The role of cardiac imaging in hospitalized COVID-19–positive patients
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ABSTRACT

COVID-19 infection is associated with several cardiac complications with high rates of adverse outcomes. Cardiac imaging has different utility in different clinical scenarios, and the importance of minimizing healthcare worker exposure should be considered. Cardiac imaging should only be ordered if its benefits outweigh its risks, with anticipated changes in acute treatment and outcomes, and no suitable alternative of sufficient adequacy is available. Indications for advanced cardiac imaging for COVID-19 patients in the acute phase are limited, although follow-up imaging in the convalescent stage may provide prognostic importance in recovered COVID-19 patients with positive troponin or decompensated heart failure.

INTRODUCTION

Since the initial cases in Wuhan, China in December 2019, coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2 or 2019-nCoV), has rapidly become a global pandemic that has overwhelmed health systems, resulting in significant mortality. Although COVID-19 primarily affects the lungs, a global inflammatory response involving multiple organs portends a poor prognosis. Cardiovascular complications of COVID-19 include acute myocardial injury in 12% to 28%, arrhythmias in 7% to 17%, and heart failure in about 20%, although whether they were caused by or exacerbated by COVID-19 remains unclear. Nevertheless, patients with a history of cardiovascular disease are at particularly high risk of adverse outcomes.

Previously, the decision to order cardiac imaging focused solely on balancing risks vs benefits at the patient level; however, the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic has resulted in an unprecedented impact on healthcare delivery. Clinicians now must also consider the impact of potential exposure of healthcare workers, the concern for medical devices acting as fomites and causing nosocomial spread, and the feasibility of treatment options when determining diagnostic strategies for COVID-19 patients.

GENERAL RECOMMENDATIONS

It is critically important to provide basic cardiorespiratory support to COVID-19 patients based on physical assessment with a thorough evaluation of risks and benefits before considering additional testing. Therefore, the following questions should be considered when ordering cardiac imaging:

1. What is the specific clinical concern, and will the findings result in a substantial acute change in management?
2. Will the benefits of cardiac imaging outweigh the risk of potential exposure of healthcare workers and nosocomial spread of virus?
3. Are there alternative lower-risk options with sufficient accuracy to answer the clinical question?
4. Can cardiac imaging be deferred until infectious risks have been mitigated or excluded?

Cardiac point-of-care ultrasonography (cPOCUS) should preferentially be performed in COVID-19 patients in the emergency room and intensive care unit by trained users when indicated. When there is uncertainty regarding the imaging findings, cPOCUS images should be reviewed with a certified cardiologist. Images should be reviewed in conjunction with any past echocardiograms and recent chest computed tomography (CT) scans (for delineation of the presence of pericardial effusion, coronary artery calcification, and cardiac chamber sizes) to determine acute findings and
need for focused/limited echocardiography.

**Transthoracic echocardiography (TTE)** examination protocols should be limited to decrease exposure time, but thorough enough to answer the clinical questions at hand and prevent need for repeat studies.6

**Advanced imaging techniques** such as transesophageal echocardiography (TEE), cardiac computed tomography (CCT), or cardiac magnetic resonance imaging (CMR) have limited utility in the acute infectious phase for most hospitalized and acutely ill COVID-19 patients, since these are not likely to result in significant changes in acute management. TEE carries a high aerosolization risk from patients gagging or coughing, and should be avoided unless absolutely critical for diagnosis. TTE with off-axis views, use of contrast agents, or CCT with contrast may occasionally serve as adequate alternatives.6,7

Infection-control precautions related to cardiac imaging should be followed with regard to equipment, personnel, protection, and location, which are outside the scope of this article.6,7

Cardiac imaging should be delayed until outpatient follow-up of COVID-19 patients with suspected subacute clinical myocardial involvement. However, given the uncertainty regarding the duration of viral shedding, the development of strategic work-flow for outpatient cardiac imaging in convalescent COVID-19 patients should be considered and developed.

This commentary provides general recommendations for cardiac imaging by outlining 4 important clinical scenarios where cardiac imaging may be useful or controversial in hospitalized COVID-19 patients (Table 1).

