

Steven Fox, MDCritical Care Medicine,
Respiratory Institute, Cleveland Clinic**Rishik Vashisht, MD**Critical Care Medicine,
Respiratory Institute, Cleveland Clinic**Matthew Siuba, DO**Critical Care Medicine,
Respiratory Institute, Cleveland Clinic**Siddharth Dugar, MD**Pulmonary Medicine,
Respiratory Institute, Cleveland Clinic,
Assistant Professor of Medicine, Cleveland
Clinic Lerner College of Medicine of Case
Western Reserve University

Evaluation and management of shock in patients with COVID-19

Posted July 2, 2020**■ ABSTRACT**

Shock is common in critically ill patients with COVID-19, developing in up to 67% of patients in intensive care (5% to 10% overall) and is associated with high mortality. Optimal management requires prompt recognition with precise evaluation and differentiation. Correcting hypoperfusion and treating the underlying process are fundamental aspects of treatment. Undifferentiated shock may be treated initially with norepinephrine to optimize perfusion while additional evaluation is performed to categorize the shock pathophysiology. Physical examination, bedside echocardiography, hemodynamic monitoring, lactate and venous oxygen saturation are important components of the patient evaluation.

■ INTRODUCTION

Shock is a clinical state of circulatory failure characterized by impaired oxygen delivery or utilization at the cellular level.¹ The basic characteristics of shock usually consist of systemic hypotension (systolic blood pressure < 90 mmHg or mean arterial pressure < 65 mmHg), organ hypoperfusion, and abnormal cellular oxygen metabolism. Between 5% and 10% of the patients infected with severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) require intensive care unit (ICU) admission with up to 67% developing shock.² Shock has been implicated as the primary cause of death in 7% of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) cases and as a contributing factor in an additional 33%.³ All 4 types of shock—distributive, cardiogenic, obstructive, and hypovolemic shock—have been observed in patients with COVID-19.⁴

The statements and opinions expressed in COVID-19 Curbside Consults are based on experience and the available literature as of the date posted. While we try to regularly update this content, any offered recommendations cannot be substituted for the clinical judgment of clinicians caring for individual patients.

doi:10.3949/ccjm.87a.ccc052

This review discusses the classification and management of shock in patients with COVID-19. The most common aspects of evaluation are detailed in **Table 1**. The common causes and characteristics of shock are listed in **Table 2**. Advanced and invasive hemodynamic monitoring techniques may be needed if conventional techniques fail to diagnose the cause of shock or for hemodynamic monitoring. The increased risk of thromboembolic events in this group has to be calculated when considering advanced monitoring devices.

■ DISTRIBUTIVE SHOCK

Septic shock appears to be the predominant cause of distributive shock in patients with COVID-19, secondary to the virus itself or from bacterial coinfections. COVID-19 has also been associated with a hyper-inflammatory immune response with elevated cytokine levels termed cytokine storm or cytokine release syndrome. This syndrome leads to loss of vasomotor tone and higher rates of mortality. The occasional greater need for sedation in severe cases of COVID-19-associated pneumonia may cause hypotension from direct medication effect or blunted sympathetic drive.

There is limited information on the presence of bacterial coinfection with COVID-19-associated pneumonia. Extrapolating data from Middle East respiratory syndrome (MERS) and influenza showing that 18% and 11% of patients developed bacterial coinfection, respectively,^{2,5} may provide support for initiating empiric antibiotics in critically ill COVID-19 patients. It is also prudent to practice antibiotic stewardship by rapidly discontinuing antibiotics if no evidence of bacterial infection is found.

The choice of an empiric regimen should be based on the patient's clinical history and local microbiological characteristics. Among hospitalized patients with COVID-19 who require supplemental oxygen, current IDSA guidelines recommend a 5-day course of remdesivir.⁶ The use of other COVID-19-specific

TABLE 1**Key parameters in the evaluation of shock****Physical examination**

Capillary refill
Mental status
Extremity temperature
Urine output

Focused echocardiography

LV systolic function
RV size and function
LVOT VTI
Pericardial effusion
Mitral, aortic, and tricuspid valve assessment
Regional wall motion abnormality

Laboratory studies

Mixed venous oxygen saturation
Central venous oxygen saturation
Lactate

LV = left ventricle; LVOT VTI = left ventricular outflow tract velocity time integral;
RV = right ventricle

antimicrobial therapy remains an area of active clinical research and their use in routine clinical practice cannot be recommended at this time point.

