abatacept, Janus kinase inhibitors, rituximab, anti-IL-6, anti-IL-17, or anti-IL-12/23 inhibitors on the immunogenicity of the HPV vaccine.

**TAKE-HOME POINTS**

- Immunocompromised patients are at increased risk of infection due to their primary condition or secondarily due to treatment.
- Vaccination provides an important method of prevention, but use of live vaccines is not recommended in severely immunocompromised persons.
- Non-live vaccines can be used at any time, although preferably they should be given before use of DMARDs in order to minimize negative effects on immunogenicity where they exist.
- For current DMARD users, temporarily holding methotrexate for influenza vaccination could be considered, and most importantly for rituximab, vaccination should occur near the end of the treatment interval 1 month before the next planned dose.

---

**TNF inhibitors and ustekinumab reduce hepatitis B vaccine immunogenicity**
rates are low but vaccination is effective, HPV vaccine
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