Restrictive eating disorders in previously overweight adolescents and young adults

ABSTRACT
Eating disorders are common in adolescents and young adults, including those with a history of overweight or obesity, and are associated with numerous systemic sequelae. Understanding the differences in presentation between these patients and those who have a more classic anorexia nervosa phenotype is critical to ensuring timely recognition and treatment.

KEY POINTS
- Patients who are overweight or obese are as likely to experience disordered eating as their normal-weight peers.
- Patients with a history of premorbid overweight or obesity present differently than their previously healthy weight peers in clinic.
- Malnutrition resulting from restrictive eating disorders affects many systems in the body and can occur even in the face of obesity or overweight status.
- Few studies have assessed treatment goals and recovery course in previously overweight patients presenting with eating disorders, making it difficult to use evidence-based medicine to manage these cases and assess response to treatment.

Jeannette is a 22-year-old woman who presents with a chief complaint of fatigue. A history reveals that she has lost weight in the past 6 months—she used to weigh 220 lb but now weighs 180; her height is 5’4”. She lost weight by reducing her portion sizes, eliminating junk food and anything else “unhealthy,” attending a spinning class 4 days a week, and walking at least 10,000 steps every day, as recommended at her well visit a year ago when her body mass index (BMI) was 37.8 kg/m². Her BMI is now 30.9 kg/m². On examination, her heart rate is 50 beats per minute, and she has mild orthostatic changes in pulse but not in blood pressure. What do you think is going on?

See related editorial, page 172

SOME patients with restrictive eating disorders are hiding in plain sight. Although a patient who starts at 120 lb and loses 40 will look anorectic, someone who starts at 220 lb and comes in weighing 180 may not, despite losing the same amount of weight through the same disordered eating behaviors and putting herself or himself at the same risk of physical harm through starvation.

Patients who are overweight or obese are in a tough position. We want them to lose weight, but we want them to do so safely. Restrictive eating disorders tend to be underrecognized in this group, even though research suggests that these patients may have a greater likelihood of disordered eating habits than those with no history of overweight or obesity. It is therefore important for primary care physicians to consider eating disorders in young patients at a variety of weights.
This paper discusses the distinguishing features of eating disorders in patients with a history of overweight or obesity, the systemic effects of malnutrition in the body, and general guidelines for medical management.

■ RISING OBESITY RATES MEET UNREALISTIC NORMS

In today’s body-conscious world, marketers aggressively advertise weight-loss strategies to the public, especially adolescents and young adults. Given that many young people are overweight or obese and that the campaigns tend to focus on the negative effects of excess body fat, disordered eating has been normalized and, in some settings, encouraged. Successful weight loss is praised, even though the methods may be pathologic and involve eating disorder attitudes or behaviors.

It is therefore not surprising that eating disorders are common among children, adolescents, and adults, including those who are overweight or obese.

■ COMMON AND UNDERRECOGNIZED

A systematic review of 94 studies published between 2000 and 2018 reported that the prevalence of eating disorders in the United States was 4.6%, with lower rates in Europe (2.2%) and Asia (3.5%), and higher rates in women (5.7%) than in men (2.2%).1 The onset of these disorders peaks in adolescence and young adulthood and is associated with poor physical and psychological outcomes.2 Although one study reported that a higher percentage of men with eating disorders had a history of premorbid overweight than women, another found no difference by sex.3,4 Traditionally, restrictive eating disorders were characterized by low weight or failure to grow appropriately within expected growth curves, maladaptive weight loss strategies, and fear of weight gain. However, these criteria were modified in the fifth edition of the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-5), demonstrating the evolving clinical understanding of restrictive eating disorders.

Of note, the numeric definition of low weight as less than 85% of median body weight for age has been modified to include patients with less than minimally normal weight. These changes reflect the growing recognition that disordered eating behaviors and associated sequelae can occur at a variety of weights.

In adolescents and young adults with restrictive eating disorders, the prevalence of a history of overweight or obesity ranges from 19.3% to 36.7%.1-5 These numbers mirror the national prevalence of overweight or obesity, suggesting that patients with higher weight status are as likely to experience disordered eating as their normal-weight peers.4

Indeed, overweight adolescents report greater concern about their weight and more body dissatisfaction than their normal-weight peers.6 These concerns could lead them to engage in disordered eating behaviors, including bingeing, purging, and diet pill use.5-9 There is now a greater recognition of eating disorder diagnoses in young adults who may not meet low-weight criteria but otherwise have classic symptoms.