The evidence on sepsis and acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) suggests using conservative fluid management over a liberal management strategy.^{7,8} In patients with ARDS, a conservative strategy resulted in increased ventilator-free and ICU-free days with reduced need for renal replacement therapy (RRT) versus a liberal strategy.⁸ If needed, balanced crystalloids are the preferred resuscitative fluid owing to its potential reno-protective effect, which reduces the need for RRT as well as providing a possible mortality benefit.⁹ Other evidence suggests use of dynamic indices for fluid responsiveness (eg, pulse pressure variation, systolic pressure variation, passive leg raise, and end-expiratory occlusion tests) over static measures (eg, central venous pressure).¹⁰⁻¹² A strategy of fluid resuscitation based on preload responsiveness has shown improved outcomes with reduced need for RRT and mechanical ventilation.¹³

Norepinephrine remains the preferred vasopressor agent in septic shock. Vasopressin or epinephrine may be added as a second agent if distributive shock remains the predominant component.² There is an increasing body of evidence suggesting improved outcomes with early administration of vasopressors.^{14,15}

If there is concern for inadequate cardiac output, an inotropic agent may be added. Stress-dosed steroids are routinely used in septic shock if perfusion remains impaired despite the above resuscitation strategies and may have a role in patients with acute respiratory failure requiring invasive mechanical ventilation.¹⁶

The current recommendation is to target mean arterial pressure (MAP) goals of 60 to 65 mmHg.² An initial goal of MAP above 65 mmHg, which is later individualized based on a dynamic assessment of perfusion using capillary refill time, mentation and urine output, is a reasonable approach.^{17,18}

■ CARDIOGENIC SHOCK

The incidence of acute cardiac injury (defined by an elevated troponin level) in patients with COVID-19 ranges from 20% to 30% and is associated with increased mortality.^{19,20} Causes of acute cardiac injury include demand ischemia, myocarditis, stress-induced cardiomyopathy, or less commonly, acute plaque rupture that may present as single or biventricular failure.^{19,21} Severe left ventricular systolic failure has been reported in COVID-19 from myocarditis,²² ST-elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) with systolic heart failure and shock,²³ or from worsening of underlying cardiovascular disease.²¹ Right ventricle failure may develop from pulmonary embolism or from pulmonary hypertension (ie, acute cor pulmonale) due to hypoxia, hypercapnia, and/or high mean airway pressures.

These patients should be carefully monitored for significant cardiac injury and development or worsening of shock. At this point, repeat echocardiograms, NT-proBNP levels, troponin levels, and focused cardiac ultrasound should be pursued. The clinical and echocardiographic characteristics of cardiogenic shock are presented in **Table 2**.

Norepinephrine is first-line vasopressor in patients with cardiogenic shock who are hypotensive, albeit with limited data.²⁴ If the patient continues to have severely reduced cardiac output with signs of organ hypoperfusion, as evident by clinical, laboratory, and echocardiographic examination (cold extremities, low central venous oxygen saturation, left or right ventricle systolic dysfunction on echocardiography), inotropic agents such as dobutamine or epinephrine may be considered.²⁴

Right ventricle failure in ARDS is common and under-recognized, with reported incidence rates ranging from 25% to 50%.^{25,26} Development of right ventricle failure from ARDS or injurious ventilator

TABLE 2**Common shock etiologies and differentiation strategies**

Type of shock	Etiologies	Extremities	Cardiac output	Mixed venous O ₂	LV systolic function	RV size/function
Distributive	Sepsis ^a Cytokine storm ^a Medication-related vasoplegia Anaphylaxis Neurogenic	Warm (sometimes cold)	Normal or high	Normal or high	Normal or high	Normal
Cardiogenic	Pre-existing heart disease Acute myocardial ischemia Cardiomyopathy Acute myocarditis	Cold	Low	Low	Low	Normal or dilated/reduced function
	Acute valvular disease	Cold	Low	Low	Normal or hyperdynamic	Variable
	Right ventricle failure ^a	Cold	Low	Low	Normal or hyperdynamic	Dilated/reduced function
Obstructive	Pulmonary embolism ^a	Cold	Low	Low	Normal or hyperdynamic	Dilated/reduced function
	Dynamic hyperinflation (auto-PEEP) Pericardial tamponade Abdominal compartment syndrome Pneumothorax ^a	Cold	Low	Low	Normal or hyperdynamic	Normal
Hypovolemic	Volume depletion Hemorrhage	Cold	Low	Low	Normal or hyperdynamic	Normal