**ACUTE MYOCARDIAL INJURY**

Acute myocardial injury is defined as a rise in cardiac troponins above a patient’s baseline and the assay’s 99th percentile upper reference level.8 The main pathophysiological processes include myocarditis, takotsubo cardiomyopathy, microvascular obstruction, capillaritis, primary coronary event of a type 1 myocardial infarction, supply-demand imbalance injury of type 2 myocardial infarction, or right ventricular strain due to right heart failure, acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) or pulmonary embolism.8 Limited TTE or cPOCUS focusing on left and right ventricular dysfunction and the pattern of wall motion abnormalities may provide insight regarding the etiology and severity of acute myocardial injury, and can dictate clinical management in most circumstances.9

**Case description.** A middle-aged woman was admitted to the intensive care unit for COVID-19 pneumonia. cPOCUS was performed in setting of worsening shock and markedly elevated troponin. The patient was started on intravenous heparin for deep vein thrombosis and placed in the prone position due to respiratory failure, with improvement in hemodynamics and respiratory status. After 5 days she again become hypotensive, and cPOCUS showed normal left ventricular and right ventricular function with a collapsible inferior vena cava responding to a gentle fluid bolus.

Because CMR is the most comprehensive imaging modality to elucidate underlying pathophysiology, some guidelines have recommended inpatient CMR for treatment disposition.7 However, increased risk of exposure of healthcare workers should strongly be considered, and CMR should be deferred in the acute setting if management by echocardiographic assessment is sufficient for acute therapeutic guidance. Alternatively, CMR during the convalescent phase may elucidate important mechanistic insights regarding the pathophysiology and extent of myocardial injury, which can dictate therapeutic strategies and determine the likelihood of left and right ventricular recovery vs conversion into chronic heart disease.9

**ACUTE CHEST PAIN WITH OR WITHOUT ELECTROCARDIOGRAPHIC CHANGES**

For COVID-19 patients with acute coronary syndromes, cPOCUS/focused TTE can determine important diagnostic and prognostic features. Because COVID-19 patients are at higher risk of venous thromboembolism including pulmonary embolism, along with right ventricular failure from ARDS, focused attention on the right ventricle should be an important component in all patients undergoing cPOCUS/limited TTE.10 Patients with preserved cardiac function with no high-risk features of heart failure, cardiogenic shock, dynamic electrocardiographic changes, or medically refractory symptoms should be managed conservatively. Patients with stable symptoms and low or intermediate risk should have imaging deferred to a later date and be treated medically unless their condition becomes progressive and clinically unstable.6,7

Invasive coronary angiography and revascularization should be considered only in the scenario of ST-elevation myocardial infarction; however, thrombolysis is a reasonable alternative in SARS-CoV-2 infection. If invasive coronary angiography is warranted, then left ventriculography may eliminate the need for TTE6 in the acute setting.
DECOMPENSATED HEART FAILURE

Discerning decompensated heart failure may be challenging in COVID-19 patients because the primary manifestation of severe COVID-19, ARDS, can mimic cardiogenic pulmonary edema. cPOCUS/TTE should be performed in decompensated heart failure patients with no prior history of heart failure, clinical signs of decompensated heart failure, or evidence of hemodynamic instability. However, cardiac imaging should be deferred in COVID-19 patients with known history of heart failure or cardiomyopathy who are otherwise hemodynamically stable.

Other imaging modalities do not have a role in acutely ill COVID-19 patients with acute decompensated heart failure, apart from those with atrial fibrillation, when decompensated heart failure cannot be adequately managed without restoring normal sinus rhythm. CCT with contrast can be used as an alternative to TEE to assess the left atrial appendage prior to cardioversion. CMR should be deferred until patients are clinically stable, and can be performed during the convalescent stage.

SUSPECTED INFECTIVE ENDOCARDITIS

 Infective endocarditis shares common presentations with COVID-19 including fever, sepsis, and fatigue. However there are no cases in the literature currently reporting concomitant infective endocarditis with SARS-CoV-2 infection. The need for any cardiac imaging for suspected infective endocarditis in COVID-19 patients should be discussed with infectious disease services to consider the likelihood for change in management beyond extended intravenous antibiotic therapy.

TTE remains the first-line modality, and no further investigations are required if it is negative with adequate views. Clinicians should consult an infectious disease specialist regarding the need for further imaging in patients with prolonged fever, sepsis with no known source, chest pain, or new murmurs.
higher-risk patients with typical bacteremia organisms such as Staphylococcus aureus, viridans streptococci, or Enterococcus, and new valvular lesions on TTE. Further imaging should only be performed to discern the need for surgical intervention in appropriate patients.6,7

■ CONCLUSION
COVID-19 infection is associated with several cardiac complications with high rates of adverse outcomes. Cardiac imaging has different utility in different clinical scenarios, and the importance of minimizing health care worker exposure should be considered. Cardiac imaging should only be ordered if its benefits outweigh its risks, with anticipated changes in acute treatment and outcomes, and no suitable alternative of sufficient adequacy is available. Indications for advanced cardiac imaging for COVID-19 patients in the acute phase are limited, although follow-up imaging in the convalescent stage may provide prognostic importance in recovered COVID-19 patients with positive troponin or decompensated heart failure.
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