■ GREATER WEIGHT SUPPRESSION IN PREVIOUSLY OVERWEIGHT PATIENTS

Although they present with a higher BMI compared with patients with anorexia nervosa or bulimia nervosa who started out in the normal-weight category, those who started out overweight or obese lose more weight (have greater “weight suppression”), whether the change is measured in kilograms, BMI, or percent body weight.3,4 The higher weight at presentation results in a more common diagnosis of atypical anorexia nervosa in these patients.3 The amount of weight suppression is a valuable indication of disease severity as well as a prognostic tool.3,4 A greater percent weight loss has been associated with worse psychological and physical symptoms in patients with anorexia nervosa.10,11 A position paper from the Society for Adolescent Health and Medicine has advocated for greater recognition of the clinical utility of percent weight loss and emphasizes the dual roles of weight status as well as rate and relative amount of weight loss.12

When the degree of weight suppression based on percent body weight loss is considered, then there is no difference in the likelihood of inpatient treatment, suggesting that weight suppression is a more appropriate me-
Previously overweight adolescents are less likely to receive inpatient treatment despite similar symptoms compared with their previously normal weight peers. This discrepancy has been attributed to the higher weight at presentation. This relationship was found to be mediated by weight suppression, supporting the trend toward assessing degree of weight loss rather than focusing primarily on presentation weight when evaluating for eating disorder symptoms.

Comparisons of eating disorder symptom burden based on the Eating Disorder Examination-Questionnaire reveal that previously overweight patients have similar symptoms such as degree of restraint around food and concern about shape or weight. However, overweight and obese children are more at risk of starvation state than absolute weight status.

Figure 1. Sequelae and correlates of unhealthy weight loss.
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risk than their normal-weight peers of engaging in disordered eating behaviors and exhibiting unhealthy weight loss strategies.13

Finally, the duration of illness before presentation may be more variable. Two studies3,4 found that the duration of illness is significantly longer in patients with higher premorbid BMIs, whereas another reported that the duration of illness was comparable to that among healthy-weight peers who developed restrictive eating disorders.14 These discrepancies may be explained by differences in definitions or small sample sizes.

■ SYSTEMIC EFFECTS OF MALNUTRITION

The malnutrition resulting from restrictive eating disorders affects every system in the body and can occur even in the face of obese or overweight status, as seen in the case of Jeannette. Consequences (Figure 1) can be lifelong and include the following:

Cardiovascular manifestations such as bradycardia and hypotension, possibly secondary to increased vagal tone.15,16 Consistent hypotension may cause decreased left ventricular mass, resulting in decreased cardiac output.15 Additionally, abnormal electrolyte levels and vagal tone can contribute to arrhythmias such as prolonged QTc interval.15,16

Gastrointestinal effects include gastroparesis and constipation from slow colonic transit.15,16 In an extremely underweight patient, loss of fat that normally cushions the superior mesenteric artery (SMA) can result in SMA syndrome, in which the duodenum is pinched between the SMA and the aorta and consequently dilates. Dysphagia from weakening of pharyngeal muscles can hinder refeeding and result in aspiration. Patients can also have aminotransferase elevations due to liver cell apoptosis.15,16

Hematologic effects include pancytopenias due to a hypoplastic marrow with fat deposition within the marrow space.15,16 Despite the neutropenia, patients with eating disorders are not at higher risk of infections. However, impaired inflammatory responses may result in slower recognition of an infection.15,16

Musculoskeletal effects. Patients with eating disorders are at risk of losing both muscle and bone mass. Bones have both altered structure and decreased strength.15,16,18 Contributors to weakened bone include derangements in the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis and the hypothalamic-pituitary-gonadal axis, as well as thyroid dysfunction. Adolescent girls with anorexia were found to have increased fracture risk even in the absence of decreased bone mineral density as measured by dual energy x-ray absorptiometry, suggesting that loss of mass incompletely explains the bone sequelae of eating disorders.18

Hormone disturbances include low insulin-like growth factor 1 and estrogen levels, leading to decreased bone deposition, increased resorption, osteopenia, and osteoporosis.15 In addition, there may be impaired thyroid response to low thyroid hormone levels, and high cortisol levels with slower clearance may result in longer cortisol half-lives.15,16

Menstrual disturbances such as oligomenorrhea and amenorrhea can occur due to dysregulation of the hypothalamic-pituitary-gonadal axis, resulting in low estrogen status.19 There are similar reductions in testosterone levels for boys.15

The consequences for adolescents are tremendous, as 40% to 60% of bone density is deposited during early adolescence in girls and late adolescence in boys. Further, hypogonadism in adolescence may also be associated with earlier risk of cardiovascular disease.20–22 Thus, addressing amenorrhea and hypothalamic hypogonadism is a well-established goal of eating disorder treatment.23,24

Death. People with anorexia nervosa have a mortality rate up to 6 times higher than their peers, which is among the highest in psychiatric illnesses.25–27 Although the mortality rate associated with bulimia nervosa is lower, these patients have a high rate of suicide.28–31

■ MANAGING EATING DISORDERS IN PREVIOUSLY OVERWEIGHT PATIENTS

Although many overweight and obese people have eating disorders, most research is limited to underweight patients only.23,24,32 Thus, there are few data to guide management of weight loss-related sequelae in previously overweight patients presenting with eating disorders.