LV = left ventricle; RV = right ventricle

^aCommon in COVID-19.

settings should be managed with “right ventricle protective ventilation,” which primarily involves minimizing airway pressures (optimizing positive end-expiratory pressure [PEEP], driving pressure, plateau pressure) and prone position ventilation.^{27,28} There are physiologic rationales for using inhaled pulmonary vasodilators (eg, epoprostenol or nitric oxide) to reduce pulmonary vascular resistance, augment right ventricle performance, and improve pulmonary ventilation and perfusion (V/Q) matching.²⁹

Refractory cardiogenic shock may prompt consideration of mechanical circulatory support, including veno-arterial extra corporeal life support. A guidance document on use of extracorporeal membrane oxygenation in COVID-19 patients has been published.³⁰ This should be discussed by an experienced, multidisciplinary team at a qualified ECMO center.

■ OBSTRUCTIVE SHOCK

COVID-19 has been linked to coagulopathy and increased thrombotic risk. The incidence varies from

25% to 50%, with some thrombotic events occurring despite adequate prophylactic or therapeutic anticoagulation.^{31,32} In one study, acute pulmonary embolism (PE) accounted for 81% of all acute thrombotic complications in patients with COVID-19.³³ In autopsies performed on 12 patients, acute PE was found to be the direct cause of death in 4 patients.³⁴

Acute PE should be suspected in patients with sudden hemodynamic or respiratory deterioration. The presence of acute PE as the cause of shock is an indication for systemic thrombolysis.³⁵ Full therapeutic anticoagulation is indicated in the presence of any acute venous thromboembolism.

During the COVID-19 pandemic, many centers have adopted protocols for “enhanced prophylaxis” using higher than normal doses of thromboprophylaxis in patients with elevated D-dimer levels.^{36,37} Based on expert opinion, the Anticoagulation Forum (a North American organization of anticoagulation providers) recommended using high-intensity prophylaxis (eg, enoxaparin 40 mg subcutaneous twice

daily, enoxaparin 0.5 mg/kg subcutaneous twice daily, heparin 7500 units subcutaneous three times daily, or low-intensity heparin infusion).³⁸ However, recently published Chest guidelines recommend standard-dose thromboprophylaxis in critically ill COVID-19 patients due to lack of evidence on the bleeding risks.³⁹

Dynamic hyperinflation can be commonly seen in patients with ARDS who are ventilated with high respiratory rates due to inadequate expiratory time. In the setting of high airway resistance, this may lead to dynamic hyperinflation with elevated intrinsic PEEP (or auto PEEP). High airway resistance may occur due to mucous plugging in the airways, including the endotracheal tube. Routine ventilator checks should include assessment of intrinsic PEEP and airway resistance. Other management strategies might include bronchodilators, hypertonic saline, neuromuscular blockade, airway clearance, use of a large (eg, 8.0 mm) endotracheal tube when able, and heated humidity for ventilator circuits. None of these techniques have been prospectively evaluated for efficacy.

Pneumothorax may occur due to injurious airway pressures and is an important diagnosis to consider during acute decompensation. Needle decompression may be considered in acute hemodynamic decompensation setting due to pneumothorax. Management includes tube thoracostomy, typically with a medium bore pigtail catheter.

Finally, although rare, cardiac tamponade has been reported in at least 1 COVID-19 case as a result of a hemorrhagic etiology.⁴⁰ As part of a focused cardiac ultrasound, pericardial effusions should be evaluated for hemodynamic significance, bearing in mind that tamponade features on echocardiography need to be correlated with a clinical examination.

■ HYPOVOLEMIC SHOCK

Hypovolemia may be present in earlier stages of hospitalization due to poor oral intake and high-grade fever causing insensible losses, possibly along with associated diarrhea. The benefit of intravenous fluids administration for dehydration should be weighed against concern for worsening pulmonary edema and hypoxemia, further supporting the use of preload responsiveness assessment to guide fluid administration. Bleeding is another potential cause and may occur due to high rates of coagulopathy and associated anticoagulation use.

There are no COVID-19 specific transfusion recommendations; however, a hemoglobin goal of greater

than 7.0 mg/dL is appropriate based on prior data for patients with sepsis and critical illness.⁴¹ Additional blood products (fresh frozen plasma, platelets, cryoprecipitate) can be used as appropriate in the setting of coagulopathy and need for massive transfusion.

■ OTHER CONSIDERATIONS

Frequent contact with the patient, though a cornerstone in attentive shock resuscitation, must be minimized in COVID-19 cases to reduce healthcare worker viral exposure and personal protective equipment use. There are several ways to reduce patient contact. Central venous pressure waveforms and pulse pressure variation can be displayed on the patient monitor as additional continuous monitoring variables. Mental status can be assessed through telecommunication. Intravenous pumps can be relocated outside the room with tubing extensions to permit bedside nurses to efficiently titrate vasoactive medications from outside the room. Obtaining central and arterial access early can reduce overall exposure of healthcare workers to the patient. Using a protocolized point-of-care ultrasound can eliminate the need for a consultative complete echocardiogram and associated human and equipment exposure.⁴² Nevertheless, direct contact is still required to assess capillary refill and extremity warmth, and it is ideally done when other care is being provided (ie, bundled care).

■ SUMMARY

Shock of a variety of etiologies is common in critically ill patients with COVID-19 and is associated with high mortality. Prompt recognition along with precise evaluation and management are keys to patient care. Correcting hypoperfusion and treatment of the underlying process are the fundamental aspects of management. Undifferentiated shock may be managed initially with norepinephrine to optimize perfusion while urgent evaluation is performed to categorize the shock pathophysiology. Physical examination, bedside echocardiography, hemodynamic monitoring, and central venous oxygen saturation are important components of evaluation. Further studies are needed to clarify whether there are any nuances to COVID-19-associated shock management, particularly with distributive shock, as compared with other shock etiologies.