In general, body fat mass, amount of relative weight loss, and appropriate hormonal

Recognize eating disorders as readily in a patient who shifts from 220 to 180 lb as in a patient who went from 120 to 80 lb.
levels and interactions contribute to normal menstrual function.\textsuperscript{33,34} Disruption of the hypothalamic-pituitary-ovarian axis by weight loss may be mediated by changes in gonadotropin-releasing hormone (GnRH) release. Typical GnRH function is pulsatile and relies on leptin and insulin-like growth factor 1 (IGF-1) signaling.\textsuperscript{15–37} Fat mass contributes to normal production of both leptin and IGF-1, and therefore loss of fat mass can disrupt the hormonal signaling underlying normal menstruation.\textsuperscript{22,38,39}

Previously overweight patients follow a different course than their previously healthy-weight peers in menses recovery. In one study, amenorrheic patients with a history of overweight or obesity resumed menses at a higher weight but with similar amounts of absolute gain in weight.\textsuperscript{5} Additionally, the likelihood of menses resumption decreases with greater weight suppression and increases with greater weight gain during treatment in both groups.\textsuperscript{5} This finding suggests that weight goals associated with resumption of menses may need to be higher for patients with a history of overweight.

Weight restoration may therefore have different effects on adolescents who had different baseline hormonal production, given different fat mass before the onset of illness. The difference in patterns of menses resumption highlights the need to identify physiologic alterations that may differ between previously overweight and previously normal-weight teens at the onset of eating disorders.

That only one study has compared outcomes between adolescents and young adults with eating disorders by premorbid weight status shows the understudied nature of this comparably high-risk population. While there is greater recognition of the differences in the population, there remains a paucity of studies on treatment goals and recovery course, making it difficult to use evidence-based medicine to assess response to treatment.

Until definitive guidelines are published, the primary care physician can use the following general treatment advice:

**Encourage healthy forms of weight loss** while establishing minimum requirements for nutrition, including protein, fat, carbohydrates, calcium, and vitamin D. Overweight or obese individuals who wish to pursue a ketogenic or “clean eating” diet should consult with both their primary care clinician and a registered dietician who is experienced in treating patients with eating disorders and obesity to avoid electrolyte imbalances and other medical complications of starvation. If patients are younger than 26 years, recommend adequate fat intake—50 to 90 g a day—because myelination is still occurring in the brain. The primary care clinician, in concert with the dietitian, can help tailor a meal plan to an individual’s needs, preventing “hard-wiring” of eating disorder behaviors while ensuring adequate intake of essential nutrients.

Monitor for sequelae of caloric energy restriction, including bradycardia, orthostatic hypotension, amenorrhea and oligomenorrhea.

**Be vigilant** for pathologic weight loss strategies in overweight as well as underweight patients: recognize eating disorders as readily in the patient who shifts from 220 to 180 lb as one would recognize if the patient went from 120 to 80.

**CASE FOLLOW-UP**

Jeannette returns after 1 month, having seen the dietitian and increased her intake to 1,800 kcal per day from her previous 400 to 600 kcal per day. Her weight is now 185 lb. Her heart rate has increased to the 60s, but she has ongoing amenorrhea. Her luteinizing hormone level is 2.0 mU/mL and her follicle-stimulating hormone level is 2.6 mU/mL. These values are on the low-normal side, consistent with functional hypothalamic amenorrhea, in which there is suppression of gonadotropins that is centrally mediated through the release of GnRH from the hypothalamus. Her thyroid-stimulating hormone level is normal, and her white blood cell count has normalized (she was previously mildly leukopenic).

**TAKE-HOME POINTS**

Restrictive eating disorders are common among adolescents, including those with a history of overweight or obesity. These patients present with greater weight loss from a higher starting weight but have comparable eating disorder symptoms that may go unrecognized for a longer time and result in suboptimal access to care.
Differences in presentation and recovery highlight the need for earlier detection of pathologic behaviors as well as more personalized intervention strategies. The paucity of evidence on treatment goals makes it challenging to treat previously overweight patients. Early recognition and prevention of significant morbidity is key as further investigations on differences in recovery course are conducted. Markers of recovery such as resumption of menses suggest that previously overweight patients resume physiologic function at a higher weight and with comparable amount of weight gain as their previously normal weight peers. The differences in weight status at menses resumption reflect the complex neurohormonal mechanisms that underlie functional hypothalamic amenorrhea. The differences in presentation characteristics underscore the need to emphasize percent weight loss alone rather than extreme low body weight as a metric for eating disorder pathology. How a patient loses weight, as well as the accompanying disordered eating attitudes and behaviors, can illuminate pathology in the obese patient as well as in the severely underweight individual. If the emphasis remains on extreme low body weight as the marker of illness, other findings of starvation—including low heart rate and lower blood pressure in the obese, overweight, and even normal-weight patient who is in the same state of starvation—will continue to be unrecognized.1
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