REFERENCES

1. Vincent J-L, De Backer D. Circulatory shock. *N Engl J Med* 2013; 369(18):1726–1734. doi:10.1056/NEJMra1208943
2. Alhazzani W, Møller MH, Arabi YM, et al. Surviving sepsis campaign: guidelines on the management of critically ill adults with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). *Crit Care Med* 2020; 48(6):e440–e469. doi:10.1097/CCM.0000000000004363
3. Ruan Q, Yang K, Wang W, Jiang L, Song J. Clinical predictors of mortality due to COVID-19 based on an analysis of data of 150 patients from Wuhan, China. *Intensive Care Med* 2020; 46(5):846–848. doi:10.1007/s00134-020-05991-x
4. Zhang C, Wu Z, Li JW, Zhao H, Wang GQ. Cytokine release syndrome in severe COVID-19: interleukin-6 receptor antagonist tocilizumab may be the key to reduce mortality. *Int J Antimicrob Agents* 2020; 55(5):105954. doi:10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2020.105954
5. Uyeki TM, Bernstein HH, Bradley JS, et al. Clinical practice guidelines by the Infectious Diseases Society of America: 2018 update on diagnosis, treatment, chemoprophylaxis, and institutional outbreak management of seasonal influenza. *Clin Infect Dis* 2019; 68(6):e1–e47. doi:10.1093/cid/ciy866
6. Bhimraj A, Morgan RL, Shumaker AH, et al. Infectious Diseases Society of America Guidelines on the treatment and management of patients with COVID-19. *Clin Infect Dis* 2020; Apr 27 [updated June 22]. doi:10.1093/cid/ciaa478
7. Silversides JA, Major E, Ferguson AJ, et al. Conservative fluid management or deresuscitation for patients with sepsis or acute respiratory distress syndrome following the resuscitation phase of critical illness: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Intensive Care Med* 2017; 43(2):155–170. doi:10.1007/s00134-016-4573-3
8. National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome (ARDS) Clinical Trials Network, Wiedemann HP, Wheeler AP, et al. Comparison of two fluid-management strategies in acute lung injury. *N Engl J Med* 2006; 354(24):2564–2575. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa062200
9. Semler MW, Self WH, Wanderer JP, et al. Balanced crystalloids versus saline in critically ill adults. *N Engl J Med* 2018; 378(9):829–839. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1711584
10. Bednarczyk JM, Fridfinnson JA, Kumar A, et al. Incorporating dynamic assessment of fluid responsiveness into goal-directed therapy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Crit Care Med* 2017; 45(9):1538–1545. doi:10.1097/CCM.0000000000002554
11. Monnet X, Marik P, Teboul J-L. Passive leg raising for predicting fluid responsiveness: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Intensive Care Med* 2016; 42(12):1935–1947. doi:10.1007/s00134-015-4134-1
12. Cherpanath TG, Hirsch A, Geerts BF, et al. Predicting fluid responsiveness by passive leg raising: a systematic review and meta-analysis of 23 clinical trials. *Crit Care Med* 2016; 44(5):981–991. doi:10.1097/CCM.0000000000001556
13. Douglas IS, Alapat PM, Corl KA, et al. Fluid response evaluation in sepsis hypotension and shock: a randomized clinical trial. *Chest* 2020; May. doi:10.1016/j.chest.2020.04.025
14. Permpikul C, Tongyoo S, Viarasilpa T, Trainarongsakul T, Chakorn T, Udompanturak S. Early use of norepinephrine in septic shock resuscitation (CENSER): a randomized trial. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2019; 199(9):1097–1105. doi:10.1164/rccm.201806-1034OC
15. Ospina-Tascón GA, Hernandez G, Alvarez I, et al. Effects of very early start of norepinephrine in patients with septic shock: a propensity score-based analysis. *Crit Care* 2020; 24(1):52. doi:10.1186/s13054-020-2756-3
16. Villar J, Ferrando C, Martínez D, et al. Dexamethasone treatment for the acute respiratory distress syndrome: a multicentre, randomised controlled trial. *Lancet Respir Med* 2020; 8(3):267–276. doi:10.1016/S2213-2600(19)30417-5
17. Dugar S, Choudhary C, Duggal A. Sepsis and septic shock: guideline-based management. *Cleve Clin J Med* 2020; 87(1):53–64. doi:10.3949/ccjm.87a.18143
18. Hernández G, Ospina-Tascón GA, Damiani LP, et al. Effect of a resuscitation strategy targeting peripheral perfusion status vs serum lactate levels on 28-day mortality among patients with septic shock: the ANDROMEDA-SHOCK randomized clinical trial. *JAMA* 2019; 321(7):654–664. doi:10.1001/jama.2019.0071
19. Akhmerov A, Marbán E. COVID-19 and the heart. *Circ Res* 2020; 126(10):1443–1455. doi:10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.120.317055
20. Shi S, Qin M, Shen B, et al. Association of cardiac injury with mortality in hospitalized patients with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China. *JAMA Cardiol* 2020; Mar 25. doi:10.1001/jamacardio.2020.0950
21. Madjid M, Safavi-Naeini P, Solomon SD, Vardeny O. Potential effects of coronaviruses on the cardiovascular system: a review. *JAMA Cardiol* 2020; Mar 27. doi:10.1001/jamacardio.2020.1286
22. Inciardi RM, Lupi L, Zacccone G, et al. Cardiac involvement in a patient with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). *JAMA Cardiol* 2020; Mar 27. doi:10.1001/jamacardio.2020.1096
23. Bangalore S, Sharma A, Slotwiner A, et al. ST-segment elevation in patients with Covid-19 — a case series. *N Engl J Med* 2020; Apr 17. doi:10.1056/NEJMc2009020
24. van Diepen S, Katz JN, Albert NM, et al. Contemporary management of cardiogenic shock: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association. *Circulation* 2017; 136(16):e232–e268. doi:10.1161/CIR.0000000000000525
25. Vieillard-Baron A. Is right ventricular function the one that matters in ARDS patients? Definitely yes. *Intensive Care Med* 2009; 35(1):4–6. doi:10.1007/s00134-008-1308-0
26. Mekontso Dessap A, Boissier F, Charron C, et al. Acute cor pulmonale during protective ventilation for acute respiratory distress syndrome: prevalence, predictors, and clinical impact. *Intensive Care Med* 2016; 42(5):862–870. doi:10.1007/s00134-015-4141-2
27. Vieillard-Baron A, Charron C, Caille V, Belliard G, Page B, Jardin F. Prone positioning unloads the right ventricle in severe ARDS. *Chest* 2007; 132(5):1440–1446. doi:10.1378/chest.07-1013
28. Zochios V, Parhar K, Vieillard-Baron A. Protecting the right ventricle in ARDS: the role of prone ventilation. *J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth* 2018; 32(5):2248–2251. doi:10.1053/j.jvca.2018.01.007
29. Searcy RJ, Morales JR, Ferreira JA, Johnson DW. The role of inhaled prostacyclin in treating acute respiratory distress syndrome. *Ther Adv Respir Dis* 2015; 9(6):302–312. doi:10.1177/1753465815599345
30. Bartlett RH, Ogino MT, Brodie D, et al. Initial ELSO guidance document: ECMO for COVID-19 patients with severe cardiopulmonary failure. *ASAIO J* 2020; 66(5):472–474.
31. Litjens JF, Leclerc M, Chochois C, et al. High incidence of venous thromboembolic events in anticoagulated severe COVID-19 patients. *J Thromb Haemost* 2020; Apr 22. doi:10.1111/jth.14869
32. Helms J, Tacquard C, Severac F, et al. High risk of thrombosis in patients with severe SARS-CoV-2 infection: a multicenter prospective cohort study. *Intensive Care Med* 2020; 46(6):1089–1098. doi:10.1007/s00134-020-06062-x
33. Klok FA, Kruip MJHA, van der Meer NJM, et al. Incidence of thrombotic complications in critically ill ICU patients with COVID-19. *Thromb Res* 2020; 191:145–147. doi:10.1016/j.thromres.2020.04.013
34. Wichmann D, Sperhake J-P, Lütgehetmann M, et al. Autopsy findings and venous thromboembolism in patients with COVID-19. *Ann Intern Med* 2020; May. doi:10.7326/M20-2003
35. Chatterjee S, Chakraborty A, Weinberg I, et al. Thrombolysis for pulmonary embolism and risk of all-cause mortality, major bleeding, and intracranial hemorrhage: a meta-analysis. *JAMA* 2014; 311(23):2414–2421. doi:10.1001/jama.2014.5990
36. Mucha SR, Dugar S, McCrae K, et al. Coagulopathy in COVID-19. *Cleve Clin J Med* 2020; Jun 10. doi:10.3949/ccjm.87a.ccc024
37. Paranjpe I, Fuster V, Lala A, et al. Association of treatment dose anticoagulation with in-hospital survival among hospitalized patients with COVID-19. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2020; May 5. doi:10.1016/j.jacc.2020.05.001
38. Barnes GD, Burnett A, Allen A, et al. Thromboembolism and anticoagulant therapy during the COVID-19 pandemic: interim clinical guidance from the anticoagulation forum. *J Thromb Thrombolysis* 2020; 50(1):72–81. doi:10.1007/s11239-020-02138-z
39. Moores LK, Tritschler T, Brosnahan S, et al. Prevention, diagnosis and treatment of venous thromboembolism in patients with

-
- COVID-19: CHEST guideline and expert panel report. *Chest* 2020; Jun. doi:10.1016/j.chest.2020.05.559
40. **Dabbagh MF, Aurora L, D'Souza P, Weinmann AJ, Bhargava P, Basir MB.** Cardiac tamponade secondary to COVID-19. *JACC Case Reports* 2020; Apr 23. doi:10.1016/j.jaccas.2020.04.009
41. **Hébert PC, Wells G, Blajchman MA, et al.** A multicenter, randomized, controlled clinical trial of transfusion requirements in critical care. *N Engl J Med* 1999; 340(6):409–417. doi:10.1056/NEJM199902113400601
42. **Fox S, Dugar S.** Point-of-care ultrasound and COVID-19. *Cleve Clin J Med* 2020; May 14. doi:10.3949/ccjm.87a.ccc019

Correspondence: *Siddharth Dugar, MD, Department of Pulmonary Medicine, Respiratory Institute, L22-0330, Cleveland Clinic, 9500 Euclid Avenue, Cleveland, OH 44195; dugars@ccf.org*